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IN the firft compofition of the Rudiments of Enslifh Grammar, I had no farther views than to the ufe of fchools; and, therefore, contented myfelf with explaining the fundamental principles of the language, in as plain and familiar a manner as I could. Afterwards, taking a more extenfive view of language in general, and of the Englifh language in particular, I began to collect materials for a much larger work upon this fubject; and did not chufe to republifh the former work, till I had executed the other; as I imagined, that this could not fail to fuggeft feveral improvements in the plan of it. How: A 3 ever
ever, being frequently importuned to republifh the former grammar, and being fo much employed in ftudies of a very different nature, that I cannot accomplifh what I had propofed, I have, in this treatife, republifhed that work, with improvements, and fo much of the materials I had collected for the larger, as may be of practical ufe to thofe who write the language. Thefe materials, therefore, I hiave reduced into as good an order as I can, and have fubjoined them to the former grammar, under the title of Notes and Obfervations, for the Ufe of thofe who have made fome Proficiency in the Language.

I have retained the method of queftion qua anizer in the Rudinents, becaufe I am fill perifuaded; it is both the moft convenient for the matter, and the moft intelligible to the fcholar. I have alio been fo far from departing from the fimplicity of the plan of that flort grammar, that I have made it, ip fone refpects, ftill mure fimple; and I think ir, on that accounr, moie fuitable ta the genius of the Englifh language. I own $I$ an furprized to tee to nuiuch of the difribution, and technical terms of the Latin grammar,

## THE PREFACE. <br> vii

retained in the grammar of our tongue; where they are exceedingly aukward, and abfolutely fuperfluous; being fuch as could not poffibly have entered into the head of any man, who had not been previouly acquainted with Latin.

Indeed, this abfurdity has, in fome meafure, gone out of fafhion with us ; but ftill fo mach of it is retained, in all the grammars that I have feen, as greatly injures the uniformity of the whole; and the very fame reafon that has induced feveral grammarians to go fo far as they have done, fhould have induced them to go farther. A little reflection may, I think, fuffice to convince any perfon, that we have no more bufmefs with a future tenfe in our language, than we have with the whole fyftem of Latin moods and tenfes ; becaufe we have no modification of our verbs to correfpond to it; and if we had never heard of a future tenfe in fome other language, we fhould no more have given a particular name to the combination of the verb with the auxilary fhall or will, than to thofe that are made with the auxiliaries do, bave, can, muft, or any other.
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The only natural rule for the ufe of technical terms to exprefs time, $\& a c$. is to apply them to diftinguifh the different modifications of words; and it feems wrong to confound the account of inflections, either with the grammatical ufes of the combinations of words, of the order in which they are placed, or of the words which texprefs relations, and which are equivalent to inflections in other languages.

Whenever this plain rule is departed from, with refpect to any language whatever, the true fymmetry of the grammar is loft, and it becomes clogged with fuperfluous terms and divifions. Thus we fee the optative moorl, and the perfect and pluperfect tenfes of the paffive voice, abfurdly transferred from the Greek language into the Latin, where there were no modifications of verbs to correfpond to them. The authors of that diftribution might, with the very fame reaton, have introduced the dual number into Latin; and duo homines would have made juit as good a dual number, as uttnam amem is an optative mood, or ama. tus fui a perfect tenfe. I cannot help fiattering myfelt, that furure grammarians
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ix
will owe me fome obligation, for introducing this uniform fimplicity, fo well fuited to the genius of our language, into the Englifh grammar.

It is poffible I may be thought to have leaned too much from the Latin idiom, with refpect to leveral particulars in the ftructure of our language ; but I think it is evident, that all other grammarians have leaned too much to the analogies of that language, contrary to our modes of fpeaking, and to the analogies of other languages more like our own. It muft be allowed, that the cuftom of fpeaking, is the original, and only juift ftandard of any language. We fee, in all grammars, that this is fufficient to eftablith a rule, even contrary to the ftrongeft analogies of the language with itfelf. Muft not this cuftom, therefore, be allowed to have fome weight, in favour of thofe forms of fpeech, to which our beit writers and fpeakers feem evidentiy prone; forms which are contrary to no analogy of the language with itfelt, and which have been dilapproved by grammarians, only from certain abitract and arbitrary confiderations, and when
their decifions were not prompted by the genius of the language; which difcovers itlelf in nothing more than in the general propenfity of thofe who ufe it th certain modes of conftrustion. I think, however, that I have not, in any cafe, feemed to favour what our grammarians will call an irregularity, but where the genius of the language, and not only fingle examples, bitt the general practice of thofe who write it, and the almoit univerfal cuftom of thofe who fpeak it, have obliged me to do it. I alfo think I have feemed to favour thofe irregularities, no more than the degree of the propenfity I have mentioned, when unchecked by a regard to arbitrary rules, in thofe who ufe the forms of fpeech I refer to, will authorize me.

If I have done any effential fervice to my native tongue, I think it will arife from my detecting in time a very great number of gailicifms, which have infinuated themfelves into the ftyle of many of our mott juftly admired writers; and which, in my opinion, tend greatly to injure the true idiom of the Engliih language, being contrary to its moft eftablifhed analogies.

1 dare
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I dare fay, the collections I have made of this nature, will furprize many perfons who are well acquainted with modern compolitions. They furprize myfelf, now that I fee them all together; and I even think, the writers themfelves will be furprized, when they fee them pointed out. For I do not fuppofe, that they defignedly adopted thofe forms of lpeech, which ate evidently French, but that they fell into them inadvertently, in confequence of being much converfant with French authors.

I think there will be an advantage in my having collected examples from modern wititis, rather than from thofe of Swift, Addifin, and others, who wrote about half a century ago, in what is generally called the claffical period of our tongue. Fy this means we may fee what is the real charicter and turn of the language at prefert; and by comparing it with the writings of preceding authors, we may better perceive which way it is tending, and what extrene we hould moft caicfully guard againft.

## xii THE PREFACE.

It may excite a fraile in fome of my readers, to fee what books paffed through n:y hands at the time I was making thefe collections, and I might very eafily have fuppreffed their names; but I am not ahamed of its being known, that I fometimes read for amuliment, and even any thing that may fall in my way. Befides, 1 think there is a real advantage in making fich collections as thefe from books which may be luppofed to be written in a halty manner, when the writers would not pay much attention to arbitrary rules, but indulge that natural propenfity, which is the effect of the general cuftom, and genius of the language, as it is commonly foken. It is not from the writings of grammarians and critics that we can form a jugdment of the real prefent ftate of any language, even as it is fooken in poilite converlation.

It will be no objection to the propriety, or ule of any of my remarks with thote who think juifly, that they were fuggefted by the perulal of the writings of Scotchnien. It is fuficient for my purpofe, that they write in the Englifh language. Many
of their readers will not know that they were Scots. If they excel in other articles of good ftile, their example is not the lefs dangerous; and he muft be prejudiced to a degree that deferves ridicule, who will not allow that feveral of the moft correct writers of Englifh are Scotch. men.

Some of my examples will be found without authorities, and many of them without references to the particular paffage of the author. This was generally owing to mere inattention, in omitting to note the author, or the place, at the time I was reading; and afterwards, the overfight was irretrievable.

I make no apology for the freedom I have taken with the works of living authers in my collections. Except a very few pages in Swift, I read nothing with an immediate view to them. This was always a fecondary confideration ; but if any thing of this kind ftruck me in the courfe of my reading I did not fail to note it. If $I$ be thought to have borne harder upon Mr. Hume than upon any other living author, he is obliged for it to the
great reputation his writings have juftly gained him, and to my happening to read them at the time that I did; and I would not pay any man, for whom I have the leaft efteem, fo ill a compliment, as to fuppofe, that exactnefs in the punctilios. of grammar was an object capable of giv--ing him the leaft difturbance. This is the fmalleft point of excellence, even with refpect to ftyle; and ftyle, in its whole extent, is but a very fmall object in the eye of a philofopher. I even think a man cannot give a more certain mark of the narrownefs of his mind, and of the little progrefs he has made in true fcience, than to fhow, either by his vanity with refpect to himfelf, or the acrimony of his cenfure with refpect to others, that this bufinefs is of much moment with him. We have infinitely greater things before us; and if thefe gain their due fhare of our attention, this fubject, of grammatical criticifm; will be almoft noţhing. The noife that is made about it, is one of the greateft marks of the frivolifm of many readers, and writers too of the prefent age.

Not

Not that I think the bufinefs of language, and of grammar is a matter of no importance, even to a philofopher. It is, in my opinion, a matter of very confiderable confequence; but in another view. That I have, accordingly, given a good deal of attention to ir, will, I hope, appear by a Courfe of Lectures on the Theory of Language, and Univerfal Grammar, which was printed fome years ago for private ufe, and which I propofe to correct, and make public. To the fame treatife I muf, likewife, refer my readers for the fatisfaction I may be able to give then, with refpect to the definitions of terms, and fome other articles relating to Grammar, in which I differ from Mr. Harris, and other grammarians.

With refpect to our own language, there feems to be a kind of claim upon ail who make ule of it to do fomething for its improvement; and the beft thing we can do for this purpofe at prefent, is to exhibit its actual ftructure, and the warieties with which it is ufed. When thefe are once diftinetly pointed out, and generally attended to, the beft forms of ipeech, and thofe which are moft agree-
able to the analogy of the language, will foon recommend themfelves, and come into general ufe; and when, by this means, the language fhall be written with fufficient uniformity, we may hope to fee a complete grammar of it. At prefent, it is by no means ripe for fuch a work; but we may approximate to it very faft, if all perfons who are qualified to make remarks upon it, will give a little attention to the fubject. In fuch a cale, a few years might be fufficient to complete it. The progrefs of every branch of real fcience feems tohave been prodigioully accelerated of late. The prefent age may hope to fee a new and capital æra in the hiftory of every branch of ufeful knowledge; and 1 hope that the Englifh language, which cannot fail to be the vehicle of a great part of it, will come in for fome hare of improvement, and acquire a more fixed and eftablifhed character than it can boaft at prefent.

But our grammarians appear to me to have acted precipitately in this bufinefs, and to have taken a wrong method of fixing our language. This will never be effected
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effected by the arbitrary rules of any man, or body of men whatever; becaufe thele fuppofe the language actually fixed already, contrary to the real ftate of it: whereas a language can never be properly fixed, till all the varieties with which it is ufed, have been held forth to public view, and the general preference of certain forms have been declared, by the general practice afterwards. Whenever I have mentioned any variety in the grammatical. forms that are ufed to exprefs the fame thing, I have feidorn fcrupled to fay which of them I prefer; but this is to be underftood as nothing more than a conjetture, which time muft confirm or refute.

A circumftance which may give us hopes to fee the fpeedy accomplifhment of. the defign of completing the grammar of our language, is the exceeding great fimplicity of its ftructure, arifing, chiefly, from the paucity of our inflections of words. For this we are, perhaps, in fome meafure, indebted to the longcontinued barbarifm of the people from whom we réceived it. The words we afterwards borrowed from foreign lanb guages,
guages, though they now make more than one half of the fubstance of ours, were like more plentiful nourihment to a meagre body, that was grown to its full ftature, and become too rigid to admic of any new modification of its parts. They have added confiderably to the bulk and gracefulnefs of our language; but have made no alteration in the fimplicity of its original form.

Grammar may be compared to a treatife of Natural Philofophy; the one confifting of obfervations on the various chand ges, combinations, and murual affections of words; and the other on thofe of the parts of nature; and were the language of men as uniform as the works of nature, the grammar of language would be as indifputable in its principles as the srammar of nature. But lince good authors have adopted different forms of fpeech, and in a cale which admits of no ftandard but that of cuftom, one authority may be of as much weight as another; the analogy of language is the only thing to which we can have recourfe, to adjuit thefe differences. For*language, to anfwer the intent of it, which is to exprefs

## THE PREFACE. xix

our thoughts with cerrainty in an intercourfe with one another, mult be fixed and confiftent with itfelf.

By an attention to thefe maxims hath this grammatical performance been conducted. The beft and the moft numerous authorities have been carefully followed. Where they have been contradictory, recourle hath been had to añalogy, as the laft refource. If this ihould decide for neither of two contrary practices, the thing mult remain undecided, till all-gocerning cuftom hall declare in favour of the one or the other.

As to a public Academy, invefted with authority to afcertain the ufe of words, which is a project that fome perfons are very fanguine in their expectations from, I think it not only unfuitable to the genius of a free nation, but in itfelf ill calculated to reform and fix a language. We need make no doubt but that the beft forms of Speech will, in time, eftablifh themfelves by their own fuperior excellence: and, in all controverfies, it is better to wait the decifions of Time, which are fow and fare, than to take thofe of Synods,
which are of ten hafty and injudicious. A manufacture for which there is a great demand, and a language which many pertolo, have leifure to read and write, are both fure to be brought, in time, to all the perfection of which they are capable. What would Academies have contributed to the perfection of the Greck and Latin languages? Or who, in thofe free ftates, would have fubmitted to them?

The propriety of introducing the Englifh grammar into Enslifl/ fchools, cannot be dilputed; a cumpetent knowledge of our own lan ruage being both ufeful and ornamental in every profeffion, and a critical knowletge of it abfolutely neceffary to all perfons of a liberal education. The little difficulty there is apprehended to be in the itudy of it, is the chief reaion, I believe, why it hath been fo much negleeted. The Latin was fo complex a language that it made, of neceffity (notwithftanding the Greck was the leamed tongue at liome) a confiderable branch of Roman fchool education: whereas ours, by being more fimple, is, perhaps, lefs generally underftood. And though the Grammar fchool be, on all accounts, the molt proper
place
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place for learning it; how many Gram-mar-fchools have we, and of no fmall reputation, which are deftitute of all provifion for the regular teaching of it? All the fkill that our youth at fchuol have in it, being acquired in an indirect manner; viz. by the mere pratice of uling it in verbal tran@ations.

Indeed, it is not much above a century ago, that our native tongue feemed to be looked upon as'below the notice of a claffical fcholar; and men of learning made very little ufe of it, either in converfation or in writing. And even fince it hath been made the vehicle of knowledge of all kinds, it hath not found its way inte the fchools appropriated to language, in proportion to its growing importance; moft of my cotemporaries, I believe, being fenfible, that their knowledge of the grammar of their mother tongue hath been acquired by their own ftudy and obiervation, fince they have paffed the rudiments of the fchools.

To obviate this inconvenience, we muft introduce imto our fchoolsEnglif/h grammar, Englijh compiofitions, and frequent Englifh tranf-
tranflations from authors in other langurges. The common objection to Englith compofitions, that it is like requiring brick to be made without ftraw; (boys not being fuppofed to be capable of fo much reflection, as is neceffary to treat any fubject with propriety) is a very frivolous one: fince it is very eafy to contrive a variety of exercifes introductory to Themes upon moral and fcientifical fubjects; in many of which the whole attention tnay be employed upon language only; and from thence youth may be led on in a regular feries of compolitions, in which the tranfition from language to fentiment may be as gradual and eafy as poffible.

An Appendix would have been made to this grammar of examples of bad Englifh; for they are really ufeful; but that they make fo uncouth an appearance in print. And it can be no manner of trouble to any teacher to fupply the want of them, by a falfe reading of any good author, and requiring his pupils to point out, and rectify his miftakes. If any of the additional obfervations be ufed in fchools it will be fufficient for the mafter to read the
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the paffages as he finds them, and to require of his pupils the proper correstions, and the reafons alledged for them.

I muft not conclude this preface, with: out making my acknowledgements to Mr. Fohnfon, whofe admirable dietionary has been of the greateft ufe to me in the Atudy of our language. It is pity he had not formed as juft, and as extenfive an idea of Englifh grammar. Perhaps this very ufeful work may ftill be referved for his diftinguifhed abilities in this way.

I muft, alfo, acknowledge my obligation to Dr. Lowth, where Jhort intruduction to Englifh gramimar was firft publifhed about a month after the former edition of mine. 'Though our plans, definitions of tern:s, and opinions, differ very confiderably, I have taken a few of his examples (though generally for a purpole different from his) to make my own more complete. He , or any other perfon, is welcome to. make the fame ufe of thofe which I have collected. It is from an amicable union of labours, together with a $\rho$, enerous emu. lation in all the fuiends of fcierce, that
xxiv THE PREFACE.
we may moft reafonably expect the exten fion of all kinds of knowledge.

The candid critic will, I hope, excufe, and point out to me, any miftakes he may think I have fallen into in this performance. In fach a number of obfervations, moft of them (with refpect to myfelf, at leaft) original, it would be very extraordinary, if none fhould prove hafty or injudicious.
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## English Grammargo

## The General Distribution.

IANGUAGE is a method of conveying our ideas to the minds of other perfons; and the grammar of any language is a collection of obfervations on the ftructure of it, and a fyftem of rules for the proper ufe of it.

Every language confifts of a number of words, and words confift of letters.

In the Englifh language, the following twenty-fix letters are made ufe of $A, a ;$ B, b; C, c; D, d; E, e; F, f; G, g; H, h; I, i; J, j; K, k; L, l; M, m; $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{o} ; \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{f}$; $T, t ; U, u ; V, v ; W, w ; X, x ; Y, y$; Z, z.

Five of thefe letters, viz. $a, e, \cdot i, o, u$, are called vowels, and are capable of being diftinctly founded by themfelves. $X$ is alfo fometimes ufed as a vowel, having the fame found as $i$. The conjunction ci $i w o$ vowels makes a dipbtbong, and of thriee a tripbtbong.

The reft of the letters are called confonants, being founded in conjunction with vowels. Of thefe, however, $l, m, r, r, f, " s$, are called femi-vowels, giving an imperfect found without the help of a vowel; and $l$, $n, n, r$, are, moreover, calked liquids. But $b, c, d, g, k, p, q, t$, are called-mutes, yielding no found at all without the help? of a vowel.

Any number of letters, which together give a diftinct found, make a fyllable, and feveral fyllables are generally ufed to compofe a word.

Having given this view of the conitituent parts of the Englifh language, I fhall confider the Grammar of it under the following heads :
I. Of the inflections of words.
II. Of the grammatical ufe and fignification of certain words; efpecially fuch as the paucity of inflections
cbliges obliges us to make ufe of, in order ${ }^{3}$ to exprefs what, in other languages, is .effected by a change of termination, \&c.
III. Of Syntax, comprifing the order of words in a fentence, and the correfpondence of one word to another.
IV. Of Profody, or the rules of verfification.

## V. Of grammatical figures.

I fhall adopt the ufual diftribution of words into eight claffes, viz.

Nouns, Adjectives, Pronouns, Verbs, Adverbs, Prepositions, Conjunctions, and Interjections.

I do this in compliance with the practice of moft Grammarians; and becaufe, if any number, in a thing fo arbitrary, muft be fixed upon, this feems to be as comprehenfive and diftinct as any. All the innovation I have made hath been to throw out the Participle, and fubftitute the Adjegtive, as more evidently a diftinct part of fpeech.
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## Parrrirl

> Of the Inflections of Words.

## SECTION I.

## Of the Inflections of Nouns.

Q. WHAT is a Noun?
A. times called) a Substantive, is the name of any thing; as a Horse, a Tree; Goon, Thomas.
Q. How many kinds of nouns are there?
A. Two; Proper and Common.
Q. Which are nouns, or fubftantives Common?
A. Such as denote the kinds or fpecies of things; as a Man, a Horse, a River; which may be underftood of any man, any horde, or any river.
Q. Which are called nouns, or fubftantives, Proper?
A. Such as denote the individuals of any species; as John, Sarah, the Severn; London.

Q. What

## N O U N

Q. What changes of termination do nouns admit of?
A. The terminations of nouns are changed on two accounts principally; Number, and Case; and fometimes alfo on account of Gender.
Q. How many Numbers are there ? and what is meant by Number?
A. There are two Numbers; the Singular, when one only is meant; and the Plural, when more are intended.
Q. How is the plural number formed ?
A. The plural number is formed by adding [s] to the fingular; as River, Rivers; Table, Tables: Or [es] where [s] could not otherwife be founded; viz. after [cb] [s] [sb] [x] and [z] as Fox, Foxes; Cburch, Cburches.
Q. What exceptions are there to this general rule?
A. There are two principal exceptions to this rule. 1. The plural of fome nouns ends in [en] as Ox, Oxen. 2. When the fingular ends in $[f]$ or $[f e]$ the plural ufually ends in [ves] as Calf, Calves; Wife, Wives. Though there are fome few of thefe terminations that follow the general rule; as Muff, Muff; Cbief, Cbiefs.
Q. Suppofe a noun end in [y].

## 6. ENGEISH GRAMMAR.

A. In the plural it is changed into ies; as Fairy, Fairies; Gallery, Galleries.
Q. Are there no other irregularities in the formation of numbers, befides thofe that are taken notice of in thefe exceptions?
$A^{\prime}$. There are feveral plural terminations that can be reduced to no rule; of which are the following, Die, Dice; Goofe, Geefe; Foot, Feet; Tooth, Teeth.
$Q$. Is the plural termination always different from the fingular?
A. No: They are fometimes the very fame; as in the words Sbeep, Deer, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$ c. tion?

A: No. Sorte nouns have only a plural termination in ufe; as Albes; Bellows, Lungs.
Q. What are the Cases of nouns?
A. Cases are thofe changes in the terminations of nouns, which ferve to exprefs their relation to other words.
Q. How many cafes are there?
A. There are two cafes; the Nominative and the Genitive.
Q. What is the Nominative cafe?
A. The Nominative cafe is that in which we barely name a thing; as a Man, a Horfe.
Q. What
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Q. What is the Genitive cafe?
A. The Genitive cafe is that which denotes property or poffeffion; and is formed by adding [ $s$ ] with an apoftrophe before it to the nominative; as-Solomon's wifdom ; The Men's wit ; Venus's beauty; or the apoftrophe only in the plural number, when the nominative ends in [s] as the Stationers' arms.
Q. Is the relation of property or poffelfion always expreffed by a genitive cale?
A. No. It is likewife expreffed by the particle [of] before the word; as the reifdom of Solomon; the beauty of Venus; the arms of the Stationers.
Q. How many Genders are there? and what is meant by Gender?
A. There are two Genders; the Masculine, to denote the male kind, and the Feminine, to denote the female.
Q. By what change of termination is the diftinction of gender expreffed ?
A. The diftinction of gender (when it is expreffed by a change of termination) is made by adding [ $e / s$ ] to the mafculine to make it feminine ; as Lion, Lione/s; Heir, Heirefs?

## 8: ENGLISH GRAMMAR:

## SECTION II.

Of the Inflections of Adjectives.

A. $W^{1}$HAT are Adjectives?
Adjectives are words that denote the propertiesor.qualities of things; as, good, tall, fwift.
Q. On what account do adjectives change their terminations ?
A. Adjectives change their terminations on account of Comparison only.
Q. How many degrees of comparifon are there?
A. There are three degrees of compatifon; the Positive; in which the quality is barely mentioned; as bard : the Comparative, which expreffes the quality fomewhat increafed, and is formed by adding $[r]$ or $[e r]$ to the pofitive $;$,as barder; and the Superlatine, which exprefieth the higheft degree of the quality, by adding $[\beta]$ or $[\mathrm{ff} f]$ to the pofitive; as bardef.
Q. Are all adjectives compared in this manner?
A. No. Some adjectives are compared very irregularly; as the following :

Pof.

| A D J E C T I V E S. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Por, | Comp. | Sup. |
| Good, | Retter, | Beft, |
| Bad, | Worfe, | Worft, |
| Little, | Lefs, | Leaft, |
| Much, | More, | Moft, |
| Near, | Nearer, | Neareft or next, |
| Late, | Later, | Lateft or laft. |

Q. Are the degrees of comparifon always expreffed by a change of termination?
A. No. Some adjectives, and efpecially Polyfyllables, to avoid a harfhnefs in the pronunciation, are compared, not by change of termination, but by particles prefixed; as benevolent, more benevolent, moft benevolent.

SECTION III.

Of the Inflections of Pronouns.
Q What are Pronouns ?
A. Pronouns are words that are ufed as fubftitutes for nouns, to prevent the too frequent and tirefome repetition of them ; as He did tbis or tbat $_{5}$ inftead of exprelly naming the perfon doing, and the thing done, every time there is occafion to fpeak of them.
Q. How many kinds of pronouns are there?
A. There are four kinds of pronouns; Personal, Possessive, Relative, and Demonstrative.
Q. Have not fome pronouns a cafe peculiar to themfelves?
A. Yes. It is generally called the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ lique cafe; and is ufed after moft verbs and prepofitions.
Q. Which are the Personal pronouns?
A. The Personal pronouns are $I$, tbou, be, he, it, with their plurals.
Q. How are the perfonal pronouns formed ?
A. Very irregularly, in the following manner:

## PRONOUNS.

r
Nominative. $I$.
Oblique cafe. Me.
Nominative. Thou.
Oblique cafe. Tbee.
Nominative. He. She.
Oblique cafe. Him. Her.
Nominative. It.
Oblique cafe. It.
Plural.
We.
$L_{s}$.
$r_{\text {l }}$.
You.
They.
9 ber.
They.
Them.
Q. Which are the pronouns PossessIVE?
A. The pronouns Possessive are, my, owr, thy, your, bis, ber, their.
Q. How are the pronouns poffeffive declinted?
A. Pronouns poffeffive, being wholly of the nature of adjectives, ars. like them, indeclinable; except that when they are ufed without their fubftantives, $m y$ becomes mine; tby, tbine; our, ours; your, yours; ber, bers; their, theirs; as Tbis book is mine: This is not yours, but tbeirs. - Q. Which are the Relative pronouns?
A. The Relative pronouns (fo called becaufe they refer, or relate to an antecedent or fubfequent fubftantive) are who, which, who, and whetber.
Q. How
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Q. How is who declined ?
A.

Sing. and plural.
Nominative. Wbo. Genitive. Wbofe.
Oblique. Wbom.
Q.Are wbich, what, and whetber de. clinable?
A. No. Except whofe may be faid to be the genitive of wbich.
Q. What is meant by the AnteceDENT of a relative?

- A. That preceding noun to which it is related, as an adjective is to its fubftantive; as the word Darius, when we fay, This is Darius wbom Alexander conquered.
Q. Which are the pronouns DemonSTRATIVE?
A. The pronouns Demonstrative; are tbis, tbat, other, and the fame.
Q. How are the demonftrative pronouns declined ?
A. Tbis makes tbefe, and that makes thofe in the plural number; and otber makes otbers when it is found without it's fubftantive.


## V E R B.

## SECTION IV.

## Of the Inflections of Verbs.

${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$A Verb is a word that expreffeth what is affirmed of, or attributed to a thing; as I love; the borfe neigbs.
Q. What is meant by the Subject of an affirmation?
A. The perfon or thing concerning which the affirmation is made. When we fay, Alexander conquered Darius, Alexander is the fubject; becaufe we affirm concerning him, that he conquered Da rius.
Q. How many kinds of verbs are there ?
A. Two; Transitive and Neuter.
Q. What is a verb tranfitive ?
A. A verb tranfitive, befides having a fubject, implies, likewife, an object of the affirmation, upon which its meaning may, as it were, pals; and without which the fenfe would not be complete. The verb to conquer is tranlitive, becaufe it implies an object, that is, a perfon, or kingdom, $\& c$. conquered, and Darius is that object, when we fay, Alexander conquered Darius.
Q. What
Q. What is a verb Neuter?
A. A verb neuter has no object, different from the fubject of the affirmation; as to reft. When we fay Alexander refteth, the fenfe is complete, without any other words.
Q. What is the Radical Form of verbs, or that from which all other forms and modifications of them are derived ?
A. The Radical Form of verbs is that in which they follow the particle $t 0$; as to love.
Q. What circumftances affect the termination of verbs?
A. Two. Tense and Person; befides Number, which they have in common with nouns.
Q. How many Tenses have verbs?
A. Verbs have two Tenses; the Pre-sent Tense, denoting the time prefent; and the Preter Tense, which expreffeth the time paft.
Q. What changes of termination do thefe tenfes of verbs occafion?
A. The firt perfon of the preter tenfe is generally formed by adding [ed] or [d] to the firft perfon of the prefent tenfe (which is the fame as the radical form of the verb) as I love, 1 lozed. But many verbs form their preter tenfe without re-
gard
gard to any rule or analogy; as to awakc, I awoke ; to tbink, I tbought.
Q. What changes of termination are occafioned by the perfons of verbs?
A. In both tenfes, the fecond perfen fingular adds $[f]$ or $[e f f$ to the firt perfon (which, in the third perfon fingular of the prefent tenfe, changes into [et 0 ] or [es]) all the perfons of the plural number retaining the termination of the firts perfon fingular.
Q. Give an example of a verb formed in its tenfes and perions.

A.<br>Singular.<br>I love.<br>Thou loveft.<br>He loveth, or loves.<br>Prefent Tenfe.<br>Plural.<br>We love.<br>Ye love.<br>They love.

Preter Tenfe.

| I loved. | We loved. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thou lovedf. | re loved. |
| He loved. | They loved. |

Prefent Tenfe.
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Igrant. } & \text { We grant. } \\ \text { Tbougranteff. } & \text { regrant. } \\ \text { He grantetb or grants. } & \text { They grant. }\end{array}$
Preter
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Preter Tenfe.

Singular.
I granted.
s'bou grantedft.
He granted.

Plural.:
We granted.
Ye granted.
Tbey granted.
$\dot{Q}$. Are thefe changes of termination inthe perfons of verbs always obferved?
A. No. They are generally omirted after the words, if, tbough, e'er, before, whether, except, whatfoever, whomfoever, and words of wijhing : as Doubtlefs thou art our father, thougb Abrabam acknowledge us not ; [not acknowledgeth].
Q. What is this form of the tenfes called?
A. This form, becaufe it is rarely ared but in conjunction with fome or other of the preceding words, may be called the conjunctive form of the tenfes. It is as follows:

Conjunctive Prefent.

Singular.
If I love.
If thou love.
If be love.
Conjunctive Preter Tenfe.
If I lowed.
If thou loved.
If be loved.
Plural.
If we love.
If ye love.
If they love.
If we loved.
If ye loved.
If they loved.
Q. What
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Q. What are the Participles of verbs?
A. Participles are adjectives derived from verbs, and retaining their fignification.
Q. How many participles hath averb?
A. A verb hath two participles. i. The participle Prefent, which denotes that the action fpoken of is then taking place, and ends in [ing] as hearing, writing. 2. The participle Preterite, which denotes its being paft, and ends in [ed] being the fame with the firft perfon of. the. preter tenfe; as loved.
Q. Do all participles preterite end in : [ed] ?
A. No. There are many participles: preterite, which neither end in [ed] nor take any other termination of the preter tenfe; as to begin, Preter, I began. Part. It is begun. To die, Preter, He died. Part. He is dead: moreover, fome verbs have two participles preterite, which may be ufed indifferently; as to load; he is loaded; he is laden. To forw; it is fowed;: it is fown.
Q. In what fenfe is a verb to be underftood, when it occurs in its radical form ? A. It hath, then, the force of a command from the perfon fpeaking to the
$C$
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perfon or perfons to whom it is addrefed; as, write, i. e. do thou, or do ye write.
Q. What is the meaning of the $\mathrm{Ra}_{\mathrm{A}}$ dical Form of a verb preceded by the particle to?
A. It is then no more than the name of an action or ftate; as, to die is common to all men; i. e. death is common to all men.
Q. What are Auxiliary verbs?
A. Auxiliary verbs are verbs that are ufed in conjunction with other verbs, to afcertain the time, and other circumftances of an action with greater exactnefs.
Q. Which are the principal auxiliary verbs?
A. The principal auxiliary verbs are to do, to bave, to be, and the imperfect verbs hall, weill, can, may, and muff.
Q. How are thefe verbs infected?
A. They are all infected with confiderable irregularity; and the verbs Jhall, will, can, and may, exprefs no certain diftinction of time; and, therefore, have no proper tenfes: but they have two forms, one of which expreffes abfolute certainty, and may, therefore, be called the absolute form; and the other implies a condition, and may, therefore, be called the conditional form.
Q. What
Q. What are the inflections of the werbs to do, to have, and to be?
To Do.

## Prefent Tenfe.

| Sing. | Plural. |
| :--- | :--- |
| I do. | We do. |
| Thou doeft, or doft. | Ye do. |
| He doth, or does. | They do. |

(a) Preter Tenfe.
$I$ did.
We did.
Thou didf.
He did.
re did.
They did.

## Participles.

Prefent. Doing.
Preterite. . Done.
To Have.

## Prefent Tenfe.

I have.
Thou haft.
He hath, or has.

We have.
re have.
They have.
(a) After each tenfe may be fubjoined the conjunctive form of it; as, If I do, if thou do. If I did, if thou did, \&c.
Prater Tense.
Sing.
In aral.
Thou half. Ye had.
He had. $\quad$ They had.
Participles.
Prefent. Having.
Peter. Had.
To Be.
Prevent Tense.
I am. We are.
Thou art. $\quad$ Ye are.
He is.

Conjunctive form of the present Tense.
If we be.
If thou be
(b) If ye be.

If he be.
If they be.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Peter Tenfe. |
| I was. | We were. |
| Thou waft. | Ye were. |
| He was. | They were. |

Conjunctive Form.
If I were.
If we were.
If thou wert.
If ye were.
If he segre.
If they were.
(b) Mr. Fohnfon fays beef.

## $\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{S} . & \quad \mathbf{i}\end{array}$

Participles.
Prefent. Being.
Peter. Been.
Q. What are the inflections of the verbs ת hall, will, may, can, and muff?
A.

Shall.

|  | Absolute Form. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sing. | Plural. |
| I hall. | We hall. |
| Though halt. | re hall. |
| He Shall. | They hall. |

## Conditional Form.

I gould. We gould. Thou fhouldef. Ye gould: He gould. They gould.

Will.
Absolute Form.

I will.
Thou wilt.
He will.

We will.
re will.
They will.

Conditional Form.
1 would. $\quad$ We would. Thou wouldeft. Ye would. He would. They would.

May.

May.
Absolute Form.

Sing.
1 may.
Thou mayef. He may.

Plural.
He may.
re may.
They may.

Conditional Form.
$I$ might.
Thou mighteft. He might.

We might.
Ye might.
They might
Can.
Absolute Form.
I can.
Thou canft. He can.

We can.
Ye can.
They can.

## Conditional Form.

I could.
Thou couldef. He could.

We could.
re could.
They could:

Muf.
$-\quad$ Prefent Tenfe.
1 muft.
We muft.
Thou muft.
He muft.
$\cdot r_{e} m u f$.
They muft.
Q. What
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Q. What are the Compound Tenses: of verbs?
A. 'The Compound Tenses of verbs are the tenfes of auxiliary verbs ufed in conjunction with fome form, or participle of other verbs; as I fhall hear, I may have heard.
Q. In what manner are the auxiliary' verbs ufed in conjunction with other verbs ?
A. To the feveral tenfes of the auxiliary verb to have, is joined the participle preterite, as I have written, I have been. To thofe of the verb to be, are joined both the participles; the prefent and preterite: as $I$ am hearing, and $I$ am heard; and to all the reft of the auxiliary verbs: is joined the radical form of the verb; as: I Shall, will, may, muft, can, or do write; $I$ fhall, will, may, muft, or can be.
Q. Into how many claffes, or orders, may the compound tenfes of verbs be diftributed ?
A. The compound tenfes of verbs may be commodioully diftributed into three diftinct claffes or orders; according as the auxiliary verbs that conftitue them require the radical form, the participle prefent, or the participle preterite to be joined with them. They are likewife Single;:
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fingle, double, or triple, according as one, two, or three auxiliary verbs are made ufe of.
Q. Repeat the compound tenfes of the verb to hear.
A. The compound tenfes of the firft order, or thofe in which the radical form of the principal verb is made ufe of,

Will, can, may, muft, or /hall hear. A bfolute ${ }^{2}$ I Shall hear, 'Thou Jhalt hear, form. $\}$ He hall hear, \&c. $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Conditi- } \\ \text { onal. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { I hould hear, Thou תhouldeft } \\ & \text { hear, He hould hear, \&c. (d) }\end{aligned}$

The compound tenfes of the fecond order, or thofe in which the participle prefent is made ufe of,

## To be hearing.

Prefent $\}$ I am hearing, Thou art tenfe. $\}$ hearing, \&c.
Conjunc- $\}$ If I be hearing, If thou be tive form. $\}$ hearing, \&c.
Preterite. $\}$ I was hearing, Thou waf. hearing, \&c.
(d) In the fame manner form the tenfes made by reill, can, may, and muff. The conjunctive form of the tentics may likewife be fupplied in its proper place, if it be thought neceffary.

Conjunct- $\}$ If I were hearing, If thou rive. wert hearing, \&c.

Participle prefent. Being hearing.
Participle preterite. Been hearing.

## The first Double Compound.



The second double Compound.
To have beer hearing.
Present $\quad$ I have been hearing, Thou haft tenfe. $\}$ been hearing, \&c.
Preterite. $\}$ I had been hearing, Thou had /t been hearing, \&c. Participle prefent. Having been hearing:

## The Cripple Compound.

Shall have been hearing. Absolute I/hall have been hearing, Thou form.
Conditi- \} . ~ I ~ g o u l d ~ h a v e ~ b e e n ~ h e a r i n g , ~ onal. J. T'bou houldeft have, \&c.

The
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The compound tenfes of the third order ;: viz. thole in which the participle eretrite of the principal verb is ufed.

## To be heard.

Prefent $\}$ I am heard, Thou art heard. tenfe. \&c.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Conjunct- } \\ \text { ive form. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}\text { If Abe heard, If thou be heard, } \\ \text { \&c. }\end{gathered}$
Preterite. $\} \begin{aligned} & I \text { was heard, thou waft heard: } \\ & \& \mathrm{c} .\end{aligned}$
Gonjunct- $\}$ If I were heard, if thou wert ives. \} ~ h e a r d , ~ \ \& c . ~
$\begin{array}{cl}\text { Participle prefent. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Being heard: } \\ \text { Been heard. }\end{array} \\ \text { preterite. } & \text { B er }\end{array}$
The first Double Compound.
Shall be heard.
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Absolute } \\ \text { form. }\end{array}\right\}$
If hall be heard, Thou Shalt be heard, \&c.
Conditi- $\}$ I/hould. be heard, thou Should-. oral. \} ~ eft, \&c.

The fecond Double Compound.
Shall have beard.
Absolute ? I Shall have heard, Thou Shalt form. $\}$ have, \&tc.
Gonditi- \} I /hould have heard; Thou oval. $S$ Jhouldef, \&c.

## $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \quad \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{S}$.

## The third Double Compound.

'To have been heard.
Prefent $\}$ I have been heard, Thou haft tenfe. been heard, \&e.
Preterite. $\}$ I had been heard, Thou hadf: been heard, \&c.
Participle prefent. Having been heard.

## The Triple Compound.

## Shall have been heard.


Q. What do you obferve concerningthefe compound tenfes?
A. It is obfervable that, in forming thetenfes, all the change of termination is confined to the auxiliary that is named. firft ; and therefore, fecondly, That if the auxiliary which is firt named, have no participle, there is no participle belonging to the tenfes that are made by it.

To this fection concerning the infections of words, it may be convenient to fubjoin an account of thofe claffes which admit of few, or no inflections.
Q. What
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Q. What are Adveress?
A. Adverbs are contractions of fentences, or of claufes of a fentence, generally ferving to denote the manner, and -other circumfances of an action; as wifely, i. e. in a wife manner; now, i. e. at this time; here, in this place.
Q. How many kinds of adverbs are there?
A. Adverbs may be diftributed into as many kinds as there are circumftances of an action. They may, therefore, be referred to a great variety of heads. The principal of them are the three following; viz. ift, Thofe of Place; as here, there. 2dly, Thofe of Time; as often, fometimes, prefently. And, 3 dly, Thofe of Quality or Manner, which are derived from adjectives by adding [ $l y$ ] to them; as, wifely, happily, frffly; from wife, happy, firf.
Q. What is a Preposition?
A. A Preposition is a word that expreffeth the relation that one word hath to another; fuch as of, with, from, to: as, He bought it with money, He went to London.
Q. What are Conjunctions?
A. Conjunctions are words that join fentences together, and fhew the manner

## V E R B

of their dependence upon one another ; as and, if, but, \&c.
Q. What are Interjections?
A. Interjections are broken or imperfect words, denoting fome emotion or paffion of the mind; as, $a b, o b$, pby.

It may not be improper, alfo, to lay down, in this place, for the ufe of learners, Eafy rules to difinguifh the feveral parts of Speech.

A Subftantive admits of [a] [tbe] good, bad, or fome other known adjective before it; as, a good man.

An Adjective hath no determinate meaning with only [a] or [tbe] before it ; but requires man or thing after it; and admits of degrees of comparifon; as a good man, a better man.

A Verb admits of the perfonal pronouns before it, as He loves, They love.

Pronouns have been enumerated.
Adverbs do all, or moft of them, anfwer to fome one of thefe queftions, How? How much? When? or Where? when the anfwer gives no word that is known, by the preceding rules, to be a fubftantive or adjective.

Prepofitions eafily admit the oblique cafes of the perfonal pronouns, me, him, her, me, among them.

Conjunctions and Interjections are eafily known by their definitions.

## SECTIONV.

Of the Derivation and Compofition of Words.

$\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{E}}$Efides the conftant and regular inflections of words, of which an account has been given in the preceding fections; there are many other changes, by means of which words pals from one clafs to another: but, becaufe only fome of the words of any clafs admit of a fit milar change, they are not ufually enumerated among the grammatical changes of terminations. In nothing, however, is the genius of a language more apparent than in fuch changes; and, were they uniform and conftant, they would have the fame right to be taken notice of by grammarians that any other inflections have. Of thele changes I fhall here give the following hort fummary, extracted chiefly from Mr. Johnion.

## DERIVATION.

r Nouns are frequently converted into verbs by lengthening the found of their vowels; as to house, to braze, to glaze, to breathe; from house, brass, glass, breath.

Sometimes nouns are elegantly conversed into verbs without any change at all. Cushioned, Bolingbroke. Diademed, Pope. Ribboned, Lady M. W. Montague.

Verbs, with little or no variation, are converted into fubfantives, exprelfing what is denoted by the verb as done or procured; as love, a fright; from to love, to fright: : and a flroke, from truck, the preterite of the verb to Alike.

Betides thee, words of the following terminations are generally derivative ; nouns ending in
-er, derived from verbs, fignify the agent; as lover, writer, friker.
Some nouns of this clays, in confequence of frequent ufe, have chafed to be confideed as belonging to it; and in this cafe the $e$ is often changed into forme other vowel, as liar, conductor.
-ing, lignify the action of the verb they are derived from; as the frighting, the friking.
-th, are abfiract fubfantives derived from concrete adjectives; as length, frength,: dearth; from long, flong, dear. —nefs,
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-n es, $\quad$ denote character or quality; as
—hood, or $\}$ whitenefs, hardnefs, manhood,
-head, $\int$ widowhood, godhead.
-Ship, fignify office, employment, fate, or: condition; as king/hip, fewardjhip.
-cry, action or habit; as knavery, foolcry, roguery.
-wick,
Crick. $\}$ jurifdiction; as, bailiwick, bifhop-
-ry, $\}$ rick, deary, kingdom.
-ian, profeffion; as, theologian, physician.
-ard, character or habit; as;. drunkard,. dotard, dullard.
are derived from the French,
-ment and $\}_{\quad \text { are derived from generally fignify the. }}^{\quad \text { and }}$ act or the habit; as com-mandment, usage.
-ed, the poffeffor (of French original alto) as, granteé, one to whom a grant is . made; leffee, to whom the leafe is made, Etc.
Nouns fometimes become diminutives by: the addition of $[i n]$ or forme other production of their termination; as golfing.. lambkin, hillock, pickerel, rivulet.

Adjectives ending in
are generally derived from nouns,.
$-y$ and $\}$ and lignify plenty and abundance; as. lousy, airy, joyful, fruitful.

## D•ERIV:ATION.

-fome (q. d. fomething; i. e. in fome degree) fignify likewife plenty, but in a lefs degree than the terminations [ $y$ ] and [full] as gamefome, lonefome.
-lefs, fignify want, as, worthlefs, joylefs.
-ly, (q. d. like) fignify likenefs; as, giantly: heavenly.
-ijh, fignify jimilitude or tendency to a character; as whitijh, thievifh, chitdifh; alfo belonging to a nation; as $D a-$ nilh, Spanilh, Irijh.
-able, derived from nouns or verbs, fignify capacity; as comfortable, tenable, improveable. Verbs ending in
-en are frequently derived from adjectives, and fignify the production of the quality; as to lengthen, to Arengthen.
The participles prefixed to words, with their ufe in compofition, are the following :

Ante-fignifies before; as Antediluvian. Anti-and $\}$ asainft; as Antimonarchicals Contra- $\}$ contradict.
Circum-about; as circumfcribe. De-down; as depofe, depreciate.
Dis-negation, or privation ; as dijbelieve, dilike, dijarm.
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In (changed fometimes into [ind before [ $m$ ] into [il] always before [ $l$ ] into [ir] before [ $r$ ] in words derived from the Latin, and into [un] in other words) fignifies me= gation; as unpleafant, ineffectuals im: perfect, illegitimate, irrefragable.
Mifs-error ; as miftàke, mifreprefint.
Per-through; as perfucode, perfitit.
Poft-after; as poftpone.
Preter-beyond (in power) as preternatural. Uitra-beyond (in place) as Uitramontane.
Inter-among; as ixtermix.
Tranf-over; as transfer, tramfate.
Re-again, or, backward; as revolve, rebotind.
Super-above; as jupernatural.
$S w b-w n d e r$; as $j a b j c r i b e$.

## $[35$ ]

## $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{R}$ t II.

Of the grammatical UJe and Signification of certain Words, efpecially fuch as the paucity of our inflections obliges us to make ufe of, in order to exprefs what, in other languages, is . effected by change of termination.

## S E CTION I.

Of the Articles.
Q. WH AT are Articles?

Articles are the words [a] and [the] placed before nouns, to afcertain the extent of their fignification.
Q. What is the ufe of the article [a]?
A. The article [a] (before a confonant, but [an] before a vowel) intimates that one only of a fpecies is meant, but not any one in particular; as, This is a good book; i.e. One among the books that are good. Hence it is called the article Indefinite.
Q. What is the ufe of the article [the]?
A. The article [the] limits the fignification of a word to one or more of a fpecies; as This is the book; Thefe are the men; -i.e. this particular book, and thefe particular men. For this reafon it is called the article Definite.
Q. In what fenfe is a noun to be un. derftood, when neither of thefe articles is prefixed to it ?
A. Generally, in an unlimited fenfe, expreffing not one in general, or one in particular, but every individual that can be comprehended in the term, as, Man is born to trouble ; i. e. whoever partakes of human nature, all mankind.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
37 & ]
\end{array}\right.
$$

## SECTION II.

Of the Ufe of the Auxiliary Verbs.

Q.$\mathbf{N}$ what manner doth the auxiliary verb to do affect the fignification of verbs?
A. It only renders the affirmation the more emphatical; as I do love, I did hate; i. e. I love indeed, Indeed I hated.
Q. In what manner doth the auxiliary verb to be affect the fignification of verbs?
A. The auxiliary verb to be, joined with the participle prefent of a verb, expreffes the affirmation with the greater emphafis and precifion; as I am writing, i.e. in the very action of writing; and joined to the participle preterite of a verb, it fignifies the fuffering or receiving the action expreffed; as I am loved, I was hated.
Q. What is the ufe of the auxiliary verbs fhall and will?
A. When we fimply foretel, we ufe fhall in the firft perfon, and will in the reft; as I hall, or he will write: but when we promife, threaten, or engage, we ufe will in the firft perfon, and fhall in the reft; as I will, or he fhall write.
Q. In what manner do the auxiliary D 3
verbs
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verbs can, may, and muft, affect the fignification of verbs?
A. In the abjolute form, the auxiliary verb cam, fignifies a prefent power; may. a right; and muft a necefity to do fomething that is, not yet done; as 1 can , may, or muyft, wirits; and the conditional forms could and might, lignify likewife, a pooser and right to do what is affirmed, but imply the intervention of fonve obftacle or impediment, that prevents its taking place; as $I$ could, or might werite; i. e. if nothing hindered. -The like may alfo be obferved of the conditional forms of fhall and weill.
Q. In what manner doth the auxiliaty verb to have affect the fignification of verbs?
A. The auxiliary verb to have fignifies that what is affirmed is or was paft ; as $I$ have received, I had written; i. e. the action of receiving is now paft, and the action of writing was then over.
Q. In what manner doth the auxiliary verb to havie determine the time of any action?
A. When we make ufe of the auxiliary verb to have, we have no idea of any certain portion of time intervening between the time of the action and the time of jeraking of it; the time of the action being

## AUXILIARY VERBS.

being fome period that extends to the prefent; as I have this year, or this morning, written; fpoken in the fame year, or the fame morning: whereas, fpeaking of any action done in a period of time that is wholly expired, we ufe the preter tenfe of the verb; as laft year, or yefferday, I worote a letter: intimating, that fome certain portion of time is paft between the time of the action, and the time of fpeaking of it.
Q. Are there no other verbs, befides thofe which are called awxiliary, that are joined in conftruction with other verbs, without being followed by the prepofition to?
A. The verbs bid, dare, read, make, fee, hear, feel, and alfo act, are ufed in the fame conftruction; as, He faw me write. it. I heard him fay it.

One of the greateft difficulties in the Englifh language, relates to the fubject of this part; as it confifts in the ufe of the conjunctive particles and prepofitions particularly of, to, for, with, and in, with a few others. Indeed, there is nothing in which the practice of our beft authors is more variable or capricious : but I thought it would be beft, to throw all the remarks
¥o ENGLISH GRAMMAR:
remarks I have made on this fubject, into the Additional Obfervations.


## PA R III.

Of Syntax; comfrifing the Order of Words in a Sentence, and the Correfpondence of one Word to another.
Q. TW.H.AT is the ufual place for the fubject of the affirmation in an affirmative fentence ?
A. Before the verb; as the word Alexander in the fentence, Alexander conquered Darius.
Q. What is its place in an interrogative fentence?
A. Between the auxiliary and the radical form of the principal verb; as, Did Alexander conquer Darius?
Q. What is the ufual place for the object of an Affirmation?
A. After the verb, as the word Darius in the fentence, Alexander conquered Darius.
Q. What is the ufual place of the adjcetive?
A. Im-
A. Immediately before the fubftantive; : as, a good man, a fine horse.
Q. In what cales is the adjective placed after the fubftantive?
A. When a claufe of a fentence depends upon the adjective; as, a man generous to bis enemies. Feed me with food convenient for me.
Q. What is the proper place for the pronoun relative?
A. Immediately after its antecedent; as That is the Darius, whom Alexander conquered.
Q. What is the moft convenient place for an adverb, or a feparate claufe of a fentence?
A. Between the fubject and the verb; as, Alexander intirely conquered Darius. Alexander, in three battles, conquered Darius. Or between the auxiliaries and the verb or participle; as, hou have prefently difpatched this buineefs. I have been exceedingly pleafed.
Q. What is the correfpondence of the adjective pronouns with their fubftantives?
A. They muft agree in number; as, This man. Thefe men.
Q. What is the correfpondence of the verb and its fubject ?
A. They
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A. They muft have the fame number, and perfon; as, I love. Thou loveft. He lowes, The fun fineses, Ejc.
Q. Suppofe there be two fubjects of the fame affirmation, and they be both of the fingular number?
A. The verb correfponding to them mult be in the plural; as, Your youth and merit have been abufed.
Q. In what circumftances is the oblique cale of pronouns ufed?
A. After verbs tranfitive, and prepofitions; as, He loves her. I yave the book to him.

As but few of the relations of words and fentences in conftruction are expreffed by a change of termination in Englifh, but generally by conjunctive particles, the art of Englifh Syntax muft confift, chiefly, in the proper application of the conjunctive particles; and the accurate ufe of thefe can only be learned from obfervation and a dictionary.

What I have obferved on this fubject will be found among the Additional Objerrations.

Part
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## Partiv.

> Of Profody.

A. WHat is Prosody?
Prosody is that part of Grammar which teaches the rules of Pronunciation, and of Ver/fication.
Q. Wherein confifts the art of Pronunciation?
A. In laying the accent upon the proper fyllable of a word, and the emphafis upon the proper word of a fentence.
Q. Upon what doth the art of $\operatorname{Ver} / 2$ fication depend ?
A. Upon arranging the fyllables of words according to cerrain laws, refpecting quantity or accent.
Q. What is moft obfervable in the arrangement of fyllables according to their quankity?
A. If the accent fall upon the firffyllable, the third, the fifth, 8 xc . the verfe is faid to confift of Tichees; which is called a foot of two fyllables, whereof the firt is long, and the fecond fhort.

If it fall upon the fecond, the fourth, the fixth, \&c. as is moft ufual in Englifh verfe,
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it is faid to confift of Iambics; which are feet of two fyllables, whereof the firft is fhort, and the fecond long.

If two fyllables be pronounced both long, the foot is called a spondee; and if one long fyllable be fucceeded by two thort ones continually, the verfe is faid to confift of Dactyls. I fhall give a fhort fpecimen of each of thefe kinds of verfe.

Trochaical
In thě | dāys ơf | old,
Störiés | plăinly | töld,
(e) Iambic.

Wīth rà | vǐh'd eärs
Thẽ mōn | ărch heärs.
Daitylic, fometimes called Anapreftic.
Dr | ōgĕněs | fürly̆ ănd | prōud.
Verfes confift of more or fewer of thefe feet at pleafure ; and verfes of different lengths intermixed form a Pindarick poem.
(c) A Spondec, with which Iambic verfes abound.

Part
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## $\mathbf{P a r t}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{V}$.

Of Figures.

FIGURES are thofe deviations frons grammatical or natural propriety, which are either allowed or admired.

Thofe which affect Englifh letters or fyllables, and which may therefore be termed Orthographical figures, are Apherefis, when a fyllable or letter is omitted at the beginning of a word; as 'tis, for it is; Syncope, when it is left out in the middle; as ne'er, for never; and Apocope, when omitted at the end; as tho' for though.

The omiffion of a word neceffary to grammatical propriety, is called Ellip/fs; as 1 wigh you would write, for $I$ wihh that you would write.

Particles, and fome other words, muft frequently be fupplied to make the conftruction complete; as in the following fentences. I value it not a (or of a) farthing; i. e. at the price of a farthing : at treelve o'slock; i. e. of the clock.
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The pronoun relative is frequently 0 : mitted; as, The houfe I have built; inftead: of faying, The houfe that, or which, I have built. To make very frequent ufe of this ellipfis feems to be a fault.

With refpect to the ufe of figures it is obferved, that the orthographical figures are not ufed with approbation, except in very: familiar writing, or verfe.
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## A N

## A P P E N D I X,

Containing a Catalogue of Verbs irregularly inflected.

THAT I might not crowd the notes too much, I have chofen to throw into an Appendix, a catalogue of verbs irregularly inflected, excluding thofe verbs, and parts of verbs, which are become ob.. folete; that learners may be at no lofs. what form of expreffion to prefer. It is extracted chiefly from Mr. Ward's catalogues but without taking any notice of his diftinction of conjugations. When the regular inflection is in ufe, as well as the irregular one, an afterifm is put.

Radical form. Preter tenfe. Participle pret. arife arofe. arifen. awake. awoke.* awoke.* bear, or bring forth. $\}$ bare. bear, or carry. bore borne.
beat.


VERBS irregularly inflected.
Radical form. Preter tenfe. Participle pret.
drink. drive. eat. fall. feed.
fight. find.
flee
fing.
fly.
forfake freeze.
get.
give.
go.
grind.
grow.
hang.
hew.
hide.
hit.
hold.
hurt.
keep.
knit.
know
lay.
lead.
drank.
drove.
ate.
fell.
fed.
fought.
found.
fled.
flung.
flew.
forfook.
froze.
gat, got.
gave.
went. ground.
grew.
hung.*
hewed.
hid.
hit.
held.
hurt.
kept.
knitted.
knew.
laid.
led.
drunk.
driven.
eaten.
fallen.
fed.
fought.
found.
fled.
flung.
fown.
forfaken.
frozen.
gotten.
given.
gone.
ground.
grown.
hung:
hewn.
hidden.
hit.
holden, held. hurt.
kept.
knitted, knit.
known.
laid, lain.
led.

Radical
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Radical form. Preter tenfe. Participle pret.
leave.
let.
lie.
load.
lofe.
make.
meet.
mow.
pay.
put.
-
read.
rend.
ring.
rive.
rife.
ride.
run.
faw.
fee.
feek.
feethe.
fell.
fend.
fet.
fhake.
Thave.
Thear.
left.
let.
lay.
loaded.
loft.
made.
met.
mowed.
paid.
put.
quoth he.
read.
rent.
rung, rang.
rived.
rofe:
rode.
ran.
fawed.
faw.
fought.
feethed.
fold.
fent.
fet.
fhook.
fhaved.
fheared.
left.
let.
tain.
loaden, laden:*
loft.
made.
met.
mown.* paid.
put
read.
rent.
rung.
riven.
rifen.
ridden.
run.
fawn.
feen.
fought.
fodden.
fold.
fent.
fet.
fhaken.
fhaven. ${ }^{*}$
fhorn.

Radical

VERBS irregularly inflected. $5^{\text {I }}$
Radical form. Preter tenfe. Participle pret. fhed. fhine. fhoe.
fhoot.
flow, fhew.
fhed.
fhone.
fhod.
fhot.
fhowed,
fhewed.
fhrank, fhrunk. fhrunk,
fhut.
fang.
funk.
fat.
Hew.
fided.
nunk.
nung.
nit.
fmote.
fowed.
fpoke.
fped,
fpent.
fpun,
fpat.
fplit.
fpread.
fprung, fprang. fprung.
ftood,
ftole.
ftuck. E 2
fhed.
fhone.*
fhod.
fhot.
fhown,*
fhewn.*
fhut.
fung.
funk:
fat.
nain.
nidden.
nunk.
nung.
nit.
fmitten.
fown.*
fpoken.
fped.
fpent.
fpun.
fpitted,
fplit.
fpread.
ftood.
ftolen.
ftuck.
Radical
$5^{2}$
Radica ftink. ftride. ftrike. ftring. ftrive.
ftrow.
fwear. fweat. fwell. fwim. fwing. take.
teach. tear. tell. think. thrive. throw. thruft. tread.
wear.
weave.
weep.
win.
wind.
work. wring. write.
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form. Preter tenje. Participle pret.
ftung.
ftunk.
ftridden.
ftricken.
ftrung.
ftriven.
ftrown.
fworn.
fweat.
fwollen.*
fwum.
fwung.
taken.
taught.
torn.
told.
thought.
thriven.
thrown.
thruft.
trodden.
worn.
woven.
wept.
won.
wound.*
wrought.
wrung.
written.
That
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That this catalogue might be reduced into as fmall a compafs as pofible, thofe irregularities are omitted that have been produced merely by the quick pronunciation of regular preterite tenles and participles; whereby the ed is contracted into $t$. But this contraction is not admitted in folemn language, except in verbs which end in $l, l l$, or $p$; as creep, crept ; fril, felt; dwell; dwelt; though it is fometimes ufed in words ending in $d:$ as gird, girt; geld, gelt, \&c.
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Notes and Observations,
For the Ufe of thofe who have made fome Proficiency in the Language.

## SECTION I.

Of the Plural Number of Nouns.

SOmetimes we find an apoftrophe ufed in the plural number, when the noun ends in a vowel; as inamorato's, toga's, tanica's, Otho's, a fet of virtuofo's. Addifon on Medals. The idea's of the author have been converfant with the faults of other writers. Swift's Tale of a Tub, p. 55. It is alfo ufed, fometimes when the noun ends in $s$; as, genius's, caduceus's, 'Facobus's. Addifon on Medals, p. 79. But it feems better to add es in thefe cafes; as, rendezvoufes. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 113.

Words
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Words compounded of man have merr in the plural; as, Alderman, aldermen. Muflulmans, (Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 2. p. 88.) feems aukward.

Words derived from foreign languages often retain their original plural terminations; as Cherubim, phenomena, radii, beaux. But when foreign words are completely incorporated into our language, they take Englifh plurals, as epitomes. Addifon. When words of foreign extraction are, as it were, half incorporated into the language, they fometimes retain their native plurals, and fometimes acquire thofe of the Englifh. Thus fome perfons write criterions, others criteria; fome write mediums, and others media. Some foreign words both retain their native plurals, and acquire the Englifh, but they are ufed in different fenfes. This is the cafe with the word index. We fay indexes of books, and indices of algebraical quantities.

When a noun is compounded of an adjective, which has not entirely coalefced with it into one word, it occafions fome difficulty where to place the fign of the plural number, as in the word handful. Some would fay two hands full; others,
two handfuls; and Butler, perhaps for the fake of the rhyme, writes two handful.

> For of the lower part, two handful, It had devoured, it was fo manf...

When a name has a title prefixed to it, as Doctor, Mifs, Mafor, Ėc. the plural termination affects only the latter of the two words; as, the twi Doilor Nettletons, the treo Miss Thomfons; tho' a Itrict analogy would plead for the alteration of the former word, and lead us to fay, the two Doctors Nettleton, the two Mifes Thomfon: for, if we fupplied the ellipfis, we fhould fay, the two Doctors of the name of Nettleton, and, the two young ladies of the name of Thomfon; and I remember to have met with this conftruction fomewhere, either in Clariffa, or Sir Charles Grandifon; but I cannot now recollect the paffage.

Many of the words which have no fingular number, denote things which confift -of two parts, or go by pairs, and therefore are, in fome meafure, intitled to a plural termination; as, lungs, bellows, breeches. The word pair is generally ufed with many of them; as a pair of compaffes, a pair of drawers, a pair of colours, שׂic. Alfo many of thefe words denote things which confilt of many parts, and therefore are, in the
ftrictelt
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ftricteft fenfe, plurals; as grains, annals, oats, mallows, and other plants; afhes, embers, flings, vitals, hatches, cloaths, EJc. But others are not eafily reduced to this rule, and no reafon can be given why the things might not have been expreffed by words of the fingular number; as, calends, nones, ides, riches, odds, Jhambles, tbanks, tidings, wages, victuals, and things that have only quantity, and do not exift in diftinct parts; as, the grounds of liquors, :bcaffings, affets, E'c.

Many of the words which have no fingular termination, are the names of fciences; as, ethics, mathematics, belles lettres, $\mathcal{E}^{2} c$. Many of them are the names of gamcs; as, billiards, fives, \&xc. Many of them, alfo, are the names of difeafes; as the meafles, hiferics, glanders, \&c. And fome, in imitation of the Greek and Latin, are the names of feftivals, and other ftated times; as, orgies, matins, vefpers, \&c.

Some of thefe words have a fingular termination in ufe, but it is applied in a different fenfe; as arms, for weapons, and an arm of the body; a pair of colours belonging to the army, good manners, a perfon's goods, good graces, a foldier's quarters, a man's betters, hangings, doings. And of their doings great diflike declared. Milton.
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Milton. Some words are alfo found in the fingular, but more generally in the plural ; as firft fruits, antipodes, \&x..

To exprefs the fingular of any of thefe words which have only a plural termination in ufe, we have recourfe to a periphrafis; as, one of the annals, one of the grains, one of the pleiades, \&c.

Tradefmen fay one pound, twenty pound, \&e. And the fame rule they obferve with refpect to all weights and meafures. Allo. a gentleman will always fay, how many carp, or how many tench, \&c. have you, and never how many carps, or how many tenches, \&c. This may be faid to be ungrammatical ; or, at leaft, a very harfh ellipfis; but cuftom authorizes it, and many more departures from frict grammar, particularly in converfation. Sometimes writers have adopted this colloquial form of fpeech. He is faid to have fhot, with his own hands; fifty brace of pheajants. Addifon. When Innocent the inth defired the Marquis de Eaftres to furni/h thirty thoufand head of fivine, he could not fpare them, but thirty thoufand laveyers he had at his fervice. Addifon. A fieet of thirtynine fail. Hime's Hift. vol. 3. p. 448.

Many words, however, in the fingular number, feem to be ufed in the plural. con-
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conftruction; when, perhaps, the fupplying of an ellipfis would make it pretty eafy. The 2uen dowager became more averfe to all alliance with a nation, who had departed fo far from all ancient principles. Hume's Hift. vol. 4. p. 833. i. e. all kinds of alliance. Thus we fay, a thoufand hor $f e$, or foot; meaning a thoufand of the troops that fight on foot, or with a horfe. They are a good apple, i. e. they are of 2 good fpecies of the fruit called an apple. And thus, alfo, perhaps, may fome of the examples in the former paragraph be analized.

Names of mental qualities feldom have any plurals, yet when particular acts and not general habits are meant, the plural' number fometimes occurs; as infolences. Hume's Hift. vol. 7. p. 4 II. But it feems better to have recourfe to a periphrafis in this cafe. In things of an intellectual nature, the fingular number will often. fuffice, even when the things fpoken of are mentioned as belonging to a number of perfons; but if the things be corporeal, though they be ufed in a figurative fenfe, the plural number feems to be required. Thus we fay, their defign, their intention, and perhaps, their heart; but not tbeir - head, or their mouth. This people drazus nigh
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nigh unto me with their mouth, and honours me with their lips, but their heart is far from me. Matthew. Ferdinand defigned to zoreft from the Venetians fome towins, which his predeceffor had conjigned to their hand. Hume's Hift. vol. 3. p. 438.

Words that do not admit of a plural, on account of their being of an intellectual nature, are eafily applied to a number of perfons. Thus we fay, the courage of an army, or the courage of a thoufand men; though each man, feparately taken, be fuppofed to have courage. In thefe cafes, if we take away the abftract and intellectual term, and fubftitute another, which is particular and corporeal, we mult change the number, though the conftruction and meaning of the fentence be the fame. The enmity of Francis the firft, and Charles the fifth, fubiffed between their pofterity for feveral ages. Robertfon's Hift of Scotland, vol. 1. p. 74. If the author had not ufed the word peferity, which is in the fingular number, he muft have faid children, or fons, or defcendants, in the plural.

There are many words which, in general, have no plurals, as wool, wheat, \&c. which people who are much converfan ,with the things which they fignify, and who,
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who have occafion to make more diftinctions among them, ufe in the plural number, and fometimes thofe plurals get into writing. The coarfer wools have their ufes alfo. Preceptor, vol. 2. p. 435. Yet when nouns, which have ufually no plurals, are ufed in that number, the effect is very difagreeable. But one of the principal foods ufed by the inhabitants is cheefe. Ulloa's Voyage, vol. t. p. $304 \cdot$ This conftruction might eafily have been avoided by a periphrafis; as, but one of the principal kinds of food, EJc.

The word means belongs to the clafs of words which do not change their termination on account of number; for it is ufed alike in both numbers. Left this means Jhould fail. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 65. Some perfons, however, ufe the fingular of this word, and would fay, left this mean fhould fail, and Dr. Lowth pleads for it; but cuftom has fo formed our ears, that they do not eafily admit this form of the word, notwithftanding it is more agreeable to the general analogy of the language.

The word pains is alfo ufed in the fingular number; No pains is taken; Great pains has betntaken. Pope. But both this, and the word means, are alfo ufed as piurals.

The
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The word news is alifo ufed both in the fingular and plural number. Pray, Sir, are there any news of his intimate friend and confdent Darmin. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 18.p. 131. News were brought to the Queen. Hume's Hittory, vol. 4. p. 426. Are there any news at prefent firring in London. Englifh Merchant, p. 7. But notwithftanding thofe authorities, the fingular number feems to be more common, and is therefore to be preferred.

The word billet-doux is alfo ufed in both numbers. Her eyes firft opened on a billet-doux. Pope's Rape of the Lock.Will be carrying about billet-doux. Arbuthnot.

In fome cafes we find two plurals in ufe. The word brother is an example of this; for we both fay brothers and brethren; but the former is ufed of natural relations, and the other in a figurative fenfe; as, men and brethren. The word die, which makes dice when it relates to gaming, makes dies, in the plural number, when it relates to coin. The word cow formerly had kine in the plural number, but we now fay cows. The word Sir has hardly any plural, except in very folemn flyle, borrowed from the old ufe
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of it, as, Oh, Sirs, what flall I ao to be faved. Acts.

Both the word folk, and folks, feem to be ufed promifcuouny, efpecially in converfation; as when we fay, where are the good folks, or folk: but the latter feems to be preferable, as the word in the fingular form implies a number.

Proper names admit of a plural number, where they are figuratively ufed fur common names. It is not enough to have Vitruviufes, we muft alfo have Auguftufes, to employ them. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 9. p. 27 .

It is indifferent, in fome cafes, whether we ufe a word in the fingular, or in the plural number. Thus we fay, in hopes, or in hope, and in the very fame fenfe. His old infructor, imagining that he had now made himielf acnucainted with his difaafe of mind, was in hope of curing it. Raffelas; vod. I. p. I6. Thry went their ways. Hatthew. We fhould now fay, went their way; but, in the York Thire dialect, it is ftill, weent their ways. The laft Pope weas at confiderable charges. Addifon. Notrvithfanding the ravages of thefe twio infatiable enemies, their numbers can hardly be imagincd. Ulloa's voyage, vol. \&s
p. 202.
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p. 202. Their number would exprefs the whole idea, but perhaps not with the fame emphafis. The fingular number would have been better than the plural in the following fentence,--putting our minds inta the difpofals of others. Locke.

## SECTION II.

Of the Genitive Cafe, and other Inflections of Nouns.

T may feem improper to call the Nominative a cafe (i. e. cafus, five inflectio) which is the root from whence other cafes are derived; but the practice of all Grammarians, and the long eftablifhed defini-. tion of terms, authorize this deviation from rigid exactnefs.

The $[f]$ at the end of a word, doth not change into [ $v$ ] for the genitive cafe, as it doth in the plural number. We fay a wife's fortune; but, he takes more wives. than one.

The apoftrophe denotes the omiffion of an [i] which was formerly inferted, and made an addition of a fyllable to the F 2 word.
word. -Mr . Pope, and fome of his cos femporaries, to avoid a harfhnefs in the pronunciation of fome genitives, wrote the word [his] at the end of the word; as Statius 'his Thebais, Socrates his fetters (Spect.) imagining the ['s] to be a contraction for that pronoun: But analogy eafily overturns that fuppofition; for $V e-$ nus his beauty, or Men his wit, were abfurd.

The genitive neceffarily occafions the addition of a fyllable to words ending in [s], and the other terminations which have the fame effect in the plural number; as Venus's beauty, Mofes's rod. Sometimes the additional [s] is fuppreffed in writing, and nothing but the apoftrophe remains. And caft him down at Jefus' feet. Dut this is more common with poets, when the additional fyllable would have been more than their verfe required.

Sometimes the apoftrophe is wholly. omitted, even after the plural number; tho', in that cafe, there is no other lign of the genitive cafe. A collection of writers faults. Swift's Tale of a Tub, p. 55. Ajter ten years wars. Swift.

When, in this and other cafes, the terminations of words are fuch, thar the found makes no ditinction between the genitive of
of the fingular and of the plural number; as, the prince's injuries, and prince's injuries. Hume's Hift. vol. 5. p. 406. It hould feem to be better to decline the ufe of the genitive in the plural number, and fay, the injuries of princes.

The Englifh genitive has often a very harfh found, fo that, in imitation of the French, we daily make more ufe of the particle, of, as they do of $d e$, to exprefs the fame relation. There is fomething aukward in the following fentences, in which this method has not been taken. The general, in the army's name, publifhed a declaration. Hume. The Commons' vote. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 217. The Lords' houfe. Id. Unlefs he be very ignorant of the kingdom's condition. Swift. It were cerrainly better to fay, In the name of the army, the sotes of the Commons, the houfe of lords, the condition of the kingdom. Befides, the Lord's houfe, which is the fame in found with Lords' Houfe, is an expreffion almoft appropriated to a place fet apart for chriftian worfhip.

When an entire claufe of a fentence, beginning with a participle of the prefent tenfe, is ufed as one name, or to exprefs one idea, or circumftance, the noun on which it depends may be put in the geni-
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tive cafe. Thus, inftead of faying, What is the meaning of this lady holding up her train, i. e. what is the meaning of the lady in holding $u p$ her train, we may fay, What is the meaning of this lady's holding up her train; juft as we fay, What is the meaning of this ladj's drefs, Ecc. So we may either fay, I remember it being reckoned a great exploit; or, perhaps more elegantly, I remember its being reckoned, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$ c.

When a name is complex, confifting of more terms than one, the genitive is made by fubjoining the [.] to the laft of the terms. For Herodias' Jake, his brother Philip's wife. Matthew. Lord Feverfiam the general's tent. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 264. This conftruction, however, often feems to be aukward. It would have been eafier and better to have faid, The tent of lord Fererfham the general, Eic. When a term confifts of a name, and an office, or any term explanatory of the former, it may occafion fome doubs to which of them the fign of the genitive Should be annexed, or whether it fhould be fubjoined to them both. Thus, fome would fay, I lift the parcel at Mr. Smith's the bookfciller; others, at Mr. Smith the bookfeller's, and perhaps others, at Mr. Smith's the booi.jeller's. The laft of thefe fo.ms
forms is moft agreeable to the Latin idiom, but the firft feems to be more natural in. ours; and if the addition confift of two or more words, the cafe feems to be very clear; as, I left the parcel at Mr. Smith's the bookjeller and fiationer, i. e. at Mr. Smith's, who is a bookfeller and ftationer, tho' the relative does not eafily follow a genitive cafe.

It is by no means elegant to ufe two Engliih genitives in conftruction with the fame noun. He fummoned an aflembly of bijhops and abbots, whom he acquainted with the pope's and the king's pleafure, Hume's Hintory, vol. 2. p. 177. The pleafure of the pope, and the king, would have been better.

In tome cafes we ufe both the genitive and the prepofition of; as, this book of my friend's. Sometimes, indeed, this method is quite neceffary, in order to diftinguif the fenfe, and to give the idea of property, ftrictly fo called, which is the moft important of the relations expreffed by a genitive cafe. This picture of my friend, and this picture of $m y$ friend's, fuggeft very different ideas. The latter only is that of property in the ftricteft fenfe. Where this double genitive, as it may be called; is not neceffary to diftinguifh the fenfe, F 4
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and efpecially in grave ftile, it is generally. omitted. Thus we fay, It is a difoovery of Sir Ifaac Newton, tho' it would not have been improper, only more familiar, to fiay, It is a difcovery of Sir Ifaac Neruton's: That this double genitive is fufficiently agreeable to the analogy of the Englifh language, is evident from the ufual conjunction of the pronoun poffeffive with. the prepofition of, bath of which have the force of a genitive. Tibis exaclnefs of. his. Triftram Shandy, vol, i. p. 12. In reality, this double genitive may be refolved into two; for, this is a book of my friend's, is the fame as, this is:one of. the books of my. friend.

The Englifh modification of a word, to exprefs the feminine gender, extends not to many words in our language, and the analogy fails when we fhould moft expect it would be kept up. Thus we do notcall: a. female author, an authorefs; and if a lady write poems, fhe is now-a-days: called a poet, rather than a poteff, which is almoft obfolete.

A few of our feminine terminations are Latin, with little or no variation, as adminiftrator, adminiaftratrix; director, directrix; hero, heroina

The:

## AD JECTIVES.

The mafculine gender is fometimes expreffed by prefixing words which are known to be the names of males; as, a dog fox, jack$a f s$, \&c. but generally the mafculine is denoted by he, and the feminine by fie; as, ke-fox, fhe fox.

## SECTION III.

Of Adjectives.

THE adjective enough may be faid to have a plural in our language ; for we fay enough with refpect to quantity, which is fingular; and enow with refpect to number, which is plural. I think there are at Rome enow modern works of architeclure. Addifon. There are enow of zealots of both fides. Hume's Effays, p. 32 .

The word every is by fome writers tranfpofed, and connected with the perfonal. pronouns, in a manner that feems to found harfh to an Englifi ear.

Palmyra; thou command'f myevery thought, i. e. all my thoughts. Smollett's Voltaire, vol." $25 \cdot$ pag: 82.
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My ev'ry thought, $m y$ ev'ry hope is fix'd Onhiin alone. Ib. vol. 18. p. 10.

The which conduct, throughout every, its minuteft energy. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 189.

Some adjectives of number are more eafily converted into fubftantives than others. Thus we more eafily fay, a million of men, than a tboufand of men. On the ocher hand, it will hardly be admitted to fay a milion men, whereas a thoufand men is quite familiar. Yet, in the plural number, a different conftruction feems to be required. We fay fome hundreds, or thoufands, as well as millions of men. Perhaps, on this account, the words millicn, hundreds, and thoufands, will be faid to be fubftantives.

In numbering we often reckon by twenties, calling them fores; as three foore, four focre, tho' we never fay two fcore.

In fome few cafes we feem, after the manner of the Greeks, to make the adjective agree with the fubject of the affirmation; when, in ftrictnefs, it belongs to fome other word in the fentence; as, you had better do it; for, it would be better for you to do it.

An adjective and a fubftantive are both united in the word aught, put for any thing, and

$$
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and nought put for nothing. For aught which to me appears contrary. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 2 I. Naught was wanting. Hume's Hiftory, vol 6. p. 5. Thefe contractions, however, are but little ufed, and are hardly to be approved of.

The word leffer, though condemned by Mr. Johnfon, and other Englifh grammarians, is often ufed by good writers. The sreater number frequently fly before the leffer. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 1. p. 172. The kings of France werre the chief of fertral greater raffals, by whom they were very ill obeyed, and of a greater number of leffer ones. Ib. vol. 6. p. 172.

Sometimes the comparative of late is written latter, as well as later; and, I think, we ufe thofe two comparatives in different fenfes. The latter of two, I fancy, refers either to place or time, whereas later refpects time only.

In feveral adjectives the termination $\mathrm{mof} t$ is ufed to exprefs the fuperlative degree; as, hindermeft, cr hindmoft; hithermoft (almoft obfolete); uppermaft, undermoft, nethermof $\mathcal{A}$, innermoft, outermof, uttermof or utmoft. Some of thele have no comparatives, or pofitives, or none that are adjec. tives.
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The adjective old is compared two ways: We both fay older, and oldef; and likewife; elder, and eldef; but ufe feems to have atfigned to them different acceptations; for elder, and eldeft, feem to refer to priority of rank or privilege, in confequence of age; whereas older and oldeft, refpect the number of years only. Speaking of two very old perfons, we fhould naturally fay, that one of them was the older of the two; but fpeaking of two brothers, with refpect to the right of inheritance, we thould fay, that one of them was the elder of the two.

Several adverbs are ufed, in an elegane manner, to anfwer the purpofe of degrees of comparion. There is great beauty in the ufe of the word rather, to exprefs a fmall degree, or excefs of a quality. She is rather profufe in her expences. Critical Review, No. 9o. p. 43.

The word full is likewife ufed to exprefs a fmall excefs of any quality. Thus we fay, The tea is full weak, or full frong; but this is only a colloquial phrafe.

The prepofition with is alfo fometimes ufed in converfation, to exprefs a degree of quality fomething lefs than the greateft; as, They are with the wideft.

Sometimes comparatives are fed in a rene merely pofitive, fo that it may occation a little furprize to find them used in a fence ftrictly comparative; as the phrafe wife and better in the following fentence. It is a glorious privilege, and he who practifes it, may grow wifer and better by an hour's Serious meditation, than by a month's reading. Female American. vol. i. p. 103.

There are rome DiSyllables which would not admit the ternimation [er] or [ $\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{f}$ ] without a harihnefs in the pronunciation. It is, therefore, usual to compare them in the fame manner as Polijillables, without any change of termination. Of the fe, Mr. Johnfon has given us the following enumeration ; viz. fuck as terminate in,

Some, as fulfome. ives, as, maflive. fol, as careful. dy, as woody.
ing, as trifling.
gins, as porous. $l e / s$, as careless.
ed, as wretched. $i d$, as candid.
al, as mortal. int, as recent. ding as certain.
$f y$, as puffy.
ky, as rocky; except: lucky.
$m y$, as roomy.
ny, as /Rimy.
$p y$, as ropy; except. happy.
$x y_{2}$ as hoary.

Some:

Some adjectives do not, in their own nature, and by reafon of their fignification, admit of comparifon; fuch as univerfal, perfect, \&c. yet it is not uncommon to fee the comparative or fuperlative of fuch words; being ufed, either thro' inadvertency, or for the lake of emphafis. He fometimes claims admifion to the chiefeft offices of the army. Clarendon. The quarrel was becone fo univerfal and national. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 1. p. 258. A method of attaining the righteft and greatef happinefs. Price.

There is fill a greater impropriety in a double comparative, or a double fuperlative. Dr. Lowth thinks there is a fingular propriety in the phrafe moft highef, which is peculiar to the old tranflation of the Pfalms. But I own it offends my ears, which may, perhaps; be owing to my not having been accuftomed to that tranflation.

It is very common to fee the fuperlative ufed for the comparative degree, when only two perfons or things are fpoken of. It began to be the intereft of their neighbours, to oppofe the Aronseft and moft enterprifing of the taci. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. I. p. 23I. This is a very pardonable overfight.

## ADJECTIVES.

In converfation, I do not fay the moft polite, we fometimes hear the word only which is a diminutive, joined to the fuperlative degree; as, He is only the clevereft fellow I ever faw. Originally, this form of expreflion might have been defigned to exprefs ridicule, or contempt for a perfon who had undervalued another. It is now ufed, when no reply is made to any thing faid before, but in an affected, oftentatious way of fpeaking.

In fome cafes we find fubftantives, without any alteration, uled for adjectives. In the fux condition of human affairs. Bolingbroke, on hitory, vol. I. p. 199. A mu/lin flounce, made very full, would give a very agreeable firtation air. Pope. Chance companions. Of this kind are, an alabafter column, a filver tankard, a grammar fchool, and moft other compound nouns.

Englifh writers, agreeable to the well known idiom of the language, generally write Scottifh, juft as we fay Spanifh, Irifh, \&c. and fometimes it is contracted into Scotch; but Mr. Hume always ufes the fubttantive Scots inftead of it. The Scots commifioners. Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 379 .

The fubftantive plenty, is frequently ufed for the adjective plentif:! In the reign of Henry the $2 d$, all foreign ecmmodities wecre. plenty
plenty in England. Poftlethwaite on Commerce, p. 414. i. e. were plentiful, or in plenty.

Names of towns and places, by the fame kind of ellipfis, are very often ufed for adjectives. Thus we fpeak of our London, or Famaica fritnds; i.e. meaning our friends in London or Jamaica.

When the name of a country cannot eafily be transformed into an adjective, it feems the beft to make ufe of the prepofition of. The noblemen of Bretaigne would, I think, be better than the Bretaigne noblemen. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 433 .

The word friends is ufed as an adjective in the phrafe, Will you be friends with me. Perfian tales, vol. 2. p. 248. i.e. friendly, or in friendthip with me.

Adjectives are often put for adverbs, but the practice is hardly to be approved, except in cales where long cuftom has made the examples quite eafy; as, exceeding for exceedingly, near for nearly. Our wealth being near fini/hed. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 43. The following examples are not to eafy. The people are miferable poor, and fubfit on fifh. Extreme jealous. Hume's Effays, p. ir. The word exceeding makes a worfe adjective than it does
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does an adverb. I was taking a view of Weftminfler-abbey, with an old gentleman of exceeding honefty, but the fame degree of underfanding as that I have defcribed. Shenftone ${ }^{\circ}$ Works, vol. 2. p. 45. It fhould have been exceeding great honefty.

Like feems to be put for likely, in the following fentence: What the confequences of this management are like to be; i. e. what they are likely to be, or what they are, according to all probability, to be.

## SECTION IV.

Of Pronouns.

1. Of Pronouns in general.

IT might not have been improper to have claffed all the Pronouns under the heads of Subffantives or Adjcctives; the perfonal pronouns being of the former kind, and all the other denominations of the latter. The reafon why they are confidered' feparately is, becaufe there is fomething. particular in their inflections. By this means therefore, the rules relating to fubfantives and adjectives in general, are
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rendered more fimple, and a more diftinct view is given of the irregular inflections of thofe words which have beea ufually called Pronouns.
$I$, is called the firft perfon; Thou, the fecond; and $H e, S b e$, or $I t$, the third perfon.

By the complaifance of modern times, we ufe the plural you inftead of the finguJar thou, when we mean to fpeak refpectfully to any perfon; but we do not ufe ye in this manner. We fay you, not ye, are reading. However, in very folemn ftyle, and particularly in an addrefs to the Divine Being, we ufe thou, and not you.

In fpeaking to children, we fometimos ufe the third perfon fingular, inftead of the fecond; as, will:he, or he do it. The Germans ufe the third perfon plural, when they fpeak the moft refpectfully.

The pronouns you, and your are fome= times ufed with little regard to their proper meaning; for the fpeaker has juft as much intereft in the cafe as thofe he addreffes. This ftile is oftentatious, and doth not fuit grave writing. Not only your men of more refined and folid parts and learning, but even your alchymift, and your fcr-tupe-teller, will difcover the fecrets of their art in Homer andVirgil. Addifon on Medals, p. 32.
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For want of a fufficient variety of perSonal pronouns of the third perfon, we are often obliged, in a complex fentence, to have recourfe to explanations which cannot be introduced without appearing very aukward. Perigrine Spoke not a word in anfwer to this declaration, which he immediately imputed to the ill offices of the minifter, againgt whom he breathed defiance and revenge, in his way to the lsdgings of Cadwalader; who, being made acquainted with the manner of his reception, begged he would defift from all fchemes. of vengeance, until he (Crabtree) hould be able to unriddle the myftery of the whole. Perigrine Pickle, vol. 4. p. 129. In confequence of this retreat he, (the hurband) was difabled from paying a confiderable fum. $\mathbf{l b}$. p. ${ }^{242}$.

Aukward as this conftruction is, it were to be wifhed, that hiftorians had made more ufe of it ; as, at leaft, they would have been more intelligible than they fometimes are without it. They [meaning the French] marched precipitately, as to an affured victory; whereas the Englifh advanced very fowily, and dicharged fuch flights of arrowes, as did great execution. When they drew near the archers, perceiving that they were out of breath, charged them with great vigour. Univerfal Hift. vol, 23. p. 5 it ${ }_{\text {G }}$ If
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If an attention to the fenfe, in thefe cafes, would relieve the ambiguity; yet the attention it requires is painful, and difficult to be kept up.

The pronoun it is fomerimes ufed at the fame time with the word for which it might have been fubftituted, and even precedes it; tho' fuch a word is generally called the antecedent of the pronoun. It is our duty to do to others as we siould that they fhould do to us. If this complex antecedent, which is the proper nominative cafe to the verb is, be made to precede that verb, the pronoun will be fuperfluous, and the fentence will read thus, To do. to others, as we would that they hould do to us, is our duty.

This conftruction of the pronoun it is fo common, and we fo naturally expect the antecedent to follow it, or to be underfood after it ; that when the antecedent comes regularly before it, as before any. other pronoun, the fenfe is, fometimes in danger of being miftaken. Who (meaning the king) notwith/fanding he re-lates, that the prudent forefight of the commons bad cut off all the means, whereby Charles could procure money, thafe nerves of power without which, it is impofible to exif. Macaulay's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 2. The phrafe,
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it is impofible to exift, gives us the idea of it's being impoffible for men, or any body to exift; whereas, power is the thing that the author meant could not exift without money.

Sometimes the true antecedent of this pronoun is fo concealed in other words; that it requires fome attention to difcover it. How far doyou call it'to fuch a place? You will have it to be three miles. That is; how great a diftance do you call it? You will have the diftance to be three miles.

Not only things, but perfons may be the antecedent to this pronoun. Who is it? Is it not Thomas? i. e. Who is the perfon? Is not he Thomas?

Sometimes, in imitation of the French; this pronoun may be ufed for a perfon in another manner, by being fubftituted for he. What a defperate fellow it is. But this is only in converfation, and familiar: ftyle.

In one very odd phrafe, which alfo occurs in converfation, efpecially in fomecounties of England, the pronoun it is put in the place of a perfonal pronoun, and the perfonal pronoun in the place of it. He put him into the head of it. It is. upon a fubject perfecily new, and thofe dogs there put me into the head of it. Pompey G 3 the
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 the Little, p. 246. in ridicule of the phrafe.Sometimes this fame pronoun connects fo clofely with the verb, that it feems only to modify its meaning, and not to have any feparate fignification of its own. The king carried it with a high hand. Parliamentary Hiftory, vol. 1. p. 14. i. e. the king behaived with haughtinefs.

If there be any antecedent in fome fuch phrafes as thefe, it is fuch a complex idea, that I do not think it is poffible to give a precife definition of it. I thall fubjoin a curious example of this. Let me beg of you, like an unbacked filly, to frikk it, to Squirt it, to jump it, to rear it, to bound it, and to kick it, with long kicks, and fhort kicks, till you break the frap or a crupper, and throw his wiorfhip into the dirt. Triftram Shandy, vol. 3. p. 167.

The pronouns pofefive [indicating property or poffelfion] might not improperly have been called the genitive cafes of their correfponding perfonal pronouns, were it not that their formation is not analogous to that of the genitive cafes of other words.

Sometimes thefe poffeffives have an apoftrophe before the $s$, when they are found without their fubftantives, which gives them
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them more the appearance of a genitive cafe. That you may call her your's. Fair American, vol. 2. p. 64.

Formerly, mine and thine were ufed inftead of $m y$ and thy before a vowel. . They are generally retained in our prefent Englifh verfion of the Bible; and, perhaps, for this reafon, give a peculiar folemnity to the ftyle. By the greatnefs of thine arm. Exodus, ch. 15. ver. 16. And bring them to thine everlafing kingdom. Common Prayer.

The pronoun his was not always confined to perfons, but was formerly applied to things alfo. This rule is not fo general, but that it admitteth his exceptions. Carew.

For want of a fufficient variety of perfonal pronouns of the third perfon, and their poffeffives, our language labours under an ambiguity, which is unknown in moft others. The eagle killed the hen, and cat her in her own neft. He fent him to kill his own father. Nothing but the fenfe of the preceding fentences can determine what neft, the hen's, or the eagle's, is meant in the former of thefe examples; or whofe father, his that gave the order, or his that was to execute it, in the latter.

Sometimes thefe pronouns poffeffive do not ftrittly imply property, and on this G $_{4}$ account: for his lofs? Fair American, vol. 1. p38. Meaning the lofs of her father, who was dead; but the meaning might have been a lofs which her father had fuftained.

According to the Englifh idiom, we generally prefix the pronoun $m y$ to the title of Lord; as my Lord Bedford, but this ftyle feems to imply fome degree of familiarity ; and perfons who pretend not to any fort of intimacy with the nobility, do not commonly ufe it. Indeed it feems proper to the ftyle of a king, whofe Lords they originally were, and whofe manner it is to fay, my fubjects, my kingdom, my Lords and gentlemen, my fhips, my army, E'c. Foreigners often confound this pronoun with the word Lord, as if they made but one word; as, a mylord.

When the relative is preceded by twa perfonal pronouns, as antecedents, it may, in fome cafes, relate to the former, and in others to the latter of them, according as the fenfe may point out its reference, but it is generally the latter that is referred to; as I am he that liveth, and ruas dead: where the antecedent of that is $h e$, which inmediately precedes it; he that liveth be-
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 ing confidered as one idea, or character, to which the perfon intended by $I$ anfwers. Yet, I am he, that live, and was dead, could hardly be condemned if it be confidered, who it is that liveth, viz. $I$.When the relative follows two nouns, connected by the particle of, it is abfolutely impoffible to fay, to which of them it refers ; becaufe the cultom of the language has made it equally applicable to either of them. When we fay, the difciples of Chrif, whom we imitate, we may mean the imitation either of Chrift, or of his difciples. Here we find the want of a diftinction of numbers, in the pronoun relative.

When the words are feparated by other prepofitions, there is, fometimes, the fame ambiguity. He was taking a view, from a window of St. Chad's cathedral, in Licchfield, where [i. e. in which] a party of the royalifts had fortified themjelies. Hume's Hiftory. vol. 6. p. 449. Quere, was it in the cathedral, or in the town, that the party of the royalifts were fortified ?
The pronouns Relative and Demonfrative, are nearly allied; every pronoun demonfrative, when not immediately preceding a fubitantive, referring to an antecedent one;

The pronouns demonfrative are fo called, becaufe when we make ufe of them, we, as it were, point out the thing that we fpeak of; for fuch is the import of the. word (demonftro) from which the term isderived.

The demonftrative this refers to the nearer, or the laft mentioned particular, and that to the more remote, or the firft mentioned. More rain falls in 7 lune and July, than in December and Fanuary; but it makes a much greater flow upon the earth in thele than in thofe; becaufe it lies longer upon it. Woodward.

The pronoun this, or thafe, without the relative and verb fubftantive, but ill fupplies the place of a noun fubftantive, which ought to be its antecedent. The land zias alwayspolfefed, during pleafure, by thofe intr ufted with the command. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 109. i. e. thofe perfons intrufted, or thofe who were intruffed. All. thole pofeffed of any office refigned their former commiffion. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 4. p. 304.

Many

## PRONOUNS. 9:

Many perfons are apt, in converfation, to puit the oblique cafe of the perional pronouns, in the place of thefe and thole; as, Give me thiem books, inftead of throle books. We nay fornetimes, find this faule even in writing. Obferve them three there. Devil upon Crutches.

It is not, however, always eafy to fay, whether a perfonal pronoun, or a demonftrative is preferable in certain conftructions. We are not unacquainted with the calaminy of them [or thofe] who openly make ufi of the warmef profelions. Preceptor, vol. 2. p. 429.

The demonitrative, that, is fometimes ufed very emphatically for fo much. Butt the circulation of things, occafoned by commerce; is not of that moment as the tranf plantation, which human nature itfelf has undirgone. Spirit of Nations, p. 22.

Sometimes this fame pronoun is elegantly ufed for fo great, or fuch a. Some of them bave gone to that height of extravasance, as to affert, that that performance hed been immediately diczated by the holy ghoft. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 288. It muf reafonably appear cloubtful, whether human fociety could ever arrive at that fate of perfection, as to fupport itfelf with no other coitrol; than the gencral and rigid maxims
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 of law and equity. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 319. In all thefe cafes, however, it fhould feem, that the common conftrucion is generally preferable.Sometimes this pronoun is introduced in the latter part of a fentence; where it is fuperfluous with refpect to the grammar, and where it has no direct antecedent; but where it is of confiderable ufe in point of emphafis. By what arguments he could engage the French to offer juch an infult to the Spanilh nation, from whom he met with fuch generous treatment; by what colours he could difguife the ingratitude, and impudence of fuch a meajure; thefe are wholly unknosen to us. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 59. As to the precife and definite idea, this may be fill a fecret. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 5 .

The word what is a contraction for that which, and therefore fhould not be ufed inftead of which only. Beffdes, it happens with regard to ambitious aims and projects, what may be obferved with regard to fects of philofophy and religion. Hume's Effays, p. 74. This fentence can no otherwife be reduced to fufficient correctnefs than by reading, it happens-which. I would not willingly infif upon it as an advantage, in our European cufoms, what
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was obferved by Mahomet Effendi, the laft Turkifh ambaffador in France. Ib. p. 252.

In fome dialects, the word what is ufed for that, and fometimes we find it in this fenfe in writing. Neither Lady Haverfham nor Mifs Mildmay will tier believe, but what Ihave been entirely to blame. Louifa Mildmay, vol. r. p. 179. I am not fatisfied, but what the integrity of our friends is more effentialto our welfare than their knowledge of the world. Ib. vol. 2. p. 114.

What is fometimes put for all the, or words nearly equivalent. What appearances of worth afterwards fucceeded, were drawn from thence. Internal Policy of Great Britain, p. sg6. i. e. all the appearances.

The word other feems to be ufed like an adjective in the comparative degree requiring than after it ; but then it fhould have $a, a m y$, or fome word equivalent to the article before it. Such infitutions are too diabolical, to be derived from other than an infernal demin. Hume's Hiftory, vol 6. p. 24. i. e. from any other. He frequently palfed whole days in a hollow tree, weithout oiher company, or amufement, than his Bible. Ib. vot. 7. p. 342.

When this pronoun is feparated from its fubftantive, which follows it, by nothing but the particle of, not having the force of a genitive cafe, or implying porfeffion, but merely explanatory, as it may be called; it may, I think, be doubted, whether the plural $s$, Thould be added to it , or not. The fons of Zebedee; and twio other of his difciples. John, ch. 2.I. v. 2. Some might write, two others of his difciples, i. e. two others, who were his difcipics, or amons his dijciples.

The word fomewhat, in the following fentence of Hume, feems to be ufed improperly. Thefe punifhments feem to have bein exercifed in fomewhat an arbitrary manner. Hiftory, vol. 1. p. 37 I. Some, times we read, in fomerwhat of. The meaning is, in a manner $\cdot$ which is, in fome reJpects, arbitrary.

The word one fiath alfo a pronominal ufe, and may then be as properly claffed among the Demonfratives as other and the fame; as, He is one that I efteem. One might make a magazine of all jorts of antiquities. Addifon.

We fometimes ure the pronoun one in the fame fenfe in which on is ufed in French. One would imagine thefe to be the exprefficos
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 exprefions of a man bleffed with eafe. Atterbury.This pronoun one has a plural number, when it is ufed without a fubftantive. There are many whofe waking thoughts are wholly employed in their feeping ones. Addifon.

I fhall here mention a remarkable ambiguity in the ufe of the word one, when - it is no pronoun. And it is fuch as, I cthink, cannot be avoided, except by a periphrafis, in any language. I cannot find one of $m y$ books. By thefe words $\mathbf{I}$ -may either mean, that all the books are : miffing, or only one of them; but the tone of voice, with which they are fpoken, will eafily diftinguifh in this cafe.

The word none has, generally, the force of a pronoun; as, Where are the books? I have none of them. In this cafe, it feems to be the fame word with the adjective no; for where no is ufed with the fubftantive, none is ufed without it ; for we fay, I have $n$ books; or, I have none. This word is ufed in a very peculiar fenfe. Ifrael would none of me. I like none of it. i. e. would not have me at all; do not like it at all.

Under the article of Pronouns the following words, and parts of words, that are often
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 often joned with pronouns to increafe their emphafis, mult be taken notice of. By the addition of foever, who and what become whofoever and whatfoever. The indeclinable particle own added to the poffeffives makes $m y$, thy, $\mathcal{E}^{2}$. become $m y$ orn, thy own. $\mathcal{S}^{3}$. Self and its plural number, Selves, are added likewife to the poffefires, and fometimes to the oblique cafes of the perfonal pronouns; as my $\mathrm{Jel} /$, yourfelves, himjelf, themfelves; and, laftly, the article [a] joined to the fimple pronoun other, makes it the compound another.Hisfelf, and theirfelves, were formerly ufed tor bimfelf and themfelves. Every one of us, each for hisfelf, laboured how to recover him. Sidney.

Ourfelf is peculiar to the royal ftyle; for the king only can properly make ufe of it. We ourfelf will follow. Shakerpeare.

## PRONOUNS. <br> II. Of Pronouns Relative.

Formerly the words who and which were unfed without diftinction ; but cuftom hath now appropriated. who to persons, and which to things.

It is not neceffary that the relative who have an exprefs perfonal antecedent. It is fufficient if it be implied in the pronoun poffeffive; as, thy goodness who art, i. e. the goodness of thee who art.

This pronoun, however, is fo much appropriated to perfons, that there is generally harrhnefs in the application of it, except to the proper names of perfons, or the general terms, man, woman, \&c. A term which only implies the idea of perfons, and expreffes them by forme cir-cumftance or epithet, will hardly authorive the ufe of it. That faction in Eng-: land who molt powerfully opposed his arbitracy pretensions. Macauly's History, vol. 3. p. 21 : It had better have been that faction which, and the fame remark will serve for the following examples. France who was in alliance with Sueden. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 6. p. 187. The court, who began to Judy the European more nearly than heretofore. Ib. vol. 9. p. 141. The cavalry who. Ib. p. 227. H The
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The cities, who afpired at liberty. Ib. vol. 2. p. 32. That party among us, who boaft of the higheft regard to liberty, have not pofefed fufficient liberty of thought in this particular. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 312. The family, whom, at firf, they confider as ufurpers. Hume's Effays, p. 298. If a perfonification had been intended in thefe cafes, who would have been proper ; but in the ftyle of hiftory, there can feldom be a propriety in it, at leaft it cannot be pretended in thefe inftances.

In fome cafes it may be doubtful whether this pronoun be properly applied or not. The number of fubftantial inhabitants with whom fome cities abound. Squire's Anglo-Saxon Government, p. 3 18. For when a term directly, and neceffarily implies perfons, it certainly may, in many cafes, claim the perfonal relative. None of the company, whom he moft affecited, could cure him of the melancholy under which he laboured. Female American, vol. i. p. 52. The word acquaintance, may have the fame conftruction.

We hardly confider children as perfons, becaufe that term gives us the idea of reafon and reflection; and therefore, the application of the perfonal relative who,
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 in this cafe, feems to be harih. A child, who. Cadogan.It is ftill more improperly. applied to animals. A lake, frequented by that fowl, whom nature has taught to dip the wing in water. Raffelas, vol. 1. p. 4.

When the name of a perfon is ufed merely as a name, and does not refer to the perfon; the pronoun which ought to be ufed, and not who. It is no wonder if a man, made up of fuch contrarieties, did not Jhine at the court of Queen Elizabeth, who was but another name for prudence and. aconomy.

The word whofe begins likewife to be reftricted to perfons, but it is not done fo generally but that good writers, and even in profe, ufe it when fpeaking of things. I do not think, however, that the conftruction is generally pleafing. Pleafure, whofe nature. Hume. Call every production, whofe parts exift all at once, and whofe nature depends not on a tranfition for its exifence, a work or thing done, and not an energy, or operation. Harris's Hermes. A true critic in the perufal of a book, is like a dog at a feaft; whofe thought and fomach are wbolly fet upon what the guefs fling away. Swift's Tale of a Tub; p. 63 .
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In one cafe, however, cuftom autha rizes us to ufe which with refpect to perfons; and that is, when we want to diftinguif one perfon of tivo, or a particum lar perfon among a number of others. We fhould then fay, Which of the trio, or wibich of thsm, is he or fle?

That is alfo ufed as a relative, inftead of who or which; as the man that [for whom] I loved. The houfe that [for which] I have built. In which cafe it is indeclinable; as The men that I feared.

The pronouns that, and wiho, or which; may often be ufed promifcuoully; but after an adjective, efpecially in the fuperlative degree, who or which cannot be admitted. The followers of Catiline were the moft profligate, which sould be called out of the moft carrupt city of the univerfe. Rife and Fall of ancient Republicks, p. 282. Lord Henry Sidney was ore of the wifeft, and moft active governors whom Ircland had enjeyed for fereral years. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 415. Thee ableft minifter whom fames ever poffeffed. Ib. vol. 6. p. 10. Rumours contimualy prevailed in the camp, that the adverfe Fartion in London seere making great Preparations to overthrow all which had been yielded in farour of the arny. Macauly's Hiftory, vol. 4 . p. 335 .

## PRONOUNS.

p. 335. This conftruation, which appears to me very aukward (though not contrary to the rules of any Englifh gram. mar) is generally ufed by this writer; but, in all thefe cafes, that fhould have been ufed.

The pronoun that alfo follows the fame more naturally than who or which. He is the fame man that you fare before. But if a prepofition muft precede the relative, there is a kind of neceffity to replace who or which; becaufe the pronoun that does not admit of fuch a conftruction. His fubjects looked on his fate with the fame indifference, to which they fase him totally abandoned. Hume's Hifory, vol. 2• p. 52. Who is ufed in a very peculiar manner in one familiar phrafe; as who fhall jay, i. e. as if one, or fome perfon fhould fay. When, in the firft of a feries of claufes, the relative who has been underfood, it is aukward to introduce it towards the end of the fentence. The Scots, uithout a head, without union among themfelves, attached, all of them, to different competitors, whofe title they had, rafhly fubmitted to the decifion of this foreign ufurper, and who were thercby reduced to an abjolute dependence upon him, could only expect by refiftance, to intail upon themselves and their pofterity, a
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more grievous, and defructive fervitude. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 262.

Whatever relative be ufed, in one of a feries of claules, relating to the fame antecedent, the fame ought to be ufed in them all. It is remarkable, that Holland, againf which the war was undertaken, and that, in the very beginning, was reduced to the brink of deftruction, loft nothing. Univerfill Hiftory, vol. 25. p. 117. It ought to have been, and rekich in the very leginning.
III. Of the Oblique Cafes of Pronouns.

I prefer the term oblique cafe of Dr . Johnfon to objective cafe, which Dr. Lowth ufes. By the old grammarians, the nominative cafe was called rectus, being compared to a line ftanding upright ; and all the other cafes, being formed by inflexions, or bending from it, were called oblique. Now the objective cafe can only ftand for the accufative, in which the object of an affirmative fentence is put; but oblique comprehends other relations, and other cafes, in which this form of the pronoun is ufed ; as, of $m e$, to $m e$, from $m e$. Contrary, as it evidently is, to the analogy of the language, the nominative cafe
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is fometimes found after verbs and prepofitions. It has even crept into writing. The chaplain intreated my comrade and I to drefs as well as polfible. World difplayed, vol. 1. p. 163. He told my Lord and I. Fair American, vol. I. p. 14I. This aukward conftruction is conftantly obferved by the author of this romance. On the other hand, he fometimes ufes the oblique cafe inftead of the nominative. My father and him bave been very intimate fince. Ib. vol. 2. p. 53. This laft is a French conftruction

In one familiar phrafe, the pronoun $m e$ feems to be ufed in the nominative, and, as it were, in the third perfon too; but the pronoun and the verb make but one word. Methinks already I your tears furvey. Pope. The word methought is alfo ufed with refpect to time paft ; and. even methoughts. Female Foundling, vol. 1. p. 30 .

The nominative cafe is ufed by Shakefpeare for the oblique, but it feems to be in a droll humorous way. To poor we thy enmity is moft capial, i. e. to us poor wretches.

The pronoun whoever and whofoever have fometimes a double coniftruction, in imitation of the French idiom. Elizabeth

## TO4 ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

publickly threatened, that ghe would have the head of whoever had advifed it. Hume. He offered a great recompenfe to whomfoever would help him'to a jight of him.' Ib.

The pronoun whoever, feems, fometimes, to require two verbs; and if only one follow, there feems to be a defect in the Fentence. They frequently omit a joifonous juice, whereof whoever drinks, that perfon's brain fies out of his noftrils. Swift's Tale of a Tub, p. 60.

All our grammarians fay, that the nominative cafes of pronouns ought to follow the verb fubftantive as well as precede it; yet many familiar forms of 1 peech, and the example of fome of our beft writers, would lead us to make a contrary rule ; or, at leaft, would leave us at liberty to adopt which we liked beft. Are thefe the houles you were fpeaking of? Yes, they are them. Who is there? It is me. It is him, Éc. It is not me you are in love with. Addifon. It camot be me. Swift. $\overrightarrow{T 0}$ that which once was thee. Prior. There is but one man that she can have, and that is me. Clariffa.

When the word if begins a fentence, it feems pretty clear, that no perfon, whofe attention to artificial rules did not put a fenfible reftraint upan his language, would
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ever ufe the nominative cafe after the verb to be. Who would not fay, If it be me, rather than If it be I .

The word become is a verb neurer, as well as the verb to be; and I think that no perfon, who reads the following fentence will queftion the propriety of the ufe of the oblique cafe after it. By imagination we place ourfelits in his fituation, see conceive ourfelies enduring all the fame torments, we enter, as it were, into bis body, cud become, in fome mea, jure, him, and from thence form fome idea of his fenfations, and iven feel formething which, tho weaker in degree, is not altogether unlike them. Smith's Moral Sentiments, p. 2.

It is, likewife, faid, that the nominative cafe ought to follow the prepofition than; becaufe the verb $t o$ be is underftood after it; As, $20 u$ are taller than be, and not taller than him; becaufe at full length, it would be, You are taller than he is; but fince it is allowed, that the oblique cafe thould follow prepefitions; and fince the comparative degree of an adjective, and the particle than have, certainly, between them, the force of a prepofition, expreffing the relation of one word to another, they ought to require the oblique cafe of the pron un following; fo that grater than
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me, will be more grammatical than greater than I. Examples, however, of this conftruction, occur in very good writers. The Fefuits had more interefts at court than him. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 9. p. 14 I . Tell the Cardinal that I underfand poetry $l_{t}$ tter than him. lb. vol. 8. p. 187. An imhalitant of Crim Tartary was far more hatpy than him. Ib. vol. 6. p. 89.

Perhaps thefe authorities, and the univerfal propenfity which may be perceived in all perfons, as well thofe who have had a learred and polite education, as thofe who have not, to thefe forms of fpeech, may make it at leaft doubtful, whether they be not agreeable to the true Englifh idiom. It appears to me, that the chief objection our grammarians have to both thefe forms, is that they are not agreeable to the idiom of the Latin tongue, which is certainly an argument of little weight, as that language is fundamentally different from ours : whereas thofe forms of expreffion, are perfectly analogous to the French, and other modern European languages. In thefe the fame form of a pronoun is never ufed both before and after the verb fubftantive. Thus the French fay, $c^{\prime} \in f$ moi, c'eft lui; and not c'ef je, c'eft il.-
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Sometimes, in imitation of the French, the Englifh authors ufe the oblique cafe for the nominative. His wealth and him bid adieu to each other.

In feveral cafes, as in thofe above--mentioned, the principles of our language are vague, and unfettled. The cuftom of fpeaking draws one way, and an attention to arbitrary and artificial rules another. Which will prevail at laft, it is impofible to fay. It is not the authority of any one perfon, or of a few, be they ever fo eminent, that can eftablifh one form of fpeech in preference to another. Nothing but the general practice of good writers, and good fpeakers can do it.

When the pronoun precedes the verb, or the participle by which its cafe is determined, it is very common, efpecially in converfation, to ufe the nominative cafe where the rules of grammar require the oblique. As, Who is this for ? Who ghould 1 meet the other day but my old friend. Spectator $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ 32. This form of fpeaking is fo familiar, that I queftion whether grammarians fhould not admit it as an exception to the general rule. Dr. Lowth fays, that grammar requires us to fay, Whom doyou think me to be. But in converfa. think me to be.

## SECTION V. <br> $$
\text { Of } V E R B S
$$

## I. Of Verbs in general.

THERE is a peculiar folemnity in the termination th of the third pervon fingular of the prefent tenfe of verbs, owing, perhaps, to its being more antient than the termination $s$, which is a corruption of $t h$, and which is now become more familiar. He loveth righteoufmef, and bateth iniquity. Hath and doth are, for this reafon, more folemn than has and dices.

Some of our later writers, ufe certain neuter verbs, as if they were tranfitive, putting after them the oblique cafe of the pronoun, which was the nominative cafe to it, agreeable to the French conftruction of reciprocal verbs; but this cuftom is fo foreign to the idiom of the Englifhtongue, that I think it can never take generally. Repenting him of his defign. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 56. The king foom found reajon to repent him of his provoking
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fuch dangerous enemies. Ib. vol. I. p. 12 I . The popular lords did not fail to enlarge themfelves on the jubject. Macaulay's. Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 177. The nearer his military fucceffes approached him to the throne. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. $3_{3}^{8}$.

In the following fentences, on the contrary there is a want of the reciprocal form; a verb active and tranfitive being ufed as a verb neuter. Providence gives us notice, by fenfible declenfions, that we may difengage from the world by degrees. Collier. i. e. difengage ourfelves.

On the other hand, verbs neuter are often ufed as if they were active and tranfitive, without being ufed in a reciprocal conftruction. Henry knew, that an excommunication could not fail of operating the moft dangerous effects. Hume's Hiß. vol. 2. p. 165. Bargaining their prince for money. Ib. vol. 7. p. 80. With a view of enterprifing fome new violence. lb. p. 387. All caufes, with regard to the revesue, are appealed ullimately to the magifrates. Hume's Political Effays, p. 258. A parliament forfeited all thofe who had borne arms againft the king. Hume's Hiff. vol. 2. p. 223. The practice of forfeiting flips which had bcen wrecked. 1b. vol. 1. p. 500 .

We have one word, which is ufed as a verb in one fingle conftruction, but which is very unlike a verb in other refpects; I had as lief fay a thing after him as after ancther. Lowth's Anfwer to Warburton, i. e. I fhould as foon chutfe to fay. This is a colloquial and familiar phrafe, and is not often found in writing. We have feveral other remarkable contractions for verbs and fentences. Goad, my Lord, confider sith yourfelf, the difficulty of this fcicnec. Law tracts, vol. I. p. 121 . i. e. I beg of you, my Lord. The phrafe is not common, and low.

There is fomething very fingular in the ufe and conftruction of ihe verb ail. We fay, what ails him, he ails fomething, or he ails nothins; but not, he ails a fever, or a fever ails him.

It is remarkable, that we have one fingle inftance of a proper imperative mood, in the firft perfon plural; but I believe it is not known except in the Yorkfhire dialect. It is $g \hat{a}$, which fignifies, let us go, camus.

The old verb behoved is generally ufed imperfonally, with the pronoun it preceding it ; but fome perfons affect to give it a proper nominative cafe. In order to reach our globe they the (genii) behoved
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to have wings. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 16 . p. 156. that is, it behored them to have wings. But as this fignal revolution in the criminal law behoved to be salliag to individuals, unaccufomed to refirain their paijlions, all meafures were taken to make the yyke eafy. Law Tracts, vol. 1. p. 96, that is, were neceffarily falling, or could not but be salling. I think this ronftruction, which is by no means Englih, is peculiar to Scotland.

The verb irks is only ufed imperfonally; as, it irks me, which is nearly equivalent to it grieies me.

In forme very familiar forms of fpeech, the active feems to be put for the paffive form of verbs and participles. I'll teach you all what's owing to your Queen. Dryden. 'riie books continue felling, i. e. upon the faic, or to be fold. It may be fuppofed, that this inftance is a contracted form of fpeaking, the word ending in ins, being a noun, and the prepofition being underftood; fo we lay, the brals is forging, i. e. at the forging, or in the act of forging. But the following fentences are not fo eafily explained; They are to blame, i. e. to be blamed. Tine books are to bind, i. e. to be bound. In the phrafe, he may be fitll to feek-for a thing, the fenfe feems to require,
that
that the ellipfis be fupplied, by reading he may fill be in a condition to feek it, or in a fate of feeking it, i. e. he may not yet kave found what he was fecking:

In fome familiar phrafes, the fubject and object of an affirmation feem to be tranfpofed. We fay, He is well read in biftry, when we mean that hiftory is well read by him. They were afked a quefion, i. e. a queftion was afked them. They were offered twenty fhillings, i. e. twenty jhillings were offered them. They were offered a pardon i. e. a pardon was offered to them. This inverfion of the nominative cafe, as it may be called, may fometimes make a perfon paufe, a little, before he finds the true fenfe of a paffage. During bis refzdence abroad, he had acquired immenfe riches, and had been left, by a friend, no lefs than eighty thoufand pounds, to take the name of Melmoth. Louifa Mildmay, vol. 2.p. 222.

When verbs end in $s, f e, f s, k, p$, and fome other letters, the preter tenfe, and participles, in the manner in which we generally pronounce words in Englifh, end as if the final letter was $t$; but it does not look well to make any abridgment in writing, and much lefs to fpell the word with a $t$. Thefe contractions, however, have often been made by good writers. Difpersi.
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Difperff. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 390. Diftref. Ib. vol. 2. p. 224. Dropt. Ib.. vol. 4. p. 408. Talkt. Hume's Effays, p. 295. Checkt. Ib. p. 297. Afkt. Ib. p. 305. His face ftampt upon their coins. Addifon. Enwrapt in thofe fudies. Pope, and Arbuthnot. He paft four months. Raffelas, vol. I. p. 28. Heapt up greater honours. Addifon. In verfe, this contraction is more allowable; Rapt into future times, the bard begun. Pope's Meffiah.

The verb ought is not enumerated: among the auxiliary verbs, becaufe it does not connect with the other verbs, without the intervention of the particle to. It is an imperfect verb, for it has no other modification befides this one.

The verb muft, which was enumerated among the auxiliaries, is equally imperfect, and is likewife of the prefent tenfe only. It is, therefore, improperly introduced into a fentence which relates wholly. to time paft. Muft it not be expected, that the king would defend an autbority, which had been exercifed without difpute or controverfy. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 3 ir. The meaning is, might it not have been expected.
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The prefent tenfe is improperly uied. with refpect to a time, which is mentioned as having a certain limited duration; becaufe the time muft be paft or future. I: have compafion on the multituds betaufe they. continue with me, now, three days. It fhould have been, have continued. Indeed the verb have is appropriated to this. very ufe: In the treafury belonging to the cathedral in this city is preferved with the greateff veneration, for upwards of fix hundred years, a difh, or rather an hexagon bcwl. which they pretend to be made of emerald. Condamine's 'Travels, p. 15. It is at Rome, that it is cultivated with the greateft fuccefs, and efpecially for upwards of a century paft. lb. p. 43. I remember him. thefe many years. Englinh Merchant.

An ambiguity is occafioned in our language when the preter tenfe of one verb happens to be the prefent tenfe of another. I fell a tree now. I fell down yefterday, from the verb to fall. I lay a thing down to day: I lay down yefterday, from the verb to.lie.

The termination ef , annexed to thepreter tenfes of verbs, is, at beft, a very harth one, when it is contracted, according to our general cuftom, by throwing out the $e$; as learnedf $f$, for learnedeft; and efpecially,
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efpecially, if it be again contracted intoone fyllable, as it is commonly pronounced, and made learndft. Some forms of the preter tenfes, where they are always contracted in the firft perfon, do not admit of any more contraction, or the addition of any more confonants to their terminations; and therefore may be properly enough faid to have no fecond perfons fingular at all. I believe a writer, or fpeaker would have recourfe to any periphrafis rather than fay kepteft, or keptfs, which are the only words that can be fuppofed to be the fecond perfons in the tenfe I kept. Or, in what manner would the termination of the fecond perfon be annexed to the word dreamed, or, as it is generally pronounced, dreamt. Indeed this harfh termination eft is generally quite dropped in common converfation, and fometimes by the poets, in writing. Nor thou that flings (for flingeft, or flingf) me floundering from thy back. Frogs and. Mice, line 123.

## 116 ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

## II. Of the Conjunctive Form of I'crbs.

The word had is frequently ufed ins. ftead of uould have, in which cafe it has all the force of a conjunctive form of $a$ verb. He had been Diogenes if he had not been Alexander, i. e. would have been, $\mathcal{E}^{2}$ c. The verb had in this fenfe precedes its nominative cafe, and the particle implying doubt or uncertainty is omitted. Had ke done this, he would have efcaped; i. e. if he had done this. No lendholder would have been at that expence, had he not becen fure of the fale of his commodities. Poftlethwaite on Commerce, p. 123.

There feems to be a peculiar elegance in a fentence begimning with the conjunctive form of a verb. Were there no difference, there would be no choicc. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 208.

A double conjunctive, in two corref. ponding claufes of a fentence, is ftill more elegant. He had furmed one of the mof fhining characters of his age, had not the extreme narrowness of his senius, in eiery thing but war, diminijhed the liuftre of his merits. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 28. The fentence in the common form would not have read near fo well. He
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would have formed, \&xc. if the extreme.narrownefs of his genius, \&c. had. not, \&cc. -Had the limitations on the prerogative been, in his time, quite fixed, and certain, his integrity had made him regard as facred, the boundaries of the confitution. Ib. p. $15 \mathbf{1}$.

Sometimes the particles expreffing fuppofition are omitted before the conjunctive form of verbs, this form itfelf fufficiently expreffing uncertainty. Were thofe letters to fall into the hands of fome ingenious perfons. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. 1 . p. 5. i. e. If thefe letters were to fall, \&c-

The conjunctive form may take place after the adverb perhaps. Perhaps it were to be wifhed, that, in banifhing from the pulpit that falfe tafte, whereby it had been Jo long debafed, he had alfo fuppreffed the cuftom of preaching from one text. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 9. p. 5 .

Mr. Fohnfon affigns no conjunctive form to the preter tenfe: but the analogy of the language feems to require that both the tentes be put upon a level in this re-fpect.-It feems to be ufed with propriety only when fome degree of doubt or hefitation is implied; fince when an event is looked upon as abfolutely certain, though in fpeaking of it we make ufe of the conjunctive particles, $\mathrm{E}^{2} c$. the ufual change
I 3
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of terminations is retained : to give a familiar example of this; we fhould fay, in purfuing a perfon, We hould overtake him though he run; not knowing whether he did run or no; whereas upon seeing him run, we fhould fay, We fhall -overtake bim though he runneth, or runs.

Almoft all the irregularities in the conftruction of any language arife from the ellipfis of fome words which were originally inferted in the fentence, and made it regular; let us endeavour to explain this manner of fpeaking, by tracing out the original ellipfis. May we not fuppofe that the word run in this fentence is in the radica form (which anfwers to the infinitive mood in other languages) requiring regularly to be preceded by another verb expreffing doubt or uncertainty, and the intire fentence to be, We Shall overtake him though he fhould run.

It is an objection, however, to this account of the origin of the conjunctive form of verbs, at leaft, an objection againft extending it to the preter tenfe ; that, if we analize a conjunctive preterite, by fupplying the ellipfis, the rule will not appear to hold, except when the preter tenfe and the participle are the fame, as indeed they are in all verbs regularly inflected.
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inflected. If thou loved, may be rendered, If thou houldeft have loved, or If thou Thadft loved; but if thou drew, would be, If thou hadft drawn.

That the conjunctive form of verbs is, however, in fact ufed for the auxiliary and another form of the verb, is evident from a variety of examples. What a fchool of private and public virtue had been opened to us, after the refurrection of letters, if the late hiftorians of the Roman commonwealth, and the firft of the fucceeding monarchy, had come down to us entire. Would have been opened makes exactly the fame fenfe. Many acts, which had been blameable in a peaceable government, were remployed to detect confpiracies. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 26ir. i. e. would have , been blameable.

Thefe examples are exactly fimilar to the following, which is, undeniably, in what I call the conjunctive form. They affirmed, that it were injuffice to deny the execution of the law to any individual, i. e. that it would be injuftice, \&x.

This conjunctive form of verbs, though our forefathers paid a pretty ftrict regard to it, is much neglected by many of our beft writers. If he chances to think right, he knowes not how to convey his thoughts to
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another, with clearnefs and perfpicuity. Ađdifon.

So little is this form of verbs attended to, that few writers are quite uniform in their own practice with refpect to it. We even, fometimes, find both the forms of a verb in the fame fentence, and in the fame conftruction. If a man prefer a life of induftry, it is becaufe he has an idea of happinefs in wealth; if he prefers a life of gaiely, it is from a like idea concernins pleafure. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 124. No reafonable man, whether whig or tory, can be of opinion for continuing the war, upon the foot it now is, unless he be a gainer by it, and hopes, it may occaficn fome new turn of affairs at home, to the advantage of his party; or unlefs he be very ignorant of the kingdom's condition, and by rehat means we hare been reduced to it. Switt's Preface, to the Conduct of the Allies.

Grammatical as this conjunctive form of verbs is faid to be, by all who write -upon the fubject, it muft, I think, be acknowledged, that it fometimes gives the appearance of ftiffnefs, and harfhnefs to a fentence. That no pretenfions to fo il luftrious a cbaracter, 'hould by any mitans be received before that operation were performed. Swift's Tale of a Tub, p. 55 .
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W最 Jhould owe little to that fatefman, who were to contrive a defence, that might fupirfede the external ufe of virtue. Fergufon's Hiftory of Civil Society, p. 92.

Originally, the two forms of the verb to be were ufed promifcuoully. We be twelve brethren. Genefis.

## III. Of Participles.

To avoid a collifion of vowels, the $e$ is omitted before $i$ in participles of the prefent tenfe; as, tove, loving. On the other hand, the finat confonant is doubled in the fame cafe; and indeed before any other addition to the termination, when it is freceded by a fingle vowel, and when, if it confift of two fyllables, the accent would be upon the latter of them; as, get, getting, getteth; forget, forgetting, forsetteth.

Many participles, lofing the idea of time, which was originally annexed to them, become, in all refpects, mere 'adjectives"; as charming youth, a loving couple. A regular formed fervitude. Hume's -Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 105. $A$ formed defign to fubvert the confitution. Ib. vol. 6. p. :285. A fettled defign. Ib. vol. 7. p. 86. A veell appointed army. lb. vol. 7. p. 466.

There
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There is great elegance in fome of the adjectives, made out of participles.

In this cafe, the termination ed is commonly contracted, and the words are made to end in $t$; as, time paft, from paffed. Sometimes the termination ed is dropped entirely, when the verb itfelf ended in $t_{\text {? }}$ and when the words have wholly loft thier original ufe as participles; as, content, correct, corrupt, \&c.

Many nouns are derived from verbs, and end in ing, like participles of the prefent tenfe. The difference between thefe nouns and participles is often overlooked, and the accurate diftinction of the two fenfes not attended to. If I fay, What think you of my horfe's running today, I ufe the noun running, and fuppofe the horfe to have actually run; for it is the fame thing as if I had faid, What think you of the running of my horfe. But if I fay, What think you of my horle running to-day, I ufe the participle, and I mean to afk, whether it be proper that my horfe fhould run or not; which, therefore, fuppofes that he had not then run,

Some of our early poets preferve the $y$, as the remains of the Saxon ge, prefixed to many participles. Thus Spencer writes, ypight for pitched.
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. Some of our participles feem to have been more irregular formerly than they are now ; as, befides the example abovementioned, Spencer, writes Jhright for fhrieked.

Formerly the $d$, which terminates participles preterite, was often dropped, when the verb ended in $e$. They are confederate againft thee. Pfalms. This form of the participle is ftill common among the Scots. They engaged the bifhops to pronounce Gavifon excommunicate, if he remained any longer in the kingdom. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 34 I . The word fituate, is often ufed, and efpecially by lawyers, for fituated. Milton fometimes ufes this form, as elevate for elevated.

As the paucity of inflections is the greateft defect in our language, we ought to take advantage of every variety that the practice of good authors will warrant; and, therefore, if poffible, make a participle different from the preterite of a verb; as, a book is wrilten, not wrote; the fhips are taken, not took.

This rule, however, has, by no means, been fufficiently attended to by good writers. - It wias not wrote on parchment. Hume's Effays, p. 262. The court of Auguftus had'not yet wore off the mamers of
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the republick. Ib. p. 182. You who have forfook them. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 18: p. 27. Who have bore a part in the progrefs. Fergufon on Civil Society, p. 261 . In fome cafes, the cuftom of leaving out the $n$, in the termination of partisiples, hath prevailed fo long, that it feems too late to attempt to reftore it. Thus the word broke feems almoft to have excluded broken. Wheneier a ftanding rule of law hath been wantonly broke in upon. Blackftone's Commentaries, vol. i. p. 70. Their line of princes was continually broke. Hume's Effays, p. 302.

Bolingbroke affects a difference in fpeling the preter tenfes and participles of verbs, when they are the fame in found with the prefent tenfe The late Duke of Marlborough never red Xenophon, moft certainly. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. 1. p. 26. I remember to have red. Ib. p. 68. This inftance is particularly bad, on account of the adjective being likewife fpelled red. Wherever chriftianity has fpred. Ib. p. 92. Mr. Hume (pells the preterite in the fame manner. Such illuftrious examples fpred knowledge every where, and begat an univerfal efteem for the friences. Hume's Effays, p. 282.

## $\therefore \quad \mathrm{V}$ E R B S. $\mathrm{r}_{2 \mathrm{y}}$

Bolingbroke, in one place, feems to affect a variety in the participles of the fame verb, when they happen to come too near together. He will erdeavour to write as the antient author would have wrote, had he writ in the fame language. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. i. p. 68.

The affectation of ufing the preterite tenfe inftead of the participle, which is common, I think, in the dialect of London, is peculiarly aukward; as, he has came. This has fometimes crept into writing. If fome events had not fell out. Yoftlethwaite on Commerce, Pref. p. in.

Different participles of the fame verb are fometimes ufed in different fenfes. 'Thus we fay, a man is hanged; bur, the coat is hung up.

There is a remarkable ambiguity in the ufe of the participle preterite, as the fame word may exprefs a thing either doing, or done. I went to fee the child dreffed, may either mean, I went to fee the child whilit. they were putting on its cloaths, or when they were put on.
IV. Of

## IV. Of the Auxiliary Verbs.

It is often unneceffary to repeat the principal verb after an auxiliary, when it has been ufed before in the fame fentence, and the fame conftruction. I have read that author, but you have not. He loves not plays, as thou doft, Anthony. Shakefpeare.

By ftudying concifenefs we are apt to drop the auxiliary to have, though the fenfe relate to the time paft. I found him better than I expected to find him. In this cafe, analogy feems to require that we fay, than I expected to have found him. i. e. to have found him then. On the other hand, as the time paft is fufficiently indicated. in the former part of the fentence, and to. find may be faid to be indefinite with refpect to time, the repetition of the auxiliary will perhaps, by fome, be thought aukward, and unneceffary.

In many cafes, however, writers are certainly faulty in omitting this auxiliary Thefe profecutions of William, feem to be the moft iniquitous meafures purfued by the court, during the time that the ufe of parliaments was fufpended. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 248. To have been, is what the fenfe of
this paffage requires. The following converfation is, in its kind, fomewhat uncommon; and, for this reajon, I have remembered it more minutely than I could imagine. Harris, i. e. I could have imagined.

Notwithftanding this, when the word have occurs more than once in a fentence, it feems to embarrafs it, and one of them feems to be fuperfuous; though, both: of them being ufed in the fame conftruction, and relating to the fame time, there feems to be an equal propriety in themboth. The following fentences do not, on: this account, read well, though they may; be ftrictly grammatical. Hifory painters: would have found it difficult, to have invent. ed fuch a fpecies of beings, when they were obliged to put a moral virtue into colours. Addifon on Medals. The girl faid, if her mafter would but have let her had money, to havefent for proper advice, and broths, and jellies. and fuch like, he might have been seell long: ago. George Villiers, vol. 2..p. 90.

It feems not to have been determined by the Englifh grammarians, whether thepaffive participles of verbsneuter require the auxiliary am or have before them. The French, in this cafe, confine themfelves ftrictly to the former. If fuch maxims, and fuch: practices prevail, what has become
become of national liberty. Hume'sHiflory; vol. 6. p. 254. The French would fay, what is become; and in this inftance, perhaps, with more propriety. Yet I think we have an advantage in the choice of thefe two forms of expreffion, as it appears to me, that we ufe them to exprefs different modifications of the fenfe. When I fay, I am fallen, I mean at this prefent. inftant ; whereas, if I fay, I have fallen, my meaning comprehends, indeed, the foregoing; but has, likewife, a fecret reference to fome period of time paft, as fome time in this ciay, or in this hour, I have failen; implying fome continuance of time, which the other form of expreffion does not.

The conditional form of the verbs /hall, \&c. is ufed with refpect to time paft, prefent, and future. We fay, I hould have. gone yeferday, and I hould go to-day, or tomorrow ; but the abfolute form I fhall, always refpects time to come.

Sometimes that form of the auxiliary yerbs /hall, will, may, and can, which is generally conditional, is elegantly ufed to exprefs a very light affertion, with a modeft diffidence. Thus we fay, I hould think; that is, I am rather inclined to think. The general report is, that he fhould have faid
faid in confidence to Clifford; that if he was fure the young man who appeared in Flanders was really fon to king Edward, he never would bear arms againgt him. Hume's Hiftory vol. 3. p. 383. The royal porwer, it fhould feem, might be intrufted in their hands. Ib. vol. 6. p. 217.

The auxiliary verb /hall reverts to its original fignification in its conditional form, when if, or any other particle expreffing uncertainty, is perfixed to it. I Fhould go, means I ought to go; but if I fhouldgo, means if it bappen that I go. This obfervation is Mr. Johnfon's. - This conditional form of thefe verbs, at the beginning of a fentence, has often the force of a ftrong wifh, or imprecation. In this fenfe it is generally found in conjunction with the word to. Would to heaven, young man, I knew you. Fair American, vol. 1.p.28. that is, by heaven, I wifh I knew you. But fometimes we find it without the particle to. Mine Eyes are apen now; would Zopir, thine were too, Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 25. p. 35Would, that kind heaven had ta'en my wretched life. Ib. vol. 28 p. 49.

The Scots ftill ufe ghall and will, ghould and would, as they were formerly ufed in England; it, e. in a renfe quite contrary K
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to that in which they: are unfed with us ate prefent. We would have been wanting to ourselves, if we: did continue to pay a dub. fid, for which there was no neceffity. Conduct of the Whigs and Tories examined. We will therefore, briefly unfold the reafons: which induce us to believe, that this nation really enjoyed a considerable trade before this auspicious reign. We will next how what thafe difficulties were, under which our commerce laboured under the reign preceding that; and, lafty, we will give a fort account how thofe advantages arofe, of which we have been fence poffefed. Preceptor, vol. 2. p. 413. By fuch gradual innovations the king flattered himself that he would quietly intro duce episcopal authority. Hume's History, vol. 6. p. 22. He imagined, that by playing one party against the other, he would cagily obtain the ciiztory over both, Ib. vol. 8. p. 250.

In feveral familiar forms of expreffion, the word /hall til retains its original fignifiction, and does not mean to promife, threaten, or engage, in the third perfon, but the mere futurition of an event; as, This is as extraordinary a thing as one fall ever hear of. This fenfe is alfo retained by our beft writers in the graveft ftyle. Whoever will examine the writings of all kinds.

## 

Xinds, wherewith this antient fect hath ho-zoured the world, fhall immediately find from the whole thread and tenor of them, that the ideas of the authors have been altogether converfant, and taken up with the faults, and blemijhes, and overfights, and miftakes of other writers. Swift. It fhould feem that both the words hall and will might be fubftituted for one another in this pafage, without any injury to the fenfe. Put this reverfe now, if you pleafe, into the hands of a mufical antiquary, he fhall tell you, that the ufe of. the fhield, being to defend the body from the weapons of an enemy, it very aptly fhadows out to us the refolution, or continence of the Emperor. Addifon on Medals, p. 3 I.

When a queftion is afked, the verb fhall, in the firft perfon, is ufed in a fenfe different from both its other fenfes. Shall I write, means, Is it your pleafure that I Should write. Will, in the fecond perfon, only Wirts to its other ufual fenfe; for, Will you write, means, Is it your intention to write.

When the word will is no auxiliary, but is ufed by itfelf, to exprefs volition, it is infleCted regularly, like other verbs. Nor is the fubtle air lefs obedient to thy power, whether thou willeft it to be a minifter
to our pleafure, or utility. Harris's three Treatiles, p. 39.

In afking a queftion, the auxiliary verb may is fometimes ufed without any regard. to its general meaning, but only, as it. were, to foften the boldnefs there might. be in an inquiry; as,. How old may $y: u b c$, \&c.
. When the prepofition to fignifes is order to, it ufed to be preceded by for, which is now almoft obfolete ; What wetnt you out for to fee. This exactly correfponds to the ufe which the French make of pour.

The particle for before the infinitive, is not, in all cafes, obfolete. It is ufed if the fubject of the affirmation intervene between that prepofition and the verb. For holy perfons to be humble, is as hard, as. for a prince to fubnit himfelf to be guided by tutors. Taylor.

The verb dare is fometimes ufed without the prepolition to after it, as if it was an auxiliary verb: Who durft defy the. omnipotent to arms. Milton. Why have. dared defy the eicreft. Harris's three 'Treatifes, p. 200. I dare fivear you think niy letter already long enouch. Lady Montague's Letters, vol. 1. p. 6. I had a grod dial of courage to dare mount him.

- Whis conftruction, however, does not feem natural, except in fuch familiar expref-
- Fions as I dare fay, I dare go, and the like. It mult, I fuppofe, be according to the Scotch idiom, that Mrs. Macaulay omits -it after the verb help. Laud was promoted as an ufeful inftrument, to help carry on the new meafures of the court. Hittory, vol. -4. p. 150.


## SECTION IV.

## Of Adverbs and Conjunctions.

MANY adverbs admit of degrees of comparifon as well as adjectives, and for the fame reafon; as, foom, fooner, fooneft; retell, better, beft; often, oftener, oftenef.

In imitation of the French idiom, the adverb of place where is often ufed inftead of the pronoun relative, and a prepofition. They framed a proteftation, where they repeated all their former claims. Hume's Hiftory. i. e. in which they repeated. The king reas fill determined to run forwards in the fame courfe where he was already, by his $\mathrm{K}_{3} \quad$ preci-
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precipitate career, too fatally advancea. Ib. i. e. in which be was.

The adverbs hence, thence, and whence, imply a prepofition; for they fignify, from this place, from that place, from what place. It feems, therefore, to be improper to join a prepofition along with them, becaufe it is fuperfluous; yet the practice is very common. This is the leviathen, from whence the terrible wits of our age are faid to borrow their weapons. Swift's Tale of a Tub, p. 10. An ancient author prophecies from. hence. Dryden. Indeed the origin of thefe words is fo little attended to, and the prepofition from fo often ufed in conftruction with them, that the omiffion of it in many cases would feem fitf and difagreeable.

We have fome examples of adverbs being ufed for fubftantives. In $1687,1 n-$ nocent the eleventh erected it into a community of regulars, fince when it has begun to increafe in thofe countries as a religicus order. Ulloa'a Voyage, vol. s. p. 270. i. e. fince which time. A little while, and I fhall not fee you, i. e. a fhort time. It is worth their while, i. e. it deferves their time and pains. But this ufe of the word rather juits familiar and low ftyle. The fame may be faid of the phrafe, to do a thing any how,

## Adverbsiand Conjunctions. Tis

-1. e. in any marner; or, fome how, i. e. in fome marmer. Somehow, worthy as thefe people are, they look upon public penance as - difreputable. Louifa Mildmay, vol. 2. p. : 75.

The adverb how is fometimes ufed in a particular fenfe, implying a negative. Let us take care how we fin, i. e. Let us take care that we do not jin . The fame - conftruction has not, however, always the fame fenfe. Take care how ye hear, i. e. in what manner ye hear.

Sometimes this adverb how is equivalent to the conjunction that. It has been - matter of aftonijhment to me, how fuch perfons could take fo many filly pains to effablifh myfery on metaphyfics. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. 1. P. 175. i. e. that fuch perfons

Adverbs are more often put for adjec'tives, agreeably to the idiom of the Greek tongue. The action was amifs, the then minifry: Conduct of the Whigs and Tories examined. The idea is alike in both. Addifon on Medals, p. 70. The above difcourfe. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 95.

One ule of the adverb there is pretty Temarkdible, though common. It is prefixed to a verb, when the nominativecafe follows it ; but feems to have no meaning
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whatever, except it be thought to gives fmall degree of emphafis to the fentenceThere was a man.fent from God, whoje name was fohn; i. e. a man was fent.

In fome cafes, two negative particles where formerly ufed; as in Greek, where we now ufe only one. And this Aerre, which is toward the northe, that we clippen the lode ferre, ne appeareth not to hem. Maundeville.

When the negative is included in the fubject of an affirmation, a negative meaning has the appearance of a pofitive oneI can do nothing, i. e. I camot do any thing.

The wards no and not are ufed varioufly by our beft writers, and fometimes even promifcuounly by the fame writer. Whethis it be fo or no. Addifon. Hence ; whether, in imitation of Catullus, or not, we apply the fame thought to the moon. Ib.

There is a remarkable ambiguity in the ufe of the negative adjective $n c$; and I -do not fee how it.can be remedied in any language. If I fay, no laws are better .than the Englifh, it is only my known fentiments that can inform a perfon whether I mean to praife, or difpraife them.

It is obfervable, that an anfwer to $a$ queftion, in Englifh, is rather a contraction -of a fentence, expreffing an affirmative or
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 negative propofition, and that it does not sat all depend on the ma.iner in which the queftion is afked. Whether my friend fay, - Are you difpofed to take a walk; or, Are - you not difpofed to take a walk; if I be difpofed to walk, I fay ges; if not, I fay, - 20.The word fo has, fometimes, the fame meaning with alfo, likewife, the fame; or rather it is equivalent to the univerfal promoun le in French. They are happy, we are not fo, i. e. not happy.

Mr. Hume frequently enumerates a great number of particulars without any :conjunction whatever between any of the: $n$. This conftruction, though it wery happily expreffes rapidity and energy, feems to have a bad effect in plain hiftorical ftyle, ias it makes a difagreeable: hiatus, and difappoints the reader. They enacted, that no proclamation fhould deprive any perfon of. -his lawful poffeftons, liberties, inheritances, privileges, franchifes; nor yet infringe any common lawe, or laudable cuftom of the realm. Hume's Hiftory, val. 4. p. 214. They were commanded by Defe, and under him by :Andelet, Strozzi, Miettrage, Count Rhirgrave. This conftruction, where great numbers of proper names occur, is very common with this author.

Some.
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Sometimes the particles or, and nor, may, either of them, be ufed with nearly equal propriety. The king, whofe character was not fufficiently.vigorous, nor decifive, affented to the meafure. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 102. Or would perhaps have been better, but nor feems to repeat the negation in the former part of the fentence, and therefore gives more emphafis to the expreffion.

The conjunction as is feldom ufed but in connection with fome other conjunetion, or in dependance upon fome other word of the fentence; but, in one cafe, it is ufed fingly, in the fame fenfe as the prepofition on. The books were to havie been fold, as this. day.

Tbat is ufed improperly in the following fentences, in which the Freach and not the Englifh idiom is obferved. The refolution was not the lefs fixed, that the fecret was as yet communicated to very few, either in the French or the Englifh court. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 474. We will not pretend to examine difeafes in :all their various circumfances, efpecially that they have not been fo accurately obferved or defcribed by writers of later ages, as were to be wifled. Martine's Effays, p. 29. Though nothing urged by the kings friends on this occafton had
any connections with the peace, fecurity and freedom the Scots at this time enjoyed; and that their propofal of engaging againft England maniffefly tended to the utter defiruction of thefe bieflings; yet the forementioned arguments .had fuch weight with the parliament, that - a committee of twenty-four members was empowered to provide for the fafety of the kingdom. Macaulay's Hitt. vol. 4. p. 377.

In feveral cafes we content ourfelves, now, with fewerconjunctive particles than our ancentors did ; particularly, we often leave out the conjunction as, when they ufed it, after $\int 0$; and the ule of it in thole cales now appears aukward. This new afociate propofed abundance of thefe againft indulgences, fo as that his doctrines wetre ., embraced by great numbers. Univerfal Hitt. vol. 29. p. 501. So that would have been much eafier, and better.

We want a conjunction adapted țo familiar ftyle, equivalent to notreithfanding. For all that feems to be too low and vulgar. A word it was in the mouth of every one, but for all that, as to its precife and definite idea, this may fill be a fecret. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 5-
In regard that is folemn, and antiquated; d bicaufe would do much better in the following fentence. Fine French mufick is dif-
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liked by all other nations. It cannot be otherwife, in regard that the French profody differs from that of every other country in Europe. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 9.p. 30G. Except is far preferable to other than. I: admitted of noeeffectual cure, other than amputation. Law Tracts, vol. 1. p. 302. and alfo to all but. They arofe in the mirning, and lay down at night, pleafed with eaih other, and themfelves, all but Raffelas, wh) began to withdraw himfelf from their pafime. Raffelas, vol. 1. p. i i.

## SECTION VII.

Of the Compofition and Derivation of Words.

WHEN two words are ufed to com: pofe one, in order to make one -name of a thing, they often coalefce into one word, and are writen clofe togecher ; as glafshoufe, countryman. Sometimes an $\int$ is interpofed between them, the former having been a genitive cafe; as, Herdfman; originally, Herd's man. In other cafes, though the idea be one, the words

## DERIVATION. r4r

words remain quite feparate, as country gentleman, grammar fchoil, Pinderiin caftie, city gates, \&c. Other terms remain in a kind of middle ftate; and the two words, not perfectly coalefcing into one, are ufually joined by a hyphen; as, court-day court-hand, knight-errant, irofs-bar-fhot; but thefe hyphens are now generally omited. They are moft uled to connect fome Latin parricle to a word; as nonconductor, non-electric. It is alfo fometimes ufed after the prefixes $r e$ and pre, when they are joined to words beginning with an $e$, as, re-enter, pre-eminence, \&c. The hyphen is alfo fometimes ufed to connect particles to other words, in order tocompound the idea; an unheard-If refraint. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 449. Couniterproject. Swift. Words of this kind are eafily underfood, becaufe their meaning out of compofition is retained when they are compounded. All-conqueror as I am. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 27. p. 292.

For want of a fufficient number of terms to exprefs the afcending and defcending lines of confanguinity, we aukwardly repeat the word great for every generation above grandfather, and below grandfon, as great great grandfather, great great grandfon, \&c.

Prepo-

Prepofitions are often joined to adverbs; fo as to make one word with them ; as hereabouts, hereafter, herein, \&c. but thefe words are now feldom ufed, except in formal and folemn ftyle.

A very great number of the moft common and fignificant phrafes in our language are made by the addition of a prepofition to a verb, particularly the Saxon monofy llabic verbs, as toget, to keep, to make, to give, to caft, to go, to hold, $\& c$. In the cafe of thefe complex terms, the component parts are no guide to the. fenfe of the whole. Thus the commons. idea annexed to the verb give is loft in the phrales, to give up, to give out, to give over, \&c. This circumftance contributes greatly towards making our language peculiarly difficult to foreigners.

Notwithftanding the rules of the com-: pofition and derivation of words be ever fo. well fixed, cuftom prefcribes how far. we may take advantage of them; and the force of affociation of ideas is hardly any where more evident, than in the difagreeable fenfation excited by words, which,' though perfectly intelligible, have not happened to be adopted by the generality of writers; and efpecially when eafier. words have happened to fupply their places.
places. A few examples will make this. remark ftriking. Damningnés. Hammond. Criminoufnefs. King Charles. Defignlefly. Boyle. Candidnefs. Suuth. The. naturalnefs of the thought. Addifon on Medals, p. 84. Defcanting upon the value, rarity, and authenticalnefs of the. feveral pieces that lie before them. Ib. The fcience of medals, which is charged reith fo many: unconcerning parts of knowledge. Ib. 84. Among other informalities. Hume's Hift. vol. 4. p. 40I. It reould be fuch a difobligation to the prince. Ib. vol. 6. p. 74. The dinlikers may be forced to fall in with. Swift. To difcover its Jpirit and intendment. Law Tracts, Pref. p. 9. Without any circuity. Hume. Inftead of precipitate, and precipitately, Mr. Hume writes precipitant. Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 28 I. and precipitantly. Ib. p. 291. Allo inftead for confultation, he ufes confult. Ib. vol. 8. p. 65. It would be unnatural, and incomfortable. Law Tracts, vol. 6. p. 125. It would have been too impopular among the Spaniarais. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. 2. P. 1 I .

Latin prefixes and terminations do not well fuit with Saxon words, and vice verfa. Difikenefs. Locke. For this reafon, difquictuefs is not fo good a word as difquietude,
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tude, or inquie!ude. There are, howevers: feveral exceptions to this obfervation; as. the word genuinenefs.

I with we had more liberty to introduce new words, by a derivation analogous to others already in ufe, when they. are evidently wanted. We have, for inftance, no term to exprefs a perfon who underftands mechanics. A mechanic is a mere workman. And yet I am afraid that mechaniff, which Mr. Johnfon has introduced in this fenfe, will not be generally adopted. Having feen what a mechanilt had already performed. Raffelas, vol. I. p. ${ }^{36}$.

When there are two derivatives from the fame word, they are apt to flide, by degrees into different meanings; a cuftom which tends greatly to enrich a language. Thus we ufe the word adhefion in a literal fenfe; as when we speak of the adhefion of the lungs to the pleura; and we ufe the word adherencein a figurative fenfe only; as when we. fpeak of the adherence of a people to their prince, or to a caufe. We alfo ufe the word expofure in a literal fenfe, and expofition in a figurative one; yet Mr. Hume fays, a fountain which has a north expofition. Political Effays, p. 219.

Though
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Though both the words propofal and propofition be derived from the verb propofe, we now ufe the word propofal to denote a thing that is propofed to be done, and propofition for an affertion propofed to. be proved. Some writers, however, and particularly Mrs. Macaulay, in conformity, perhaps, to the French idion, uie the latter in the fenfe of the former. This obfervation was followed by a propofition, which had been at firft fuggefted, and was immediately confented to by the commi/foners. Macaulay's Hiftory, vol. 4. p. 312.

The Latin word extempore is often ufed without any change, as an Englifh word. Mr. Hume writes extemporary. Hift. vol.6. p. 335 :

Derivation is no certain rule to judge of the fenfe of words. The word humourif does not fignify a man of humour.

There is an inconvenience in introducing new words by compofition which. nearly refembles others in ufe before ; as, differve, which is too much like deferve.

## [ 146 ]

## SECTION VIII.

## Of Articits.

ARTICLES are, ftrictly fpeaking, adjectives, as they neceffarily require a noun fubftantive to follow them, the fignification of which they ferve to limit and afcertain, as all adjectives do.

In fome few cafes, after the manner of the French, we prefix the definite article the to the names of towns; as, the Hague, the Havamah, the Deiijes.

Proper names, when they are ufed as common ones, may have an article. Oire would take him to be an Achilles. Devil upon Crutches.

The article $a$ is made more emphatical by the addition of the adjective certain. A certain man had two fons. Luke. But this does not feem to fuit proper names. At. laft, a certain Fitzserald apteared. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 161. One Fitzserald would have been better.

In ufing proper names, we generally have recourfe to the adjective one, to particularife them. If I tell my friend, I have feen one Mr. Roberts, I fuppofe the Mr.

Mr. Roberts that I mean to be aftranger to him; whereas, if I fay, I have feen Mr. Roberts, I fuppofe him to be a perfon well known. Nothing fuppofes greater notoriety than to call a perfon fimply Mr. It is, therefore, great prefumption, or affectation, in a writer, to prefix his name in this manner to any performance, as if all the world were well acquainted with his name and merit.

In general, it may be fufficient to prefix the articie to the former of two words in the fame conftruction; tho' the French never fail to repeat it in this cafe. There were many hours, both of the night and day, which he could fpend, without fufpicion, in folitary thought. Raffelas, vol. 1: p. 23. It might have been, of the night, and of the day. And, for the fake of emphafis, we often repeat the article in a feries of epithets. He hoped, that this title would fecure him a perpetual, and an independent authority. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 326.

We fometimes, after the manner of the French, repeat the fame article when the adjective, on account of any claufe depending upon it, is put after the fubitantive. Of all the conjiderable yovernments among the Alps, a commonwealth is a conL 2 fitution,

348 ENGLISH GRAMMAR.
fitution, the mof adapted of any to the por verty of thofe countries. Addifon on Medals. With fuch a fpecious title, as that of blood, which roith the multitude is alvays the claim, the Arongeft, and moft eafily comprehended. 16. p. 235. They are not the men in the nation, the moft difficult to be replaced. Devil upon Crutches.

We fometimes repeat the Article, when the epithet precedes the fubftantive. He was met by the worfhipful the magifrates.

It fhould feem, that as $a$ without $n$ is prefixed to a confonant, it ought to fuffice before an $h$ that is founded, which is, generally, equivatent to a confonant ; yet many writers prefix an to words beginning with that letter. An half. Blackfone's Commentaries. Beings of an higher order. Raffelas, vol. 1. p. 112 .
$A$ is fometimes put for every; as in fuch phrafes as thefe, a hundred a year, i. e. every year; or for one, as when we fay, fo much a dozen, a pound, \&c. A hundred men a day died of it. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 80. The French always ufe the article the in this conftruction. It appears, however, that the article $a$, which, in many cafes, fignifies one, fhould not be prefixed to words which exprefs a great number, yet cuftom authorifes this ufe of
it. Liable to a great many inconvenienciés. Tillotfon. Many a man, i. e. many times a man.

A nice diftinction of the fenfe is fometimes made by the ufe or omiffion of the article $a$. If I fay, he behaved with a little reverence, my meaning is pofitive. If I fay, He behaved with little reverence, my meaning is negative; and thefe two are by no means the fame, or to be ufed in the fame cafes. By the former I rather praife a perfon, by the latter I difpraife him.

For the fake of this diftinction, which is a very ufeful one, we may better bear the feeming impropriety of this article $a$ before nouns of number. When I fay there zeve few men with him, I fpeak diminutively, and mean to reprefent them as inconfiderable. Whereas, when I fay There were a few men with him, I evidently intend to make the moft of them.

Sometimes a nice diftinction may be made in the fenfe by a regard to the pofition of the article only. When we fay, half a crown, we mean a piece of money of one half of the value of a crown; but when we fay a half crown we mean a half crown piece, or a piece of metal, L 3
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of a certain fize, figure, \&c. Two thillings and fix pence is half a crown, but not a half crown.

The article the is often elegantly put, after the manner of the French, for the pronoun poffecfive. As, he looks him full in the face, i. e. in his face. Tbat aweful Majefty, in whofe prefence they suere to frike the forchead on the ground, i. e. their foreheads. Fergufon on Civil Society, p. 390 .

Some writers, according to the fame idiom, drop the article the before titles, and write (for they would not fay) preface, introducticn, dedication, \&c. inftead of, the preface, the introduction, the dedication, \&xc. which is the true Englifh idiom.

In applying the ordinal numbers to a feries of kings, \&c. we generally interpofe the article the between the name and the adjective expreffing the number, as, Henry the firf, Charles the ficond; but fome writersaffect to tranipole thefe words, and place the numeral adjective firft. The firft Henry. Hume's Hiftory, vol. I. p. 497. This conftruction is common with this writer, but there feems to be a familiarity and want-of dignity-in it.

The article the has, fometimes, a fine effect, in diftinguifhing a perfon by an epithet ; as it gives us an idea of him, as being the only perfon to whom it can be applied. In the Hiftory of Henry the fourth, by father Daniel, we are furprized at not finding him the great man. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 5. p. 82. I own, I am often furprized you hould have treated fo coldly, a man, fo much the gentleman. Fair American, vol. 1. p. 13. Sometimes this fame article is ufed in converfation, with a peculiar kind of emphafis, fimilar to the cales above-mentioned; as, He was never the man, that gave me a permy in his wbole life.

When a word is in fuch a ftate, as that it may, with very little impropriety, be confidered, either as a proper, or a common name, the article the may be prefixed to it or not, at pleafure. The Lord Darnly was the perfon in whom moft men's wifhes rentered. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 87. Lord Darnly would have read juft as well ; and this form is more common, the word Lord being generally confidered as part of the proper name.

Formerly, the article the was prefixed to the pronoun relative. In the which. Corinthians.

L 3
For
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For the greater emphafis, degrees of comparifon frequently take this article. The oftener I read this author, the more I admire him. I think his, fyle the beft I ever read.

In a variety of phrafes, in which the fenfe is abftract, or the fentence contracted, articles are omitted. As, he went on foot, or on horffback. In many of thefe cafes, it is not improbable, but that the articles were ufed originally; but were dropped when the phrafes became familiar. Thus by: fea, by land, on. /hore, - \&c. might have been, by the fea, by the, land, on the fhore, \&c. When fuch phrafes as thefe are very familiar, we do not expect an article, and are rather difapponted when we find one. The half-liarned man, relying upon his firensth, feldom perceives his wants, till he finds his deception paft a cure. Hiftory of England in Letters, vol. at. p. 41. We generally fay, paft cure. When words are uled, in this manner, without any article, it is a pretty fure fign, that they are, or have been, in frequent ufe. The rights and immunities of holy church. Parliamentary Hiftory, vol. 1. p. 12..

When the names of things are fo circumptanced, that articles, and other marks of particularity, are unneceffary; we ufir
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: $:$ Ally omit them, efpecially in converfation. A familiar example of this we may obferve in perfons fpeaking to children, who generally fay, murfe, pappa, or mamma; and feldom your nurfe, your pappa, or your - mamma; becaufe the child has no idea of any nurfe, \&c. befides his own.

In many other cafes, the articles feem to be omitted where we can difcover no:thing but a mere ellipfis; as no reafon can be feen for the omiffion, except that it has a little more concifenefs or energy. Thus we fay, Have you trout in this river; i. e. have you any:of that $\overline{f j e c i e s}$ of $f i \int_{2}$ which is called trout. Nothing is fo dangerous, as to unite two perfons fo clofely, in all their interefts and concerns, as man and wife, without rendering the union entire and total. Hume's Effays, p. 259. He, was fired with the deffue of iding fomething, tho he kne:v not yet, witt difiinctnefs, tither end or means. Raffelas, vol. I. p. 22. In the former of thefe fentences, the words a man and his wife would have conveyed the fame idea, and in the fame extent, as man and uife; for the meaning of both is precifely, any man and his riife. In the batter fentence, the end and the means would have expreffed the idea very completely, .
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fince only one particular end or means was intended.

In the following fentence an univerfality feems to be aimed at by the omiffion of the article, which the fenfe hardly requiresThc pope found himfelf entitled to the poffidion of England and Ireland, on account of the herefy of prince and people. Of the prince would have been better. In fome cafes, however, there feems to be a peculiar elegance in adopting the univerfal fenfe of the word, by omitting the article when it might have been ufed with pro. priety enough. If the young man who appeartd in Flanders was really fon to king Edward, he never would bear arms againft him. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. $3^{883}$. Perhaps the following fentence is rather more elegant by the omiffion of the article. I fufpect, that from any height where life can be fufported, there may be danger of too quick defcent. Raffelas, vol. I. P. 39Too quick a defcent is more common.

In many cafes, articles are omitted in common converfation, or in familiar ftyle, which feem to have a propriety in writing. or in grave ftyle. At worft, time might be gained by this expedient. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 435. At the worft might
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Thave been better in this place. In very familiar ftyle we fometimes drop the article after it has been frequently ufed. Give me here 'fohn Baptifi's head. There would laave been more dignity in laying, Fohn the Baptift's head.

## S:ECTION IX.

Of the Ufe of Prepofitions.

ALL that I have done in this difficult part of grammar, concerning the proper ufe of prepoftions, has been to make a few general remarks upon the fubject; and then to give a collection of the inftances, that have occurred to me, of the improper ufe of fome of them. To make a grammar complete, every verb, and adjective, to which thefe prepofitions are everfubjoined, ought to be reduced into tables; in which all the variety of cafes in which they are ufed, fhould be carefully diftinguithed. The greatelt part of fuch tables, however, would be of little ufe to Englifh men, who are generally accuifomed to the right prepofition;
and
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and who will be chiefly liable to make miftakes where others have been miftaken before them; and a confiderable number of thefe cafes I have nored.

Different relations, and different fenfes, muft be expreffed by different prepofia tions; tho' in conjunction with the fame verb or adjective. Thus we fay, to conserfe with a perfon, upon a fubject, in a houfe, \&c. We alfo fay, we are difappointed of a thing, when we cannot get it; and difappcinted in $i t$, when we have it, and find it does not anfwer our expectations. But two different prepofitions muft be impro-- per in the fame conftruction, and in the Tame fentence. The combat between thirty Britons, againft twenty Englifh. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 2. p. 292.

In fome cafes, it is not poffible to fay to which of two prepofitions the preference is to be given, as both are ufed promif. cuoully, and cuftom has not decided in favour of either of them. We fay, expert at, and expert in a thing. Expert at finding a remedy for his miftakes. Hume's Hift. vol. 4. p. 4:17. We fay, difapproved of; and difapproved by a perfon. Difapproved by our court. Swift. It is not improbable, but that, in time, thefe different conftructions may be appropriated to dif, ferent
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ferent ufes. All languages furnifh examples of this kind, and the Englifh as many as any other.

When prepofitions are fubjoined to nouns, they are generally the fame which are fubjoined to the verbs, from which the nouns are derived., Fohn, fiewing the fame difpoftion to tyranny over his fubjects. Hume's Hift. vol. 1. p. 7.4. i.e. to tyramize over his fubjects.

When a word ending in ing is preceded by an article, it feems to be ufed as a noun; and therefore ought not to govern another word, without the intervention of a prepofition. Fy blackening his fame, had that injury been in their prower, they formed a very proper prelude to the murdering. his perfon. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 117. In this conftruction, the word murdcring is ewidently a particle of an active verb. Qu . alfo, is murdering a man's perfon proper?

The force of a prepofition is implied in fome words, particularly in the word home. When we fay, he went home, we mean to his orem houfe; yet in other conftructions, this fame word requires a prepoficion; for we fay, he went from home.

Many writers affect to fubjoin to any word the prepofition with which it is compounded,
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pounded, or the idea of which it implies $\%$ : in order to point our the relation of the words in a more diftinct and definite manner, and to avoid the more indeterminate prepofitions of, and to; but genesal practice, and the idiom of the Englifh tongue, feem to oppofe the innovation. Thus many writers fay averfe from a thing. Aierfe from Venus. Pope. The abhorrince againft all other fects.. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 4. p. 34. But other writers wie aierfe to it, which feems more truly Engliih. Averfe to any advice. Swift. An attention to the latent metaphor may be pleaded in favour of the former example, and this is a rule of general ufe, in directing what prepofitions to fubjoin to a word. Thus we fay devolve upon a thitis, and Mr. Addifon improperly luys, poetical innitation, founded in [on] natural refemblance, is much inferior to that of painting. But this rule, would fometimes miflead us particularly where the figure has become nearly evanefcent. Thus we fhould naturally expect, that the word depend would require from after it; but cuftom obliges us to fay depend upon, as well as infift upon a thing. Yet were we to ufe the fame word where the figure was manifeft, we chould ufe the
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 prepofition from; as the cage depends from the rooff of the building.
## Of the Prepofition of.

Several of our modern writers have leaned to the French idiom in the ufe of the prepofition of, by applying it where the French ufe de, tho' the Englifh idiom would require another prepofition, or no prepolitionat all in the cafe; buc no writer has departed more from the genius of the Englifh tongue, in this refpect, than Mr. Hume. Richlieu profited of every circumftance, which the conjuncture afforded. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 4. p. 251. We lay profited by. He remembered him of the fable. Ib. vol. 5. P' 185 . The great difficulty they find of fixing juft fentiments. 10. The king of Ensland, provided of every fuppl:. Ib. vol. I. p. 206. In another place he writes, provide them in food and raiment. Ib. vol. 2. p. 65. The true Englith idiom feems to be to provide with a thing. It is fituation chiefly which decides of the fortunes and characters of men. Ib. vol. 6. p. 283.i. e. concerning. He found the greateft difficulty of uriting. Ib. vol. 1. p. 40 I. i. e. in. Of which, he was extremely greedy, extremely 1 rodisal, and extremely
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tremely neceffitous. Ib. vol. 4. p. 126 He zeas eager of recommending it 10 his fellori-citizeus. Ib. vol. 7. p. 161. The grod lady tias careful of ferving me of ciery thing. In this example with would. have been more proper.

It is agrecable to the fame idiom, that of leemis to be uled inftead of for in the foilowing fentences. The rain hath been. falling of a long time. Maupertuis' Voyage, p. 60. It might perhaps have given me a greater tafte of its antiquities. Addifon. Of, in this place, occafions a real. ambiguity in the fenfe. Atafie of a thing implies aetual enjoyment of it; but a tafte. for it only implies a capacity for enjoyment. The efticem wbich Philip had conceived of the ambalfador. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 90. You know the efteem I have of this philofophy. Law Tracts, vol. I. p. 3. Youth wandering in foreign countries, with as little refpect of others, as prudence of his own, to guard him from danger. An indemnity of paft offences. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 29.

In the following fentences, on or upon might very well be fubftituted for of. Was totally dependent of the papal crow. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 71. Laid hold of. lb. vol. I. p. 292،. We alfo ufe
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 url of instead of on or upon, in the following familiar phrafes, which occur chiefly in converfation; to call of a person $\boldsymbol{j}_{\text {, }}$ and to wait of him.In forme cafes, a regard to the French idiom hath taught us to fubftitute of for in. The great difficulty they found of fixing just Sentiments. Hume's History, vol. 6. p. 63. Curious of Antiquities. Dryden.

In a variety of cafes, the preposition of rems to be fuperfluous in our language; and, in mot of them, it has been derived to us from the French. Notwithfanding of the numerous panegyrics on the ancient English liberty. Hume's Effays, p. 81. Notwithstanding of this unlucky example. Ib. p. 78. Aukward as this construction is, it is generally used by feveral of our later writers. This prepofition feems to be fuperfluous, when it is prefixed to 2. word which is only fed to flew the extent of another preceding word, as, the city of London, the palions of hope and fear are very flong. It alpo deems to be fuperfiuous after feveral adjectives, which are fometimes used as fubftantives, a dozen of years. Hume's Effays, p. 258.

In the following inftances, it may be a. matter of indifference whether we ufe this. preposition or not. To one who confiders. M
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coolly of the fubject. Hume's Political Effays, p. 14.1. I can conceive of mothing more worthy of him. Price. It is fometimes omitted, and fometimes inferted after worthy. It is worthy obferiation. Hume's Hiftory. I hhould chufe to make ufe of it in this cafe. But I think it had better be omitted in the following fentence. The emulation who hould ferve their country. beft no longer fubfifts among them, but of withe. fhould obtain the mof lucrative command. Montague's Rife and Fall of ancient Republicks, p. 137. The whole conftruction of this fentence is by no means natural. The meaning of it, when expreffed at fult length is, The emulation which conffis in friving who 乌hould ferve his country, \&c.

The prepofition of feems to be omitted in the following fentence, in which it refembles the French idiom. Ail :his, how. ever, is eafly learned from medals, where they may fee likewife the plan of many, the maft confiderable buildings of ancient Rome. Addifon on Medals, p. 23. i. e. of many of the mof confiderable buildings, \&c.

Of is frequently ambiguous, and would oftener be perceived to be fo, did not the fenfe of the reft of the paffage in which it occurs prevent that inconvenience; and this it will often do, even when this part

## PREPOSITIONS. $\quad 16_{3}$

 of the fentence, fingly taken, would fuggeft a meaning the very reverfe of what is intended. The attack of the Englifl2 naturally means an attack made by the Englifh, upon others; but, in the following fentence, it means an attack made upon the Englifh. The two princes concerted the means of rendering ineffectual their common attack of. the Englifh. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 114. The oppreffion of the peafants feemethgreat, p. 152. is in itfelfquite ambiguous. but the fenfe of the paffage make the peafants to be the oppreffed, not the oppreffors.Of is ufed in a particular fenfe in the: phrafe, he is of age, the meaning of which. is, he is arrived at what is deemed the ageof manhood.

## Of the Prepofitions to and for.

Agreeably to the Latin and French: idioms, the prepofition to is fometimes ufed in conjunction with fuch words as, in. thofe languages, govern the dative cafe; but this conftruction does not feem to fuit the Englifh language. His fervants ye are, to whom ye obey. Romans. And to their general's voice they foon obeyed. Milton. The people of England may congratulate to M 2. them-
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themfelves, that the nature of our governs ment, and the clemency of our kings fecure us. Dryden. Something like this has been reproached to Tacitus. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. 1. p. ${ }^{1} 3^{6}$.

To feems to be ufed inftead of for in the following fentences. Deciding law-fuits to the northern counties. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 4. p. 19r. A great change to the. better. Hume's Effays, p. 133. At leaft for is more ufual in this conftruction.

To feems to be ufed improperly in the following fentences. His abhorrence to that fuperfitious figure. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 323. i. e. of. Thy prejudice to my caufe. Dryden. i. e. againf. Confaquent to. Locke. i. e. upon. The Englifh weere very different people then to wbat they are at prefent. Smoilett's Voltaire, vol. I. p. 178 .

In compliance to the declaration of the Englifh parliament. Macaulay's Hiftory, vol. 4. p. 57.

In feveral cafes, to may be fuppreffed, but if there be two claufes of a fentence, in the fame conftruction, it fhould etther be omitted, or inferted in both alike. The people fole his gibbet, and paid it the fame veneration, as to his crofs. Hume's Hift. vol. 2. p. 39 .

The
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The place of the prepofition for, might Thave been better fupplied by other prepofitions in the following fentences. The wor/hip of this deity is extremely ridiculous, and therefore better adapted for the vulgar. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 1.p. 203. i. e. to. To die for thirft. Addifon. i. e. of or by. More than they thought for [of ]. D'Alembert's Hiftory of the Expulfion of the Jefuits, p. 132. I think that virtue is fo awiable in berfelf, that there is no need for [of] the knowledge of God, to make ber beloved and followed. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 1: p. 30. If the party chufe to infift for [upon] it. Law Tracts, vol. i. p. 70.

The prepofition for, is ufed in a peculiar fenfe in the following paffage; and prejudices for prejudices, jome perfons may be apt to think, that thofe of a churchman are as tolerable as of any other. Law Tracts, vol. 1. p. 184. i. e. if prejudices on all fides be fairly compared.

For is fuperfluous in the phrafe, more than he knows for. Shakefpear. This is only ufed in familiar and colloquial ftyle.

Of the Prepofitions with and upon:
The prepofition with feems to be ufed where to would have been more proper in
the

## 66 ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

 the following fentences. Reconciling himfelf with the king. Hume's Hiftory, vol: 4. p. 1.76. Thofe things which have the greateft refemblance with each other frequently differ the mof. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 3. p. 65. And that fuch felection, and rejection hould be confonant with our proper nature. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 205 Conformable with. Addifon. The hiftory of St. Peter is agreeable with the facred text. Newberry's New Teftament.Other prepofitions had better have been fubftituted for with, in the following fentences. Glad' with [at] the fight of hofile blood. Dryden. He has as much reafon to be angry with you as with him. Preceptor, vol. 1. p. 10. Converfant with a fcience. Pope. In would have been at leaft equally proper. Thty could be prevailed with [upon] to retire. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 4. p. 10 .

In the following fentence to dijpenfe with my felf is ufed in the fame fenfe as to excufe myjelf. I could not dijpenfe with myyelf from making a voyage to Caprea. Addifon.

The prepofition with and a perfonal pronoun fometimes ferve for a contraction of a claufe of a fentence. The homunculus is endowed with the fame loconotive powers and faculties with us. Triftram Shandy, vol.
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 vol. I. p. 5. i. e. the fame faculties with zuhich we are endowed.The oblique cafe of the perfonal pronouns is ufed in conjunction with this prepofition by way of emphafis, without any other addition to the fenie, as away with thee, get thee gone with thee.

The prepofition on or upon feems to be ufed improperly in the following fentences. 1 thank you for helping me to an ufe (of a medal) that perhaps I ghould not have thought on [of]. Addifon on Medals. Authors have to brag on [of ]. Pope. Cenforious upon all his brethren. Swift. perhaps of. His reafon could not attain a thorougb conviction on thofe fubjects. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 355. Agreater quantity may be taken from the heap, without making amy fenfible alteration upon it. Hume's Political Effays, p. 12. i. e. in. Every office of command Should be entrufted to perfons on [in] whom the parliament could confide. Macaulay's Hittory, vol. 3. p. 112.

This prepofition feems to be fuperfluous in the following fentence. Their efforts feemed to anticipate on the Spirit, which became fo general afterwards. Hume's Hift. vol. 3. p. 5 .

We fay, to depend upon a thing, but not to promife upon it. But this effect we may
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fafely fay, no one could beforehand hate promifed upon. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 75. It might have been, have promiffed themfelves.

## Of the. Prepofitions in, from, and others.

The prepofition in is fometimes ufed where the French ufe their on, but where fome other prepofitions would be more agreeable to the Englifh idiom. Some of the following fentences are examples of this. .He made a point of honour in [of] not departing from his enterprize. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 1. p. 402 . I think it neceffary, for the intereft of virtue and religion, that the whole kingdom jhould be informed in tome parts of your character. Swift. i. e. abcut, or concorning. In :fome of thefe cafes, in might with advantage be changed for to or into. Painters have : not a liittle contributed to bring the fudy of.medals in vogue. Addifon. On the other hand, I bave found into put for in: engaged him into attempts. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5 . p. 162. To be liable in a compenjaticn. Law Tracts, vol. i. p. 45.

It is agreeable to the Erench idion, that in. is fometimes put for with. He had
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-Ween provided in a fmall living by the Duke of Norfolk. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 68.

In fome familiar cafes, ithere is an elJipfis of this prepofition. It was effeemed no wife probable. Hume's Hittory, vol. 7 . p. 315. but this conitruction hardly fuits grave ftyle.

In is fuperfluous in the cotloquial phrafe, he finds me in snoney and cloaths, \&c.

The prepofition from had better be changed in the following fentences. The effates of all were burthened by fines and confifcations, which had be:n levied from them. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 315. He acquits one from mine iniquity. Job. better of. Could he have profited from [by] repeated experiences. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 259.

From feems to be fuperfluous after forbear. He.could not forbear from appointing the Pope to be one of the God fathers. Ib. vol. 8. p. 282.

The prepoftion amons always implies a number of things; and, therefore, cannot be ufed in conjunction with the word eiery, which is in the fingular number. Which is found among every fpecies ef liberty. Hume's Effays, p. 92. The of inion of the cdiance of riches in the ifland fecms Hume's Political Effays, p. 7 r .

There feems to be fome impropriety in the ufe of the prepofition under in the following fentence. That range of hills, knowe under the general name of mount FuraAccount of Geneva.

The prepofition through is fometimes fupplied by a very particular conftruction of the adjective long, thus all nigbt long, and all day long, are equivalent to, through all the night, through all the day.

Sometimes $a$ is put for in. But the Baffa detains us till he receives orders from. Adrianople, which may probably be a month a coming. Lady Montague's Letters, vol. 1. p. 147. i. e. in coming.

## SECTIONX.

Of the Order of Words in a Sen-

AN adjective fhould not be feparated from its fubftantive, even by words which modify its meaning, and make but one fenfe with it. $A$ large enough number

## O R D E R. 172

Ber furely. Hume's Political Effays, p. 196. a number large enough. The lower fort of people are good enough judges of one not very diftant from them. Ib. p. 261. Ten thoufand is a large enough bafe. lb.

Adjectives fignifying dimenfions, and fome other properties of things, come after the nouns expreffing thofe particular dimenfions, or properties. A tree three feet thick. A body fifty tboufand Arong. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 242. This laft expreffion is rather vulgar.

There is, fometimes, great elegance, as well as force, in placing the adjective before the verb, and the fubitantive im. mediately after it; as, Great is the Lord, juft and true are thy ways, thou king of ifaints. It gives a poetical elevation to the expreffion.

Sometimes the word all is emphatically put after a number of particulars comprehended under it.

Her fury, her defpair, her every gefture.
Was nature's languayse all.
Voltaire, vol. 27. p. 274. Ambition, intereft, glory, all concurred. Letters on Chivalry, P. IA. Sometimes a fubftantive, which, likewife, comprehends the preceding particulars, is uled
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in conjunction with this adjective. Royalifs, republicans, churchmen, fectaries, courtiers, patriots; all parties concurred. in the illufion. Hume's Hiftory, wol. 8. p. 73.

The word fuch is often placed after a number of particulars to which it equally relates. The figures of difcourfe, the pointed antithefis, the unnatural conceit, the jingie of words; fuch falfe ornaments were nut emptoyed by early writers. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 129.

By way of emphafis, the demonitrative pronoun this, though in the conftruction of a nominative cafe, is fometimes placed without any verb, after the words to which it belongs. A matter of great importance this, in the conduct of life. I cannot fay that I admire this conftruction, though it be much ufed, and particularly, if I remember right, by Mr. Seed, in his fermons.

Words defigned to diftinguih, and to give an emphafis to the perfonal pronouns, which are the nominative cafe to 2 verb, are naturally placed after it. If ye forgive not, every one of you, his brother his trefpaffes.

When a fentence begins with the words all, many, fo, as, kow, too, and perhaps fome
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Fome others, the article $a$ is elegantly preceded by the adjective, and followed by its correfpondent fubftantive. He fpake in fo affectionate a manmex. So tall a man I mevier faw before. So profeffed an admirer of the ancient poets. Addiion on Medals; p. 27. He is too great a man.

Moft other particles muft be placed before: the adjeCtives; as, he /pake in quite an affectionate manner. Such a dark cloud overcaft the evening of that day. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 469. So dark.a clousd would have been equivalent, and in a!l refpects better. He was no lefs able a negtciator, than a courageous warrior. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 1 . p. 181.

The prepofition of will not bear to be feparated from the noun which it either precedes or follows, without a difagreeable effect. The iznorance of that age, in miechanical arts, rendered the progrefs very flow of this new inientian. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 445. Being in n:o fenfe capable of either intention or remiffion. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 190. The word itfelf of God. His picture, in diftemper, of calumny borrowed from the defcripticn of one painted by Apelles, was.fuppofed to be a fatyr on that cardinal. Walpole's Anecdotes.
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The country firft dawned, that illuminated the world, and beyond which the arts camot. be traced, of civil fosiety or domeffic life. Raffelas, vol. 2. p. 32 .

Little explanatory circumftances are particularly aukward between a genitive cafe, and the word which ufually followsir. She began to extol the farmer's, as fhe called him, excellent underftanding. Harriot Watfon, vol. i. p. 27.

If an entire claufe of a fentence depend upon a word followed by of, the tranfpofition is eafy. Few examples occur, of princes who have willingly refigned their power. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. 472. If the words followed by this particle make a claufe, which might have been omitted, and have left the fenfe compleat; it may. be inferted at fome diftance from the noun on which they depend, as it were, by way of parenthefis. The nobleft difcoveries thofe authors ever made, of art or of nature, have all been produced by the tranfcendent genius of the prefent age. Swift's Tale of a Tub, p. 57.

The prepofition of, and the words. with which it is connected, may often elegantly precede the verb on which they both depend. Treo montbs had now paffed, and of Pekuah nothing had been heard. Raf.
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Raffelas, vol. 2. p. 54. This conftruction is not quite fo eafy, when thefe words depend upon a fubftantive coming after them. He found the place rejilte with wonders, of which he propojed to jolace himfelf with the contemplation, if he fhould neitr be able to accomplifh his flight. Ib. vol. I. p. 32. This conftruction is properly. French, and does not fucceed very well in Englifh. Of the prefent ftate, whatezer. it be, we feel and are forced to confefs the mifery. Ib. p. 143. In the former of thefe fentences we hould read, with the contemplation of which he propofed to folace himfelf. I am glad, then, fays Cynthio, that he has thrown him upon a Jcience, of which he has long. wijhed to hear the ufefulnets. Addifon on Medals, p. 12.

It is a matter of indifierence, with refpect to the pronoun one another, whether the prepofition of be placed between the two parts of it, or before them both. We may either fay, they were jealous one of another, or they were jealsus of one a nother.

Whenever no ambiguity will be occafooned by putting the nominative cafe after the verb, this conflruction makes an elegant variety in Englifh ftyle. This is partucularly the cafe in verbs neuter, which admit of no object of the affirmation.
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Upon thy right hand ftands the Queen. Thenominative cafe has always this place when. a fentence begins with the particle there. There was a man fent from God, whofe name was Fohn. And generally after then. 'Then canse unto him the Pharifees. It may often, in other cafes, have this place, and even be feparated from the verb by other words, His character is as much difputed. as is commonly that of princes who are our cotemporaries. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 97. But they are aukwardly feparated: in the following fentence. Even the favage, ftill lefs than the citizen, can be made to quit that manner of life, in which he has betn trained. Fergufon on Civil Society, p. 145.

In the clofe of a paragraph, the nominative cafe generally follows the verb, even when the fentence is affirmative. And thus have you exhibited a fort of a Jketch of art. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 12.

But when the nominative cafe is complex, and confifts of feveral words, it is better to place it before the verb. The following fentence, in which a different order is obferved, is ungraceful. An undertaking, which, in the execution, proved as impracticable, as had turned out every other of their pernicious, yet abortive fchemes.
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Echemes. Macaulay's Hiftory, vol. 4. P. 256 .

The nominative cafe does not eafily folLow the verb when the particle than precedes it. He thought that the prefbyters zoould foon have become more dangerous tothe magiftrate, than had ever been the prelatical clergy. Hume's Hittory, vol. 7. p. 7. 1. than the prelatical clergy had ever been.

When the nominative cafe is put after a verb, the adverb never, and fuch others as are ufually placed after the verb, are put before them both; and when thofe words begin a fentence, we are difappointed, if the verb do not immediately follow ic. Never fovercign was bleffed with more moderation of temper. Hume's Hift. vol.. 6. p. 389. never was fovereign. Hence the impoffibility appears, that this undertaking fhould be begun and carried on in a monarchy. Hume's Effays, p. 173. hence appears theimpofibility.

Alfo when the nominative cafe is put after the verb, on account of an interrogation, no other word fhould be interpofed between them. May not we here. fay with Lucretius. Addifon on Medals, p 29. may we not fay. Is not it he. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 18. p. 152. is it not he.
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When a nominative cafe is not pre after a verb, it has a till worfe effect to place the negative particles before is: Not only he found himfolf a prifoner iti:' narrowly guarded. Hume's Hiftory, vol 7. p. 77. It fhoukd either have been, he not only found himfelf, or net only did is find kimfelf. The following fencence is ftill more aukwardly conftructed, by the interpofition of a claufe between the nominative cafe and the verb. Not only the power of the crown, by means of weardfing and purveyance, was very confiderable, it wur alfo unequal, and perfonal. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 362.

The auxiliary verb do, or did, is neceffarily placed before the nominative cafe, when the fentence begins with neither, nor, and perhaps fome other adverbs. This rule is obferved in one part of the following fentence, and neglected in the other. The difference of the effect will be perceived by every Englifh ear. Neither the conftable opened his gates to them, nor did the Duke of Burgundy lring hims the fmailkf a/fifance. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. 266.

By a very peculiar idiom, the nominative cale is formetimes put after the verbs may, can, $\mathcal{\delta}^{2} c$. whes firprize is expreffed,
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or a queftion is reported, \&c. the words if, whether, \&c. being underftood, as, I reonder, can he do it; i. e. I wonder whether he can do it. She demanded of me, could I play at cribbage. Swift's Pofthumous Works. i. e. Jhe demanded of me, if I could play. I have frequently heard this form of expreffion in converfation, but do not remember ever to have met with it in writing, except in this paffage of Swift.

The negative particles are not well fituated between the active participles of auxiliary verbs, and the paffive participles of other verbs. Which being not admitted into general ufe does not pleafe the ear fo well as which not being admitted. Having not known, or not confidered; i. e. not having known.

When feveral auxiliary verbs are ufed, the place of the adverb is after the firft of them (if the fecond of them be not a participle) whether the nominative cafe come before or after the verb. The three graces are always hand in hand, to flow us that thefe three fhould be never feparated. Addifon on Medals, p. 29. ghould never be feparated. And fince the favour can be conferred but upm few, the greater number will be always difcontented. Raffelas, vol. 2. p. 9. xeill always be. Shalli be never
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fuffered to forget thefe lectures. Ib. vol. L p. 16. Shall I never be.

Though the negative participles fot bow the auxiliary verbs in an interroga tion, no other adverbs fhould be placed there along with them. Would not then this art have been wholly unknown. Harris's three Treatifes, p. 24. Would nt this art then have been.

So clofely do.we expect every relative to follow its antecedent, that if the antecedent be a genitive cafe, the other fubftantive cannot be interpofed between then, without a difagreeable effect. They attacked Northumberland's houfe, whon they put to death. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 3. p. $3^{62}$. Ho had fufficient experience of the extreme ardour and impatience of Henry's temper, who could bear no contradicicm. Ib. vol. 4. p. 99. I flall not confine myfelf to any man's rules that eier lized. Triltram Shandy, vol. 1. p. 10.

In the following fentences the relative, being ftull farther removed from its antecedent, has a ftill worfe effect. To invohie his miniter, in ruin, who had been the author of it. Hume's Hift. vol. 4. P. 225. Primauzeth's Alip was fet on fire, who, firiding his defruction inevitable, bore dowen upon the Englifh admiral. Ib. vol. 3. p. 362 .

The object of an affirmation fhould not eafily be feparated from its verb by the intervention of other claufes of the fentence. The bad effect of this arrangement may be perceived in the following e xamples. Frederick, feeing it was impofFible to truft, with fafety, his life in the hards of Chrifians, was obliged to take the Mahometans for his guard. Smollett's Volcaire, vol. 2. p. 73. The emperor refufed to convert, at once, the truce into a defi--nitive treaty. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 3T0. Becket could not better difcover, than by attacking fo powerful an intereft his refolution to maintain with vigour the rights, real or pretended, of his church. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 1 . P. 415.

Even when a verb and a prepofition, or fome other word, make as it were, but one compound word, and have but one joint meaning, yet they hould be feparated in this cafe. Arran propofed to invite back the king upon conditions. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 299. to invite the king sack.

The French always place their adverbs immediately after their verbs, but this order by no means fuits the idiom of the Englifh tongue, yet Mr. Hume has ufed it in his hiftory, almoft without variation.
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His government gave courage to the Eng lijh barons to carry farther their oppojition Hume's Hift. vol. 2. p. 46. Edward ob. tained a difpenfation from his oath, wihich the barons had compelled Gaveffon to take, that he wosuld abjure for ever the reain. 1b: vol. 2. p. 342. to carry their opprfition farther, and, to abjure the realm for cier.

Sometimes a claufe of a fentence, containing a feparate circumftance, is put in the place of the adverb. However, the miferable remains were, in the might, taken down. Univerial Hift. vol. 24. p. 272.

When there are more auxiliaries than one, the adverb fhould be placed after them, immediately before the participle. Differtations on the prophecies which have remarkably been fulfilled in the world. Titde page to Dr. Newton's treatife on the prophecies. This combination appears very irregular and harih. It fhould have been, whick have been remarkably fulfilled. There are, however fome adverbs, in very common ufe, as always, gencruit, often, Éc. which, if we judge by the ear, are better placed betwixt the auxili. aries; as, He has always been reckoned an homeft mas. The boo: may always be had at juch a place.

## $O \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{E}$.

So convenient is the place between the a $u$ xiliary verb and the participle for other words, that feveral adjectives, agreeing with the nominative cafe, are beft inferted there. They all are invefted with the power of punifhing- Account of Geneva, p. 91. they are ali ineefied.

Too many circumftances are thrown before the nominative cafe and the verb, in the following fentence. This is what we mean by the original contract of fociety, which, though, perhaps, in no inftance it tras ever been formal'y expreffed, at the firft inffitution of a fate, yet, in nature, and reafon, fiould alway's be underfood and implied in every act of affociating together. Blackftone's Commentaries, vol. t. p. $4^{8}$. The arrangement of this fentence will be rectified by placing the circumftance, in $n)$ inftance, betweè the auxiliary and the participle ; which though perhaps, it has, in no infance, been formally expreffed.

The parts of the word however, are often feparated by the interpofition of an adjective, and the particle $f 0$ is prefixed to the part ever; which feems to be much better than to fubjoin the adjective to the entire word. The king, however little firupulous in fome refpects, was incapable of amy think harfh or barbarous. Hume's $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ Hift.
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Hift. vol. 7. p. 468. how little fcrupulass foever. The opinions of that fect fill kept polfeffion of his mind, however little they appeared in his conduct. Ib. 47 I how little frever. However much he might defpie the maxims of the king's adminiftration, he kept a total filence on that fubject. Ib. vol. 8. p. 267. how much foever.

The pronouns whichfoever, howfoezer, and the like, are allo elegantly divided by the interpofition of the correfponding fubftantive, and make a better conftruction than which ever, \&c. without jo preceding the fubftantive. On which ever fide the king caft his ejes. Hume's Hift. vol. 6. p. $3=0$. To my ear, on which fide foever founds better.

The active participie, placed before its fubftantive, in imitation of the ablative cale in Latin, makes a very aukward conftruction in Englifh. Remoeing the term. from Wefminfer, fitting the parliament, woas illegal. Macaulay's Hift. vol. 3. p. 283. while the parliament soas fitting, or the parliament being fitting.

In famuliar ftyle, the word though clofes a fentence, as it were, elliptically. Inited but he did though. Female Quixote, vol. 1. p. 132.

Siction.
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## Siction XI.

Of the Correfpendence of Words exprefing Nuinters.

ANumber of perfons, though confidered in fuccefion, in which care chere exifts only one at a time, fhould, neverthelefs, be fooken of as in the plural number.' The difenticns it had at honie, with its b:/hops, and the eionenets it fuffered from without, particularly jrem its congant and inieterate enemy, the Dukes of Savay, kept it engaged in a perpctual fiene of war and crefificn. Account of Geneva, p. 19. enemies.

It is a rule, that two diftinct fubjects of an affirmation require the verb to be in the plural number, in the fame manner as if the affirmation had been made concerning two or more things of the fame kind. Bur, notwithftanding this, if the fubjects of the affirmation be nearly related, the verb is rather better in the fingular number. Nothinig but the marvellous and fupernatural hath any charms for them. Whene's and ignorance [confidered as kird-
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kindred dilpofitions, and forming one habit of the mind] if it be fuffered is procees., \&rc. Johnfon. He fent his angels to figit' for his poople, and the difcomiture and fiaughter of great hofs, is attributed to their affiftance.

If the terms be very nearly related, a plural verb is manifefty harih; though it may be thought to be frictly grammatical. His politenefs and obliging behaviour were changed. Hume's Hittory, vol. 6. P. 14. was would have read better. That quick march of the fpirits, if prolonsed, begeis a languor and lethargy, that dettroy all enjoyment. Hume. deftrcys.

It is not neceflary that the two fubjects of an affirmation mould ftand in the very fame conftruction, to require the verb to be in the plural number. If one of them be made to depend upon the other by a connecting particle, it may, in fome cafes, have the fame force, as if it were independent of it. A long courfi of time, with a ecriety of accidents and circumffances, are requifite to produce thofe revolutions. Hume.

It is very common to confider a collective noun as divided into the parts of which. it confifts, and to adapt the conEluction of the fentence to thofe parts, and
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and not to the whole. If an academy Should be eftablijhed for the cultivation of our Ayle, which I, who can never wilh to fee dependence multiplied, hope the fpirit of Ens゙lifh liberty will hinder, or deffryy; let them, infead of compiling grammars and dictionavies, andeavour, with all their influence, to flop the licenfe of tranfators; whofe idlerefs and ignorance, if it be fluffered to proceed, will reduce us to babble a dialect of French. Johnfon. Let the members of it would have been better. In this manner pronouns often millead perfons. Whatever related to ecclefiaftical meetings, matters, and perfons, were to be ordered according to fuch directions as the king flould. fend to his prizy council. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 49. Can any perfon, on their entrance into the world, le fully fecure, that they fhall not be diccised. Fair American, vol. 2. p. 26.

It is a rule refpecting numbers, that nouns of a fingular termination, but of a plural fignification, may admit of a verb either fingular or plural; but this is by no means arbitrary. We ought to confider whether the term will imnediately fuggeft the idea of the number it reprefents, or whether it exhibit to the mind the idea of the whole, as one thing. In the
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the former cafe, the verb ought to be plural, in the latter it ought to be fingular. Thus it feems harfh to fay with Harvey in Johnfon, In France the peafantry goes bare. foot, and the middle fort, through all that kingdom, makes ufe of wooder ghoes. It would be better to lay, The peafantry go bare foot, and the middle fort make ufe, \&c. becaufe the idea, in both thefe cales, is that of a number. But words expreffing the greateft numbers may be ufed in a fingular conftruction, if the ideas they convèy may be conceived ar once; as, a hundred pounds, a great many men, \&c.

On the contrary, there is an harfhnefs in the following fentences of Hume, in which nouns of number have verbs plural; becaufe the ideas they reprefent feem not to be fufficiently divided, as it were, in the mind. The court of Rome were not without folicituds. The houfe of commons were of fmall weight. The houfe of lords were fo miuch influenced by thefe reafons. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 108. Stejien's party were entirely broke up by the captivity of the:r leader. Ib. vol. 1. p. 306. An army of twenty-four thoufand were aftembled. One would think that naming the actual number of men, of which
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the army confifted, would be fufficient to break the idea into its proper parts; but I think that the effect of this fentence upon the ear proves the contrary. An army, though confifting of ever to many men, is ftill one thing, and the verb ought to be in the fingular number.

Some nouns, however, of a fingular form, but of a plural fignification, conitantly require a plural conftruction; $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{c}}$, the fewer, or the more acquaintance I haice. All the other nobility. They were carried ouver to Brhemia by fome youth of their nation, who jiudicd ir. Oxford. Hume's Hiftory.

Other nouns, of a plural form, but of a fingular fignification, require a fingular conftruction; as, marhematicks is a ufeful ftudy. I his obfervation will likewife, in tone meafure, vindicate the grammatical propriety of the famous faying of William: of Wykeham, Manners maketh man.

It is a rule, I believe, in all grammars, that when a verb comes between two nouns, either of which may be underftood as the fubject of the affirmation, that it may agree with either of them; but fome regard mult be had to that which is more naturally the fubject of it, as alfo to that which ftands next to the verb; for if no. regard.
regard be paid to thefe circumftances, the conltruction will be hardh. Minced pies was resarded as a profane and fuperfitious ciand by the fectaries. Hume's Hiftory. A great caufe of the low fate of indufiry were the reftraints put upon it. Ib. By this tirm was underftood, fuch perfons as invented, or drew up rules for themfelves and tile world.

It feenis wrong to join words which are attributes of unity to nouns in the plural number, as the word whole, in the folluwing fentences of Mr. Hume. The fecicral places of rendezious utre concerted, and the whole operations fixed. Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 179. In thefe rigid opinicns the whole fectaries concurred. Ib. Almof the whole inhabitants were prefent. Ib. This conftruction is, I think, uniformly obferved by this author. Though we fay a whole naticn, yet there does not feem to be the fame propritty in faying a wbole people. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 8. p. 92. becaufe the word people fuggefts the idea of a number.

It is, and it was, are often, after the manner of the french, ufed in a plural conftruction, and by fome of our beft writers. It is either a few great men who decide for the whole, or it is the rabble that
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folls:u a feditious ringleader, auho is n:E knowi, perhaps, to a doxen amoing thim. 1-Hume's Effays, p. 296. It is they that are the real authors, though the foidicrs are the aitors of the reiolutions. Lady Montague's Letters, vol. 2. p. 5. It was the hereticks tiat firft began to rail againft the fineft of all the arts. Smollett's Voltaire, vol. 16. 'Tis thefe that early taint the female foul. This conftruction feems almoft unavoidable in anfwer to a queltion afked in the fame form. Who was it that caught the fifh? It was we. This licence in the conftruction of it is (if the critical reader will think proper to admit of it'at all) has however, been certainly abulid in the following fentence, which is thereby made a very aukward one. It is zeciderfful the very feiw trifting accidents, which happen not once, pirhaps, in feveral years. Obfervation on the Turks, vol. 2. p. 54-

Alfo, when the particle there is prefixed tw a verb fingular, a plural nominative may follow without a very fentible im. propriety. There neefjarily follows from thence, thefe plain and unquiftionatile confequences.

The word none may feem to be a contraction of $n o$ one, yet it admits of a plural conftiuition. All of them had great authrity
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 thority, indeed, but none of them were joiercign princes. Smollett's Voltairenone of them except the heir, are fuppofed to kroce them. Law Tracts, p. 211 . This word is alfo found in a fingular conftruction. None ever varies his opinionRaffelas, vol. 2. p. 12.Fauls, with refpect to number, areoften made by an inattention to the proper meaning of or and other disjunctive particles. Speaking impatiently to fervants. or any thing that betrays inattention, or ill humour, are alfocriminal. Spectator, is alla criminal. A man may jee a metaphor or an allegory in a picture, as wetl as read them in a deffriptich. Addifon on Medals, P. 30.. read it. But their religion, as well as their caffoims, and manners, were ftrangely mijreprefented. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, p. 123. The author of the infoription, as well as thoje who prefided over the refioration of the fragnents, were dead. Condamine's Travels, p. 60.

Words connected with a proper fubject of an affirmation, are apt to millead a writer, and introduce confufion into the conitruction of his fentences with refpect to number. I fancy they are thefe kind of gods, which Horace mentions in bis allegorical veffel; which was fo broken and
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 and /hattered to pieces. Addifon on Me dals, p. 74. The mechanifm of clocks and watches were totally unknown. Hume. The number of inhabitants were not more than four millions. Smollet's Voltaire. Let us difcufs what relates to each particular in their order. its order. There are a fort of authors, who fcorn to take up with appearances. Addifon on Medals, p. 28.The word fort feems to refer to a number of things, and the word kind feems to be more proper when the quality of one fingle thing is fpoken of; yet this diftinction has not been obferved by writers. 'The nobleft fort of the true critic. Swift's Tale of a tub. But allowing that we may fay a fort of a thing; as a fort of land, a fort of wbeat, and the like; yet, in this conftruction, the idea is certainly fingular. In the following paffage, however, it occurs in the plural number. There was alfo among the ancients a fort of critic, not difinguifhed in fpecic from the former, but in growth or degree; who feem to have been only tyro's or junior fcholars. Ib. p. 60.

An endeavour to comprize a great deal; in one fentence is often the occafion of a confufion in numbers. Words confift of: one or more fyllables; yyllables of one or
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more letters. One of the mot aukward of there examples I have met with is the following. The king was petitioned to appoints one, or more, perfon, or perfons. Mac. aulay's Hiftory, vol. 3.

Many writers, of no fall reputation, flay you was, when they are f peaking of a fingle perron ; but as the word you is confeffedly plural; the verb, agreeably to the analogy of all languages, ought to be plural too. Betides, as the verb is in the fecond perfon, we ought to fay you waft rather than you was; and, in the prefent tenfe, we always fay you are in the plural number, and not you art, or you is. in the fingular. Define this paffonats lover to give you a character of his miffrcfs, he will tell you, that he is at a lops for surds to difcribe her charms, and will alk you ferioufly, if aver you was acquainted with a goddess or an angel. If you answer that you never was, he will then fay-Mume's Efffays, p. 224.
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## Section XII.

## Of corre/ponding Particles.

$\square \mathrm{HE}$ greateft danger of inattention to the rules of grammar is in compound fentences, when the firft claufe is to be connected with two of more fucceeding ones. There is a prodigious variety of cafes in which this may happen, and the ftyle of our beft writers is often extremely faulty in this refpect. In order to preferve an eafy connection of the different claufes of a fentence, the ftricteft regard muft be had to thofe particles, which cuftom has made to correfpond to one another; fo that when one of them is found towards the beginning of a fentence, the other is expected to follow in fome fubfequent part of it. As examples, in thefe. cafes elpecially, are more intelligible than rules, or defcriptions; I thall produce a confiderable number of the inftances of faulty correfpondence, which have oc. curred to me; and thall infert, in a diffeent charakter, the words which would have made them grammatical, or fubjointhat form of the fentence, which, I think would have been better.
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Equal is but ill put for the fame, or as much, and made to precede and correfpond to as in the following, fentence. It is ntceffary to watch him with equal vigour, as if he kad indulged himfelf in all the exceffes of cruelty. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 6. p. 63. Agirl of tivelve cannot poffefs equat difcretion to govern the fury of this palfion, as one who feels not its viotence, till fhe be feventeen or cighteen. Hume's Effays, p. 286. And equally does not well fupply the place of as. This neiv extreme was equally pernicious to the publick peace as the others. 1b. p. 329. He deems the fkirmifhes of kites and croies equally deferving of e particular narrative, as the confufed tranlactions and battles of the Saxon heptarchy. Ib. vol. 1. p. 28.

The fame feems to require that, if more than a fingle noun clofe the fentence. Germany ran the fame rifque as Italyhad done. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 180 : The faine rifque as Italy, might, perhaps, have done. She refts herfelf on a pillow, for the fame reafon as the poet often compares an obftinate refolution, or a great firmnefs of mind, to a rock, that is not to be mored by all the affaults of winds or waves, Addifon on Medals, p. 46. The highlander has the fame warlike ideas amnexed to the
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-wend of the bagpipe, as an Englifloman has ? the found of the trumpet or fife. Brown. 5 I examine the Ptolemean and Copernican L/fems, I endeavour only, by my enquiries, to ?now the real Situation of the planets; that 's, in other words, I endeavour to give them, in my mind or conception, the fame relations as they bear to each other in the heavens. Hume's Effays Moral and Political, p. 227.

In the fame manner as, or, in the fame manner that, may perhaps, be equally proper; but the latter construction leans more to the French, and the former is more peculiarly the Englifh idiom. He told the Queen, that he would fubmit to her, in the fame manner that Paul did to Leo Hume's Hiftory, vol. 5. p. $5^{1}$.

So does not lem to admit of as, when any words intervene between them. There is nothing fo incredible, as may not become likely, from the folly and wickedness of John. Hume's History, vol. 2. p. 100.

So from as, does not read fo well, particularly in the middle of a Sentence, as, as foo as. These motives induced Edward, to intruft the chief part of bis government in the hands of ecclefiafticks at the hazard of facing them diforwn his authority fo foo as it would turn against them. Ib. vol. 2. p.
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422. Religious zeal made them fly to thin fandards, fo foon as the trumpet was founded by their Spiritual and temporal leader:. Ib. vol. 6. p. 280.

For the reafon that is a good correfpondence; for the reajon wihy is a bad one. For thele reafons $I$ fuppofe it is, why fome have conceived it would have been rery ixpedient for the publick good of learning, that eiery true critic, as joon as he had finijhed Ais tafk affigned, fhould immediately delitien himfelf ap to ratfoanc or hemp. Swift's Tale of a Tub, p. 55.

That, in imitation, I fuppofe, of the French idiom is, by Mr. Hume, generally made to follow a comparative, fiech fienies are the more ridicuious, that the paffion of Fames feenss not to havie contained in it aity thing criminat. Hume's Hiftory, vol 6. p. 5. Other princes bave repofed themfelies on them with the more confidence, that the object has been beholden to their bounty for every honour. Ib. This conjunction is alfo frequently ufed by fome of our more modern writers, in other cafes where the French ufe que, and efpecially for as; $I$ nezur left hin, that I was not ready to lay to him, dieu vous faffe, Efic. Bolingbroke on Hiftory, vol. i. p. 121. Perhaps when would be more truly Englifh in this
fr-
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Sentence, or we fhould rather fay, I neiver Zeft him but, or, till I was ready.

It is a very common fault with many of our writers, to make fuch correlpond to who; whereas the Englif idion is fuch as; and he, fhe, they, thefe, or, thofe, who. It is a place which, for many years, has been much reforted to by fuch of our countrymen, whofe fortunes indulge them in that part of tducation which we call travelling. Account of Geneva. A high court of juftice was erected for the trial of fuch criminals, whofe guilt was the moft apparent. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 7. p. 289. thofe criminals.

Scarce, or fcarcely, does not admit of than after it. Scarcely had he received the homage of this new pontiff, than Fohn the twelfith had the courage to ftir up the Romans again. Smollett's Voltaire. There is $\mathbf{2}$ much better ccrrefpondence to this particle in the following fentence, from the fame author. Scarce had he left the camp, when the very fame night, one half of the emperor's army went over to his fon Lotharius.

When two claufes of a fentence require each a different particle, it is very common to forget the conitruction of the former claufe, and to adhere to that of
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the latter only. He was more beloved, but not fo much admired as Cinthio. Addifon on Medals. More requires than after it, which is no where found in this fentence. The fupreme head of the church was a foreign potentate, who was guided by interefts always different, fometimes contrary to thofe of the community. Hume's Hift. vol. 4. p. 35. Nei'er was man fo teized, or fuffered half the uneafinefs as I have dome this evening. Tater, $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{e}} .160$. The firft and third claufe, viz. Never was man fo teized as I haveebeen this evening, may be joined without+any impropriety ; but to connect the fecond and third, that mult be fubftisuted:initead of ar, and the fentence be sead thus; or Jufficred half the uneafinefs that: I have done, or elfe, kalf fo much wnrafinets as I have done.
Negative particles often occafion embarraffment to a writer, who, in this cafe, is not fo apt to attend to the exact correfpondence of the different parts of a fentence. Nor is danger ever apprehended in. fuch a government from the violence of the fovereign, no more than we commonly apprehend danger from thunder or earthquakes. Hume's Effays, p. 133. any more. Arigto, Tafo, Gatileo, no more than Raphatl, were
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not born in republicks. Hume. Neither certainly requires nor in the clatife of a fentence correfponding to it. Tinert is another ufe that, in my opinion, ontrilutes ratber to make a manlearned than wife, and is neither capable of pleafing the undirfanding, or imagination. Additon on Medals, po. 16. No does but ill fupply the place of neither in this correfpondence. Northumberland tark an cath, before two-archbijhops, that no contract, nor promile had ever pajfed between them. Hume's Hiltory, vol. 4. P. 174. or promife.

Never fo was formerly ufed where we now lay eier fo. This form is generally to be found in the works of Mr. Addifon, and others of his age. It is conftantly ufed in our tranflation of the Bible charm $k i$ never fo wilfly.

The comparative degree and the conjunction but have noi an eafy correfpondence. Than is preferable. The minifters gained nothing farther by this, but only to cngage the houfe to join the gucfion of the childien's marriage with that of the fettlement of the crezen. Hume's Hift. vol. 5. p. 105. Beficles does not ftand well in the fame conitruction. Thie barons had little more to reiy cn, befides the poweir of their families.
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milies. Hume's Hiftory, vol. 2. p. 193. more than, or take away the word more and the conftruction will be more eafy. Neither does beffdes follow in correfpondence with other near fo well as than. Nicer did any aftablijhed power receive fo ftrony a declaration of its ufurpation and imvalidity; and from $n$ o other infitution, befides the admirable one of jaries, could be expected this magnanimous effort. Hunie's Hiftory, vol. 7• p. 209. Nor does but do fo well as than. They had no other element but wärs. Ib. vol. 1. p. 104.

## THE END.

# JOSEPH PRIESTLEY, LL. D.F.R.S. 

And Sold by
J. JOINSSON, Bookfller, at No. 72, in St. Pauls, Church-Yard, London.
-1. THE Rudiments of Engl:fh Grammar, adapted to the ufe of Schou s, 1s. 6d.
2. A Familiar Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Perspactive. With Copper-Plates. Price 5s. in Boards.
3. A Familiar Introduction to the Study of Electracity, the Secund Edition, 8vo. 2s. 6d.
4. The Hiftory and preient State of ElectrifiIY, with Original Experiments, illuftrated with Copper-Plates, the Second Edition, corrected and e:larged, $4^{\text {to }}$. 1 1- 13.
5. A new Chart of Hiftory, containing a View of the principal Revolutions of Empire that have taken place in theWorld; with a Book defcribing it, containing an Epitome of Univerfal Hiltory, zos. 6d.
6. A Chart of Biography, with a Book, containing an Explanation of it, and a Catalogue of all the Nanses inferted in it, Third Vedition, 1os. 6d. 7. An Effay on the Firft Principles of Government, and on the Nature of Political, Civil, and Religio i. Liberty. A new Edition mach enlarged, 59.
8. A Frec Addrefs to Proteftant Diffenters, on the Subject of the Lord's Supper, the Second Edition, x.
9. Con-
9. Confiderations on Differences of Opinion 2mong Chriftians; with a Letter to the Reverend Mr. Vern, in anfwor to his examination of the Addrefs to Proteftant Diffenters, 1s. 6d.
10. Additions to the Addrefs to Proteflant DifFeniers, on the Subjet of the Lord's Supper, with fome corretions of it, and a Letter to the Author of the Proteftant Diffenter's anfwer to its 1 s .
11. A Catechifm for Children and Young Perfons, 6 d .
12. A Serious Addrefs to Mafters of Famil es, with Forms of Family Prayer, 9 d.
13. A View of the.principles and conduct of the Proteftant Diffenters, with refpect to the Civil and Ecclefraftical Conflitution of England, the Second Edition, 15. 6 d.
14. A free Addrefs to Proteflant Diffenters on the fubject of Church .Difcipline, 2s. 6 d .
15. Letters 'to the Author of Remarks on fome of Dr. Prieftey's Publications.
16. In Numbers, at the Interval of about two or three Months,
(Under the Direction of Dr. PRIESTLEY)
The THEOLOGICAL REPOSITORY; confiftingof Original Effays, Hints, Queries, \&c. calculated to promote Religious Knowledge.

## Aljo juff pubijbed,

1. A Free Addrefs to Diffenters, as fuch, 1s.6d.
2. The prefent State of Liberty in Great Britain and her Colonies, 6 d.

- 

$-$

$$
\sim
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s. } \\
& \text {. }{ }^{*}+7
\end{aligned}
$$




[^0]:    

